Suffolk County
Old Courthouse
Boston

Ware District
Court

Essex Probate
and
Family Court

The Seventh Annual Report
# of the
MASSACHUSETTS TRIAL COURT
1985

Wrentham
District Court

Bristol
Superior Court
New Bedford

Norfolk
Superior Court
Dedham

S3807-1

Annual Report

# of the

Massachusetts Trial Court

1985

Arthur M. Mason

Chief Administrative Justice

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
THE TRIAL COURT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE
BOSTON, 02108

March 3, 1986

Honorable Edward F. Hennessey
Chief Justice
Supreme Judicial Court
1300 New Courthouse
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Dear Chief Justice Hennessey:

I am pleased to present you with the Seventh Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court. Our submission of this report complies with M.G.L. c. 211B, sec. 9.

Since the publication of our first Annual Report in 1978, the size and quality of each edition has surpassed its predecessor. All printing of the text and computations for the 1985 Annual Report was produced through computer technology. This year's report contains more than 260 pages, 60 charts and graphs, and 60 photos.

The Annual Report for 1985 summarizes the administrative functions of the Trial Court, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation, and the Office of the Jury Commissioner. Please note that the statistics for the Trial Court were prepared for Fiscal Year 1985 (July 1, 1984, to June 30, 1985), and the statistics for the Office of the Commissioner of Probation and the Office of the Jury Commissioner were computed for Calendar Year 1985.

The submission of the Annual Report of the Trial Court to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court also provides me with the opportunity to acknowledge and appropriately credit Henry L. Barr, Esquire, Trial Court Administrator, the Administrative Justices, Associate Justices, the staff of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice and the approximately 5,000 employees of the Trial Court for their commitment to excellence.

To you, Chief Justice, and to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court, my personal appreciation for your continuing interest and support in our administrative efforts to improve the orderly administration of justice within the Trial Court.

Sincerely,

Arthur M. Mason

Arthur M. Mason
Chief Administrative Justice

AMM:klr

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Office of the Chief Administrative Justice.................... 1

The Trial Court.. 14

  Statistical Appendix....................................... 33

  The Boston Municipal Court Department...................... 37
  The District Court Department.............................. 53
  The Housing Court Department............................... 85
  The Juvenile Court Department.............................. 91
  The Land Court Department.................................. 101
  The Probate and Family Court Department.................... 107
  The Superior Court Department.............................. 129

Office of the Commissioner of Probation...................... 149

  Statistical Appendix....................................... 159

Office of the Jury Commissioner.............................. 245

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE



Chief Administrative Justice

Trial Court Administrator

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS/ PERSONNEL
- Personnel services
- Affirmative Action
- Collective Bargaining
- Court Officer Services

FINANCIAL
- Budgets
- Payroll
- Purchasing
- Auditing
- Probation Receipt Accounting

LEGAL
- Real Estate
- Legislation
- Legal Research
- Contracts
- Labor Law
- Litigation

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
- Planning
- Statistical Analysis
- Law Libraries
- Education & Training
- Public Information
- Case Management
- Microcomputer Systems
- Special Projects

SYSTEMS/ DATA PROCESSING
- Data Processing
- Information Systems

Office of the Chief Administrative Justice

The Seventh Annual Report of the Chief Administrative Justice is published in compliance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, c. 211B, s.9. This report summarizes the operation of the Massachusetts Trial Court from January 1, 1985, to December 31, 1985. Administrative narratives from the seven Trial Court departments, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation, and the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice pertain to calendar year 1985 while the statistical analyses of these offices were compiled during Fiscal Year 1985 (July 1, 1984, to June 30, 1985).

On July 3, 1985, the Supreme Judicial Court (SJC) reappointed Arthur M. Mason as the Chief Administrative Justice of the Massachusetts Trial Court. Judge Mason was originally appointed by the SJC in 1978, when he became the first Chief Administrative Justice to administer the unified Massachusetts court system created by the Court Reorganization Act of 1978. Judge Mason's second seven-year term commenced on August 11, 1985. Chief Justice Edward F. Hennessey of the SJC lauded Judge Mason's reappointment. "This is a resounding tribute to the excellence of his stewardship in the past seven years," said Chief Justice Hennessey.

The Chief Administrative Justice is responsible for the daily operation of the Massachusetts Trial Court and its 5,000 employees. To assist the Chief Administrative Justice, the position of Administrator of Courts for the Trial Court was created by statute. Henry L. Barr has served in this position since 1978.

Trial Court Administrator Henry L. Barr

The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice (OCAJ), located in the Suffolk County Courthouse in Boston, is organized into five departments: Employee Relations/Personnel, Fiscal Affairs, Legal, Planning and Development, and Systems/Data Processing. OCAJ is supported by a clerical staff and a special projects team.

1985 in Review. Research conducted by the Affirmative Action Office indicated that the number of minorities hired by OCAJ increased from 9.7 percent of the Trial Court work force in May 1985 to 10.2 percent in November 1985.

The Employee Relations Office negotiated a dental plan for the employees of the O.P.E.I.U., Local 6, and a similar dental plan was being developed for the S.E.I.U., Local 254.

The Fiscal Affairs Department developed in conjunction with the Planning and Development Department a microcomputer-based inventory control system to automate its own budgeting system. Fiscal Affairs also helped to furnish the new District Courts in Ware, Westfield and Chicopee.

The Legal Department supervised the renovation for the Suffolk County Courthouse, the old Third District Courthouse in East Cambridge, the New Bedford Probate Courthouse, and the construction of the District Court divisions in Amesbury, Newburyport, and Palmer.

The Data Processing Center added a Burroughs A9F mainframe computer to its computer resources, Education and Training sponsored the first conference for the court reporters of the Superior Court, the Law Library Coordinator held four workshops on legal materials throughout the state with more than 200 public librarians attending, and the public information officer published 12 issues of the Trial Court newsletter and the 1984 Annual Report of Massachusetts Trial Court.

Trial Court Records Storage Facility. The Trial Court Records Storage Facility, located on the grounds of the Worcester State Hospital, provides a permanent storage facility for court records that by statute, court rule, or retention schedule requirements must be kept permanently. Completing its second full year of operation in 1985, the facility has reached 50 percent of its capacity, with records being stored there from 29 different court divisions throughout the Commonwealth.

Under the supervision of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, the record center is now open and staffed two days per week. Joseph Baniukiewicz, Record Center Supervisor, works at the facility on Wednesdays and Thursdays, which allows for the predictable scheduling of box delivery to the center, for record retrieval by court personnel requiring them, or for access by researchers studying archival records with the permission of the records' custodians.

Once a court has undertaken a records' management program, the center provides the space for storage of permanently retained records, thus, alleviating crowded storage conditions at the courthouses.



Joseph Baniukiewicz, supervisor of the Trial Court Records Storage Facility

Judicial Response System. The Judicial Response System has started its second year of operation in July 1985. This statewide system is designed to allow judicial hearings to be conducted anywhere within the state, 24 hours a day. A total of 236 Trial Court Justices participated in the first year of the program's operation.

The system began on July 13, 1984. During the first year, 324 calls were received and responded to through the cooperation of the Massachusetts State Police and its communication network. The state is divided into nine geographical regions, and participating justices rotate on call periods within the regions in which they live.

During the first year, 40 percent of the judges participating received no calls, 21 percent received only one call, and less than one percent received six or more calls during their rotation. Sixty-eight percent of the calls were received during the weekends; 70 percent were received in the p.m. hours.

On-call judges received 79 percent of the calls, while their backups handled 20 percent. Ninety percent of the judges called were reached by telephone; the remainder were reached by pager.

In terms of the number of calls received, Region A2 (Boston, Brookline, Dedham, Needham, Newton, Wellesley, and Weston) was the busiest region during the first year.

Chapter 209A (Spousal Abuse) requests comprised 49 percent of all the requests, emergency medical cases 22 percent, and mental health cases 14 percent.

Seventy-two percent of the cases required some form of judicial response; in 62 percent of the cases orders were issued.

Employee Relations/Personnel Department

Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity. This summary of the Affirmative Action Office covers the period from January 1, 1985, through December 31, 1985.

The quarterly reports of the Trial Court Minority Employment Status Reports reflected a steady increase in the hiring of minorities, and the report of November 22, 1985, showed an increase to 505 minorities or 10.2 percent compared to the May 23, 1985, report of 464 minorities or 9.7 percent.

The practice of visiting the Trial Court divisions by the Equal Employment Opportunity/Affirmative Action Officer and meeting with appointing authorities was continued, particularly those courts who needed additional assistance in complying with Affirmative Action.

Special attention was given to those courts that lack minorities and women and who needed technical assistance.

Meetings with members of the Planning and Development and Personnel Departments have produced computer printouts that finally meet the needs of the Affirmative Action Office, and it appears 1986 should resolve the few remaining difficulties.

The Question and Answer Review of Equal Employment Opportunity and Affirmative Action Brochure has been ready for the printer, and it was put on hold until the United States Supreme Court decides what course Affirmative Action will take so that the Trial Court will be consistent and up-to-date. It is expected that the first quarter of 1986 will result in its distribution to all court personnel.

Trial Court employment practices were reviewed to make certain that every effort had been made to demonstrate our commitment to Equal Employment Opportunity and Affirmative Action in our employment practices.

Some of the methods for recruitment included outside recruiting sources, help-wanted ads in local newspapers, and social agencies who cater to minorities and women. All job postings are sent to a minimum of 10 of the agencies included in the Affirmative Action Resource List, and we encouraged walk-in applicants to fill out an application for employment and leave their resumes for our files, even when positions were not available.

On-campus recruiting has been a technique that the Trial Court has used during the past three years. The Trial Court has worked through the Department of Career Development and Placement of Northeastern University, taken part in their on-campus recruiting program, conducted interviews, and distributed literature on the type of employment possible within the Trial Court of Massachusetts.

The EEO/Affirmative Action Officer attended a meeting of the Massachusetts Black Judges' Conference. The conference is made up of both Federal and State Judges' in the Commonwealth. One of their goals is to have a judicial system that reflects the diversity of the Commonwealth, and they stand ready to assist the EEO/Affirmative Action Officer in that goal.

Employee Relations. On November 15, 1985, the initial meeting was held to negotiate a collective bargaining agreement with the O.P.E.I.U. (Office of Professional Employees International Union), Local 6, for the nonprofessional staff and clerical units. The existing three-year agreement expires on June 30, 1986. It is expected that negotiations will commence soon on the contract covering the professional unit employees, which also expires on June 30, 1986.

The "Application for Employment" form, as contained in the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual was revised. The revisions provide management with more information on job applicants necessary to the employment process and in conformance with affirmative action pursuant to G.L. c. 151B.

The Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual, Section 7.000, Attendance Record (Calendar) was revised to define the use and responsibility for maintaining an attendance calendar for each employee. The calendar provides the department head with a daily record of each employee's working hours, including overtime, absences, and the reasons for absences.

During the year employees filed 207 industrial accident claims which were processed by the Industrial Accident Board. Forty-four of these claims resulted in lost time from work and were compensated in accordance with the provisions of G.L. c. 152. The remaining 163 claims involved less than five days lost time from work per claim and were not compensable under the provisions of G.L. c. 152.

This office processed about 1,335 new hires and promotions, 152 employee requests for leave of absence without pay, 2,300 step increases during the year (excluding court officers of the Superior Court Department), and 451 applications for tuition remission from union employees and 85 from nonunion employees. Under the tuition remission program, Trial Court personnel receive tuition remission for college courses at state colleges and universities (except the University of Massachusetts Medical Center). There is full remission for degree courses and 50 percent reimbursement for continuing education courses.

Under section 4.600 of the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual, Classification and Wage Compensation Plan, the office received and processed 198 requests of review of position and salary. Sixty-three were approved, 92 disapproved, and 43 are under review.

Dental Plan. Effective June 1, 1985, a dental plan was implemented for all employees of O.P.E.I.U., Local 6, the Middlesex County Superior Court Officers Association, and the Suffolk County Superior Court Officers Association. The plan is overseen by a board of trustees composed of three members appointed by the Chief Administrative Justice and three members appointed by the O.P.E.I.U., Local 6. The plan is underwritten by Blue Cross, Blue Shield and Dental Services of Massachusetts. The plan features no contributions by the employees, a maximum annual benefit level of $1,500 and unlimited benefit coverage.

A board of trustees also has been appointed to develop and oversee a dental plan for the employees with the S.E.I.U. (Service Employees International Union), Local 254. As with the O.P.E.I.U., the board is comprised of three designees of the Chief Administrative Justice and three members appointed by the union. Upon receipt of the employer's contribution, the trustees will hire a consultant to assist in the design of this plan and to solicit and analyze bid proposals from interested insurance companies.

The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice has undertaken the task of providing a similar noncontributory dental plan for all employees not covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Personnel Record Information System. The department is nearing the completion of the implementation of the microcomputer-based personnel information system. All personnel data has been converted from the old system to the new system, and the necessary procedures and forms which will be used with this system have been developed. The department has tested and updated the database during 1985. Testing of the system should be completed by the end of 1985 to be followed by implementation within the several departments of the Trial Court.

L-R, Personnel Specialist Mark Conlon, Affirmative Action Officer Vernon Sport, and Director of Employee Relations John Ritchie

Fiscal Affairs Department

The Fiscal Department is responsible for the financial operation of the Trial Court and for the preparation of its annual budget request.

In Fiscal Year 1985, budget requests, appropriations, and central accounts were set up for Justices' salaries, clerical assistance, warranty services, travel, education, training, law libraries, jury/witness expenses, and indigent defense costs. The indigent defense account for counsel, except juvenile counsel, was transferred from the Trial Court to a new public counsel operation in July of 1984 (Fiscal Year 1985). Through centralization, expenditure control has been improved. With the centralized purchasing of equipment and printing, competitive bidding procedures have been developed for major expenditures. Requests for Proposals have resulted in fostering competition and increasing the purchasing power of the Trial Court's appropriation.

In 1985, new typewriter purchases for the system were made to continually upgrade Trial Court equipment. Purchases have expanded to include a variety of electronic typewriters to meet the individual needs of the courts. Stations for typing and text editing and the typing of automated dockets are examples of machines installed in some court departments. Different kinds of typewriters have been selected in order to provide economic alternatives to the more expensive word processors.

In 1985, the major purchasing efforts to furnish and to open the new Chicopee, Westfield and Ware District courthouses were completed. Initial steps were taken to determine the need for furnishings for the new Worcester Housing Court. Supplies were purchased and the court opened early in the fall of 1985.

Due to centralized expenditure planning and procedures, there have been no deficiencies in any of the accounts centralized through Fiscal 1985 year with the exception of the indigent court cost account which costs are mandated by statute, and, therefore, not within management control.

The Probation Receipt Accounting (PRA) System was extended to 22 divisions of the District and Probate and Family Court Departments. Due to the increased burden put on the existing Burroughs mainframe computer by the data and transaction needs of the PRA System, a new A9F Burroughs processor was purchased which has provided increased response times and additional data storage capacity.

Final steps in the major design work for an automated financial information system was completed in Fiscal Year 1985. The system will automate the invoice processing procedures and the budget process. Automation of the invoice processing procedures is developed and was initiated in the spring of 1985. The automation of the budget process system was completed in first draft in October, 1984. Major design work continued through the year. A microcomputer-based inventory control system was completed in 1985 and provides updated inventory information to the court divisions on a regular basis as new equipment is purchased and obsolete equipment is discarded.

Initial steps were taken to tie the Trial Court into Administration and Finance's automated budget review system consisting of forms and specific review procedures. These communications were begun in anticipation of the Trial Court linking into their system in late Fiscal Year 1986.

Auditor Kevin Costello

L-R, Auditors Michael Collins and Mark Strahan

Legal Department

The Legal Department of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice is responsible for the oversight of legal matters within the Trial Court and confers regularly with persons within the executive and legislative branches of state government concerning legal and administrative matters.

Legislation. The Legal Department is involved in the preparation, review, and filing of legislation on behalf of the judicial branch as well as the daily monitoring of the legislative process. Reports and research material on legislation are also provided to the Massachusetts Judicial Conference at its regularly scheduled meetings. The department responds to inquiries from legislative committees, the Governor's Legislative Office, and interested citizens groups on proposed legislation.

Contracts. The Legal Department is responsible for the review and approval of all contracts entered into by the Trial Court including contracts to purchase or lease equipment, furnishings, or services. Any necessary amendments to contracts are negotiated and drafted by the department. The Legal Department reviewed, negotiated and, where appropriate, drafted amendments to more than 500 contracts during calendar year 1985.

Labor. The Legal Department is responsible for the conduct of litigation of labor issues before State, and on occasion Federal Courts, the State Labor Relations Commission, the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination, the Civil Service Commission, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Department of Employment Security and for research, preparation of briefs and development of information necessary for litigation, negotiations, grievances, and other related matters. Grievance arbitration is conducted when necessary before arbitrators, and advice is provided on labor issues, negotiations, labor contracts, and personnel and employment law to the Chief Administrative Justice, the Trial Court Administrator, department heads, and the director of Employee Relations.

Real Property. The Legal Department is responsible for the drafting and negotiation of leases for 82 county-owned buildings, 14 city and town-owned buildings, and 10 privately-owned buildings. It is anticipated that the total rental monies that will be paid under these lease agreements for Fiscal Year 1986 will be approximately $31,000,000. The department participates in rental hearings under G.L. Chapter 29A and appeals to the Supreme Judicial Court.

The department oversees new construction and renovation of courthouse facilities and is presently participating in projects involving (1) renovations to the Suffolk County Courthouse, the old Third District Courthouse in East Cambridge, and the New Bedford Probate Courthouse; and (2) new construction of courthouses for the Amesbury, Newburyport, and Palmer Divisions. A capital outlay budget for the Judicial Branch is developed annually by the department after consultation with the Judicial Facilities Committee. The capital outlay recommendations for Fiscal Year 1987 totaled $68,000,000.

Litigation. Justices, Clerk-Magistrates, Registers of Probate, Chief Probation Officers, and other personnel in the Trial Court are on occasion made parties to litigation before a court or administrative agency. Many of these cases have broad implications for the entire court system and often require representation of multiple party defendants on identical issues. The Legal Department determines whether the matter should be handled in-house or referred to the Department of the Attorney General for representation. Thirty-six actions, exclusive of labor cases, were commenced against personnel with the Trial Court in calendar year 1985.

General. The Legal Department, in its principal function to provide research assistance to the Chief Administrative Justice and the Trial Court Administrator, prepares memoranda in response to inquiries from the Legislature and Executive Branches of government and responds to questions of a legal nature from within the Judicial System and the general public on a variety of subjects. The department drafts and submits to the Chief Administrative Justice proposed administrative directives, orders, correspondence, memoranda, and informational bulletins. It also assists the Chief Administrative Justice with his responsibility to review all proposed rules, rules amendments, and standing orders of the various departments of the Trial Court and provides support to Trial Court committees working in these areas. The Legal Department also provides support assistance in personnel matters, in the development of standard personnel policies and procedures and has participated in the continuing effort to develop and standardize forms and procedures throughout the departments of the Trial Court. It also coordinated 285 interdepartmental assignments of Justices pursuant to G.L. Chapter 211B, Section 9 for the Chief Administrative Justice during calendar year 1985.

Systems/Data Processing Department

Data Processing. In 1985, this department spent much of its resources consolidating the advances begun in 1984. Based on work started in 1984, the department acquired additional computer resources in the form of a Burroughs A9F computer system. The department supervised the installation of this new mainframe system. Then, after "shakedown" testing of the system, made it available for actual use by the various court departments and divisions attached to it through a statewide data communications network operated by the department.

In associated efforts, the department began work to allow the network of court system users to make use of both the new Burroughs A9F and the existing Burroughs B6800 computer systems together. The department also continued an ongoing effort to improve user response time at terminals in the field and to increase the reliability and adequacy of the data communications network supporting those terminals.

The department supports these substantial computer and data communications resources with a staff of only three programming and software experts, an operations supervisor, three operators, and a data communications specialist. This staff supports a 24 hour per day, five days a week operation year round. On a typical weekday, with the system online to its users from 7:30 a.m. until 8:00 p.m., the workload handled by staff and resources will include 30,757 online transactions; 118,821 lines of print output generated for remote printing; 109,304 lines of print output generated and printed at the data center; 308 separate jobs (report requests, online programs, operations support tasks) run.

The department continues to support the ongoing operation of the Probation Receipt Accounting (PRA) System. It has worked closely with the Office of the Jury Commissioner to continue support for the operation and expansion of the state's automated Jury Selection Management System.

In addition to these activities, the department has maintained a close working relationship with the Office of the Commissioner of Probation (OCP). Not only has the department worked with OCP to support OCP's current automated systems, it has devoted considerable effort to identifying the need for additional computer resources to support the growing level of automation which OCP has introduced into probation activities. The department continues to work with OCP on the specification and selection of appropriate resources for these uses.

While supporting all the above areas, the department continues to work on applications which will support Superior Court Department activities. The department supports a case tracking capability, initially used in Plymouth County but available, upon demand, statewide. It has completed work to add into its case management system the ability to assign cases to sessions in addition to the tracking capability. In addition, the department continues its ongoing support for the basic case management functions provided in the original systems supporting this area of court business.

Computer Operator Phil Lyons

Programmer Kirk Obillo

Manager of Data Processing Richard Duggan

Planning and Development Department

Education and Training. In addition to the management of the central account for education and funding the various educational programs and conferences of the departments, the education unit developed the following programs:

- A two-day professional writing skills program was sponsored for the professional staff in the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice and repeated for the Trial Court Law Librarians.
- Two additional Disciplinary Procedures workshops were sponsored.
- The Substitute Care Review Conferences for the District, Juvenile, and Probate and Family Court Departments were held on June 5 and 6. These conferences were held to assist the affected departments to implement the new Foster Care Review Act.
- Six videotapes were produced on subject areas covered by the Judicial Response System.
- Close to 200 Superior Court Court Officers were recertified in CPR.
- Three workshops on maintenance of lobby collections of legal materials were sponsored for the divisions of the Trial Court by this office and the departmental librarian.
- The first conference for court reporters of the Superior Court was held on November 1, 1985. Over 90 percent of Trial Court Court Reporters attended.



At the Foster Care Review Conference, left is Judge Mary Fitzpatrick and Chief Justice Alfred L. Podolski, Probate and Family Court Department. Right, seated from L-R are Judges Francis P. Murphy, James R. Lawton, Richard O. Staff, and John P. Curley. Standing L-R, are Judges Thomas J. Carroll, Paul F.X. Murphy, and Robert A. Welsh.

Law Libraries The 18 Trial Court Law Libraries serve the legal reference and research needs of judges, law clerks, attorneys and other court personnel. The law libraries also serve the public (G.L.M. 78. 3) including municipal officials, students and the general public. During 1985, 75 percent of the patrons were part of the legal community and 25 percent of the users were public patrons. The Trial Court Law Libraries are located in Barnstable, Brockton, Cambridge, Dedham, Edgartown, Fall River, Fitchburg, Greenfield, Lawrence, Lowell, New Bedford, Northampton, Pittsfield, Plymouth, Salem, Springfield, Taunton, and Worcester.

In addition to meeting the daily needs of patrons by providing reference service, showing a public patron how to use a particular resource, obtaining material for an attorney from another library or giving a tour of the collection to a paralegal class, the librarians have undertaken special projects in 1985. The Cataloging Committee is near completion of its objective to create a union catalog so that patrons will not only be able to access materials in one library but also know what is available in other Trial Court Law Libraries. The Collection Development Committee has reviewed numerous titles to determine what sets of books are needed or not needed to improve the collections. The Collection Development Committee will also be revising the Library Collection component of the Guidelines for the Delivery of Library Services to the Trial Court during 1986. The librarians presented four Workshops on Legal Materials for Public Librarians throughout the state with over 200 public librarians attending.

The Departmental Librarian made visits to assist the 110 library coordinators in maintaining and/or improving the lobbies and divisional libraries. Three objectives for the year have been weeding the lobbies of the earlier Massachusetts Reports and outdated materials, buying shelving where needed so that there is adequate growth space, and bulk purchasing for major material necessary to each location for the District Court Department and the Probate Court Department. The new official General Laws of Massachusetts was also bulk purchased for 110 court locations.

The Departmental Librarian, in conjunction with the Education and Training Department, conducted workshops for the library coordinators on the maintenance of court legal collections.

As lobbies are weeded of older Massachusetts Reports, the Lowell Law Library has designated space to house a depository of excess Massachusetts Reports. If a court needs Massachusetts Reports or wants to send Massachusetts Reports to the depository, contact either the Law Library Coordinator or Departmental Librarian.

CALR (Computer-Assisted Legal Research) is available to judges, law clerks and other appropriate Trial Court personnel who have been trained. Lexis terminals are located in Boston (Suffolk Superior Court and Boston Municipal Court) and Springfield. Westlaw terminals are available in Brockton, Cambridge, Dedham, and Salem. In addition, Lexis and Westlaw are being made available to judges who have compatible home computers and modems.



Departmental Librarian Lois Russell and Law Librarian Jean Medeiros

Law Library Coordinator Marnie Warner

Public Information. During 1985 the public information officer wrote, edited, and laid out 12 issues of the Bay State Briefs, the official monthly publication of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice. Since its debut in December 1982, a total of 36 editions have been published. The editor was also responsible for the newsgathering and photography. Newsgathering was conducted by telephone, mail, and by visits to courthouses throughout the Commonwealth to interview court personnel.

The public information officer also supervised the production of the 1984 Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court, a 241-page document which reviews and summarizes all the administrative and statistical operations of the Trial Court. His duties included the editing, layout, photography, and printing of the report.

Since 1984, the public information officer has written, edited, and produced The Gavel, a monthly newsletter for the employees of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice in Boston. It reports on in-house business and social activities.

This officer served as the liaison with the Registry of Motor Vehicles in order to procure and to produce judicial identification cards for new members of the judiciary. Judicial I.D. cards were devised by Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason for the creation of the Judicial Response System in 1984. The I.D. cards are needed for judges when they intervene in court-related matters after court hours in out-of-court locations and for those judges who travel frequently to hear cases in different courts.

The public information officer responded to inquiries from the media, the public, students, and researchers. He served as the liaison with the press for the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice. The public information officer also conducted tours of the Suffolk County Courthouse for foreign dignitaries and school groups.



CCMS Project Leader Mark Greeley.

Court Planner Gary Wolfe.

The Massachusetts Trial Court

The Trial Court consists of the Boston Municipal Court, District Court, Housing Court, Juvenile Court, Land Court, Probate and Family Court, and Superior Court Departments, and the Office of the Commissioner of Probation. A total of 5,000 employees work at 110 court locations.

Each Trial Court department is supervised by an administrative justice. They are Chief Justice Joseph F. Feeney, Boston Municipal Court Department; Chief Justice Samuel E. Zoll, District Court Department; Chief Justice E. George Daher, Housing Court Department; Chief Justice Francis G. Poitrast, Juvenile Court Department; Chief Justice Marilyn M. Sullivan, Land Court Department; Chief Justice Alfred L. Podolski, Probate and Family Court Department; and Chief Justice Thomas R. Morse Jr., Superior Court Department.

Sixteen new justices were sworn in during 1985 for the Trial Court. By statute, there are 281 Trial Court Justices. They are appointed by the governor, and their appointments are reviewed and confirmed by the Executive Council.

New judges in the Boston Municipal Court were Sally A. Kelly and Herbert H. Hershfang, in the District Court were Paul F. LoConto, Elliot L. Zide, Robert J. Kane, Kevin M. Herlihy, Neil J. Walker, Robert Bohn, Robert V. Greco, and John Dennis Healey; and in the Superior Court were Cortland A. Mathers, James F. McHugh, Robert W. Banks, Charles M. Grabau, Suzanne DelVecchio, Barbara J. Rouse, and Paul A. Chernoff.

During 1985 three new District courthouses were opened. They were the Chicopee, Ware, and Westfield Divisions of the District Court Department.

During 1985 the Boston Municipal Court Department for the first time received more First Instance jury requests than de novo requests. The District Court hired five regional coordinators to improve communication between the local courts with the administrative office in Salem. The coordinators were assigned in ech of the department's five administrative regions. Chief Justice Zoll also filed legislation to abolish the de novo system and replace it with a one-trial procedure.

In the Housing Court, the Boston Division's civil and criminal caseload increased by 28 percent, the Juvenile Court continued to promote its alcohol education program, a condition of probation for juveniles charged with the possession or transportation of alcohol, with its program at the Springfield Division and is starting a new one at the Boston Division. Marilyn M. Sullivan was appointed by the Supreme Judicial Court as the new Chief Justice of the Land Court replacing Chief Justice William I. Randall, who retired. She became the first woman to become a Trial Court Chief Justice.

About 1,000 guardianship requests to administer anti-psychotic drugs were filed in Fiscal Year 1985 in the Probate and Family Court Department. The department is considering 1986 for the creation of a satellite court in western Massachusetts. Satellites have worked successfully at Boston University School of Law and the District Court Divisions in Concord, Marlborough, Fitchburg, Westborough, and Wrentham.

In the Superior Court, the regional administration plan was amended. One judge was added to each of the two administrative regions with the busiest criminal and civil dockets. The two regions were Region I (Suffolk and Norfolk) and Region II (Essex and Middlesex).

MASSACHUSETTS JUDICIAL SYSTEM


Supreme Judicial Court
Appeals Court
The Trial Court
District Court Department
Boston Municipal Court Department
Land Court Department
Superior Court Department
Housing Court Department
Probate and Family Department
Juvenile Court Department
Commissioner of Probation
Appellate Division
Appellate Division
Appellate Division

Boston Municipal Court Department

BMC Assistant Clerk-Magistrates Elizabeth Gillis and Glen Hannington

Suffolk County Jury-of-Six Session. The number of defendants requesting jury trials in the Boston Municipal Court has increased each year since the creation of the Jury-of-Six Session. The proportion of defendants requesting first instance (as opposed to de novo) jury trials has also increased in each of the last five years. This year, first instance jury trial requests exceeded de novo requests for the first time, reflecting a shift of workload from primary court in the county to the jury session.

The proportion of these cases actually tried to a jury has decreased; there has been a significant increase in the proportion of cases tried before a judge sitting without a jury (jury-waived).

Three trial sessions and an assignment session now operate on a daily basis.

Primary Court Business. Unlike the jury session, primary court activity has increased only slowly and has not returned to the level of Fiscal Year 1981; decriminalization of minor motor vehicle offenses and the transfer of parking enforcement to the municipalities has reduced the volume of these relatively minor cases heard in the court.

Another consequence of decriminalization has been a marked reduction in the number of defendants pleading guilty. Most guilty pleas in the past were from auto law defendants. Under decriminalized procedures such defendants may now pay uncontested tickets by mail and avoid criminal process. Those coming to court generally want a hearing and do not enter a guilty plea.

In addition, jurisdiction over certain motor vehicle offenses was transferred by statute to Charlestown District Court, further easing the Boston Municipal Court burden in this area.

Three administrative changes are expected to have a substantial effect on court operations during the coming year.

Implementation of SYSTEMATICS will improve the processing of paperwork in the first and second criminal sessions; judicial resources available effectively will be increased by 10 percent through rescheduling of courtrooms and personnel; and, Suffolk County law enforcement agencies will arraign defendants charged over three-day weekends (and not in custody) on Tuesdays following arrest, thus reducing caseloads on Mondays.

Other Criminal. The training program for new attorneys developed by the Boston Municipal Court, the Boston Bar Association, and MCLE/NELI has been expanded to include all courts in Suffolk County.

The BMC/Crime and Justice Foundation (CJF) mediation project continues to receive cases at a constant level; most referrals to the program have come from the court's criminal side. This year the project was partially funded by a first-in-the-Commonwealth contract with CJF. Funds have been requested for Fiscal Year 1987 to train court personnel in mediation skills and to permanently incorporate mediation into court operations.

Civil Business. Overall, civil entries have increased substantially during the last five years. However, this growth in civil business has been primarily in the small claims area, where entries have nearly doubled in only two years; Supplementary Process Small Claims cases have increased more than 10 times since Fiscal Year 1980.

The number of general civil entries has increased slowly during the last four years - exceeding the Fiscal Year 1980 level for the first time this year. With the exception of small claims, the ratio of cases disposed to cases received on the civil side declined during Fiscal Year 1985.

There has been a major increase in the number of Supplementary Process Small Claims cases entered; however, as noted above, small claims dispositions have more than kept pace with entries.

Probation Department. More than 1,000 probation cases in the Boston Municipal Court were classified as requiring active supervision at the end of Fiscal Year 1985. Two probation officers from the District Court Department have been temporarily assigned to the Boston Municipal Court, and it is hoped that additional probation officers will be assigned to monitor the court's large number of transient probationers in Fiscal Year 1986.

The growing concern in the Commonwealth with enforcement of support orders is reflected in the Boston Municipal Court's URESA (Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement Support Act) figures; a single Boston Municipal Court probation officer has supervised URESA collections exceeding $150,000.

Restitution payments collected by the Probation Department and sent to victims of crimes exceeded $180,000 during Fiscal Year 1985 (an increase of $20,000 from Fiscal Year 1984).

The probation department has been using a microcomputer to track this rapidly growing caseload. These computers now produce management information reports covering Risk/Need cases and Driving Under the Influence cases.

Records Management. The court has conducted several projects to retain old records, thus, freeing space within the courthouse for other purposes. New office space and high-quality record space have been made available on mezzanine floors within both the Old and New Courthouses. Several thousand boxes of old records have been shipped to the Judicial Records Center in Worcester.

New flat-file shelving has been installed in both the Civil and Criminal Clerk's offices, and sufficient space for operations is now available to meet the court's needs for the immediate future.

New standardized forms are now being used in all of the court's departments and cover such areas as Small Claims, Ch. 209A proceedings, and Criminal

Complaints. They are part of an overall attempt to simplify and improve records management in the Trial Court.

The Jury Session, as part of its effort to streamline and automate functions, has developed summons forms integrated in the jury session case-tracking system.

Bail Guidelines Project. The Boston Municipal Court is participating in a federally-funded bail guidelines project. Researchers have been compiling the raw data for phase I in the probation department and Criminal Clerk's Office. Phase II, requiring consultation between project staff and Boston Municipal Court judges will begin during Fiscal Year 1986. The guidelines are an attempt to provide the justices with a set of fully-considered, well-documented guidelines for setting bails in the Boston Municipal Court. Because the court sets bail on virtually all offenders arrested in downtown Boston (ranging from pickpockets and prostitutes to armed robbers and murderers), the project may have considerable impact on law-enforcement within the court's jurisdiction.

District Court Department

Regional Coordinators. One of the most important changes was the introduction of a professional regional coordinator in each of the five district court administrative regions. The regional coordinators are field personnel of the Administrative Office and spend most of their time in the courts on various matters. Their roles are becoming better defined as time goes on, but their main functions are to improve communications among the local courts and between the courts and the administrative office; improve administrative office policymaking through heightened awareness of local court problems and views; assisting the courts in obtaining necessary resources; providing technical assistance; helping resolve local court problems; and continually assessing the effectiveness of the centralized systems that are in place in the District Court. In addition to matters within each regional coordinator's geographic region, each is also working in an area of statewide activity. These include computers and statistics, personnel, budgets, continuing education, and fiscal procedures. It is expected that the presence of the regional coordinators will help immeasurably in the implementation of new systems and the improvement of court management throughout the District Court.

The presence of the regional coordinators has enabled the administrative office to become more actively involved in several areas. One is the District Court budget process. Preparation of the Fiscal Year 1987 budget, which took place during 1985, included visits by the regional coordinators to each district court in order to discuss the court's financial needs with court personnel. This contact was helpful in carefully evaluating the budget requests of the individual courts.

The regional coordinators have also permitted the administrative office to become more involved in resource allocation decisions within the District Court. Particularly with the introduction of various central accounts in the Trial Court, accurate information on local needs and departmental priorities is essential. The regional coordinators in the field have helped to provide this information.

The regional coordinators are Region I, James H. Klein (Brockton District Court); Region II, Paul Q. O'Donnell, Jr. (Dorchester District Court); Region III, Darryl G. Smith (Cambridge District Court); Region IV, Charles K. Brownlee (Fitchburg District Court); and Region V, F. Ellen McKay (Holyoke District Court).

L-R, Regional Coordinator Charles Brownlee, Assistant Executive Secretary Dennis Casey, and Regional Coordinators James Klein, Paul O'Donnell, and F. Ellen McKay.

Trial De Novo. In December 1984, the Chief Justice of the District Court filed legislation to establish a one-trial system to replace the present de novo system in the District Court. The legislation was based on a comprehensive report by the District Court Committee on Juries of Six, which recommended the elimination of the de novo system. The proposed system is a process to ensure defendants the opportunity for a pretrial disposition after a pretrial conference. This procedure would operate so as to minimize delay and the burden on victims, while still maintaining the defendant's options for an appropriate pretrial disposition or a trial with or without a jury.

During 1985 there was much discussion of the elimination of trial de novo. The administrative office worked closely with a trial de novo task force of the Massachusetts Bar Association and managed to resolve many of bar's concerns. Chief Justice Zoll also met with many other bar groups. The legislation received a favorable recommendation from the Joint Committee on the Judiciary of the Massachusetts House and Senate, and is now awaiting further action in the Legislature.

Decriminalization. In 1985 the administrative office continued its efforts to effect passage of comprehensive legislation to simplify the handling of minor motor vehicle cases. Present procedures require many of the technical trappings of criminal procedure in a context which many persons believe should be basically administrative. Legislation proposed by Chief Justice Zoll would make most minor motor vehicle offenses non-criminal infractions, enforceable by fines and the loss of one's license if the violator ignores the court process. The legislation is believed to have the potential to greatly increase revenues as a result of improved motor vehicle offense processing and will also provide major relief to overburdened clerks' offices.

The bill received a favorable recommendation from the Joint Committee on the Judiciary, and at this writing is awaiting action by the House and Senate.

Standards of Judicial Practice. A new volume in the District Court Standards of Judicial Practice series was completed in 1985. It covers family abuse proceedings under Chapter 209A. Family abuse cases, which permit the issuance of protective orders on an emergency basis, are a growing aspect of District Court business. The statute establishing these procedures is quite general, and the standards have been developed to bring needed uniformity and clarify court policy in certain areas. The standards, which were developed by the District Court

Committee on Standards, cover such topics as filing the complaint, the ex parte hearing, temporary relief orders, permanent orders, appeal, violation of orders, relationship of abuse prevention action to other actions, etc.

Also begun in 1985 was a review of the District Court Standards on Care and Protection Proceedings. A number of important changes in the law have occurred since the standards were promulgated in 1982, and to bring them up to date and make them more comprehensive in this important area of District Court procedure, the District Court Committee on Care and Protection and CHINS (Children in Need of Services) Proceedings began a reexamination of the standards with an eye toward reissuing them in revised form in the near future.

Caseflow Management. The District Court has taken several initiatives in this area, which has been identified by the Supreme Judicial Court as requiring priority attention throughout the Trial court.

A task force of District Court personnel responded to a request for comments from the Supreme Judicial Court on proposed time standards in civil cases. The task force submitted a report of the Court, and many of its recommendations were adopted in the Court's promulgation of time standards.

The District Court has also begun a major initiative to further improve caseflow management and administration in the District Court jury of six sessions. A number of steps have been considered, including changes in the administrative structure of the jury sessions and their relationship of the individual district courts that host them, plus specific caseflow management changes that should help ensure the continued prompt disposition of business in the jury sessions.

Refinements in the way cases are scheduled and judges are assigned throughout the District Court are also being considered. In recent years, the growth of relatively protracted cases in the District Court has highlighted the need for special processes to accommodate these cases. The general increase in the quantity of District Court cases and the complexity of cases has also necessitated a reexamination of scheduling and assignment practices in the District Court.

Finally, a major caseflow management conference is being considered, where judges, court personnel, members of the bar, prosecutors and others can come together and help to identify caseflow management issues in the District Court. Such a conference could serve as a useful basis for further District Court activity in this area.

Computers and Information Systems. SYSTEMATIC I, which is a District Court criminal case processing system that combines modern forms design with electronic word processing equipment to facilitate criminal case preparation, is now in use in virtually every district court. During 1985, advanced training was offered on the SYSTEMATIC/Silver-Reed word processing equipment so that courts can more easily utilize it for purposes other than SYSTEMATIC I. In addition, the Administrative Office of the District Court issued a memorandum bringing the complaint language disks up to date. This is a role that the office will continue to assume in the future so that the complaint language in use throughout the District Court is kept current and uniform.

The success of SYSTEMATIC I has led to the prototype development of SYSTEMATIC II, a microcomputer system to facilitate the processing of criminal cases in the District Court clerk-magistrates' offices. A joint project of the Administrative Office of the District Court and the Administrative Office of the Trial Court, SYSTEMATIC II holds the promise of greatly improved record keeping and better tracking and management of cases. It includes programs for the preparation of trial lists, the preparation of indices, the generation of warrant lists, etc. Development of the SYSTEMATIC II software is complete, and SYSTEMATIC II is now being tested in the Barnstable District Court, "the laboratory court" in the District Court system. A presentation and explanation of SYSTEMATIC II was made to all District Court clerk-magistrates at their June 1985 conference. Although SYSTEMATIC II is still in the experimental stage, it is hoped that it will point the way toward increased use of computers throughout the District Court.

A project to better identify the potential role of increased computer utilization in the District Court has also begun in the Administrative Office. Close attention is being given to the issue of how computers can best serve the district courts, given the organizational structure of the courts and the inherent complexity of computerized systems in court environments. A task force of District Court systems personnel is being established to consider these issues.

The District Court Administrative Office has also begun a major reexamination of its statistical collection process in order to improve both the quality and quantity of management information available. It is felt that even within the constraints of existing manual systems, improved management information reporting can be achieved with revised forms and with the utilization of a microcomputer that has been installed in the administrative office. It is hoped that these efforts will result in the gathering of more useful data on operations in the future.

Support Enforcement. This continues to be a priority activity of the District court. The court's nonsupport collections have continued to increase. In the first seven months of 1985, collections were approximately 10 percent higher than during the same seven-month period in 1984, and were up over 28 percent as compared to the first seven months of 1983.

Besides the vigorous enforcement activity, ten district courts are now participating in a special project to apprehend persons who have defaulted in their nonsupport cases. This project, which is being funded by the Massachusetts Department of Public Welfare, is taking place in several counties with the assistance of sheriffs, district attorneys, local police, and various other law enforcement officials. Temporary personnel have been assigned to each of the ten courts to review court files, identify cases in default, and locate and contact defendants. After contact is made, if a defendant refuses to appear voluntarily in court, he or she is taken under arrest. Although the project is still in the early stages, it appears to be successful.

Mediation. The year 1985 marked the first full year of the Mediation Project, a three-year program to explore and experiment with the use of mediation in the District Court. The project is supported by a grant from the Gardiner Howland Shaw Foundation. In addition to considering the appropriate role for mediation, the project's goals include research and experimentation with models for both operations and institutionalization, as well as building a mediation technical assistance capacity within the administrative office.

The primary objective for 1985 was to develop an overview of the current status of mediation in Massachusetts. Focusing upon programs which use community volunteers and are available to take referrals from district courts, the project identified 28 community mediation programs in Massachusetts. Site visits were made to all programs and extensive interviews were conducted with project directors. Data from these visits and interviews was analyzed and published in a widely disseminated report, Community Mediation in Massachusetts: A Decade of Development.

Project Director Albie Davis helped the Edgartown Mediation Program design and implement a training course for community mediators, and she participated in training activities with the Dorchester, Athol-Orange, "Face-to-Face" and Harvard mediation programs.

In cooperation with the Boston Bar Association and the Franklin N. Flaschner Judicial Institute, the mediation project participated in a conference for judges, clerk-magistrates and mediation project directors on the theme of community mediation. The agenda stimulated requests for technical assistance and led to the development of new interests in mediation in the Newton, Dudley, and Lowell District Courts and preliminary plans for a community-based program in the Quincy District Court. At the request of the Commissioner of Probation, the project director also conducted four day-long regional workshops on mediation for probation officers. Throughout the year, the mediation project also provided technical assistance to community mediation programs, the Massachusetts Association of Mediation programs, court personnel, and others interested in community mediation, both in Massachusetts and nationally.

Law Related Education. In January 1985, Robert Clayman assumed the role of statewide LRE cordinator. Under his guidance, the District Court is working on four goals: to institutionalize LRE in the District Court and the Massachusetts education system, to increase statewide LRE opportunities and the impact of court initiated LRE programs in the public and private school systems, to increase the District Court's commitment to adult LRE, and to continue cooperative efforts with other legal and educational organizations. District Court LRE projects in Cambridge, Quincy, Palmer, Roxbury, Haverhill, East Boston, and other communities are not only an integral part of the court's activities, but also a permanent educational component in the schools.

Statewide LRE Programs of the District Court, as opposed to local programs, are still in the developmental stages. The District Court has fostered relationships with the Massachusetts Council for the Social Studies, the state Department of Education, and other legal and educational organizations. Through cooperative efforts, statewide LRE programming will include various contests for students and training for teachers and local LRE coordinators. Judges have been instrumental in the Department of Education's Student Government Day program, and numerous courts reach hundreds of students during Law Day. The District Court has also been a force behind the only annual statewide conference for professionals interested in LRE.

Judge Paul E. Ryan presents award to Quincy policeman John Ryan at Quincy Division's Law Day festivities.

The District Court is exploring additional avenues for adult LRE. Community forums involving leaders from the judiciary and the development of adult LRE courses are under consideration. A brochure for the lay public will be distributed through the local courts, outlining the District Court's jurisdiction, procedures, and services.

The District Court continues to publish the Courts and the Classroom newsletter, but with a few changes in organization and content. The "new" Courts and the Classroom has distinct sections which focus on collaboration between the courts and the schools, recent curriculum developments in LRE, conference listings and reports, and a special section for students which can be reproduced for classroom use. In addition, The LRE Coordinator Newsletter, an in-house publication, is distributed to all District Court judges and LRE Coordinators. The LRE Coordinator Newsletter allows the statewide coordinator to communicate news, information, and curriculum materials to court personnel involved in LRE. The District Court will also publish a new brochure that describes and depicts LRE in the District Court.

With the support of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court, the District Court LRE program continues to provide a critical and pivotal link between the educational and legal professions. This cooperative relationship provides important citizenship skills training to the Commonwealth's youth and gives them an environment for positive, realistic, and constructive interaction with the legal system.

At the MALRE conference, left, Judge James Killam, and right, Jackie Sacramona and Anne Mahoney, Dedham Division.

Continuing Education. Two major day-long conferences for District Court personnel were held in June 1985. One was a meeting of all District Court presiding justices. This meeting concentrated on judicial administration and the role of the presiding justice in that process. A conference was also held for District Court clerk-magistrates. It concentrated on the role of the clerk-magistrates in the administration of the courts and also included a demonstration of SYSTEMATIC II.

The year 1985 also saw an emphasis on education planning in the District Court. A more comprehensive planning process was utilized to prepare a District Court educational plan for Fiscal Year 1986. This plan, which is more ambitious than past efforts, has been facilitated through the utilization of one of the regional coordinators as an educational specialist and has been funded by Trial Court educational funds. The plan includes multiday "back to school" conferences for District Court judges and clerk-magistrates, several one-day specialty conferences on important topics, a series of informal regional meetings for judges and clerk-magistrates, and a combined round of joint regional meetings for presiding justices and clerk-magistrates; greater utilization of the National Judicial College and other out-of-state professional educational resources; reinstitution of the well-received Brandeis humanities seminars; and greater availability of material on audio and video tapes. The administrative office also undertook a major examination of potential conference facilities throughout the state in order to improve the siting of District Court meetings.

A third and completely revised edition of the District Court Jury Trial Manual was also completed in 1985. The manual has been greatly expanded in size and scope and now covers virtually all subjects of importance to District Court judges as they handle jury cases.

Management Roles. At both the presiding justices' conference and the clerk-magistrates' conference referred to above, Chief Justice Zoll took the occasion to make a major address to the attendees on certain aspects of District Court administration. In addition to discussing the area generally, he outlined four dimensions of District Court administration that deserve emphasis among the judges and clerk-magistrates. They are the importance of the local courts as administrative units; the essential role that local initiative and local responsibility continue to play in the court system; the necessary leadership roles of presiding justices and clerk-magistrates, and the need for improved communications within the District Court system. The Chief Justice's remarks were subsequently prepared in printed form and distributed throughout the District Court.

One of the offshoots of the conference was the establishment of a group of presiding justices, clerk-magistrates, and chief probation officers which meets regularly with the Chief Justice in an effort to better define the management roles of each and their relationship with one another. Chief Justice Zoll has given this matter priority attention, and it is hoped that it will result in better understanding, cooperation, and coordination within the 69 district courts of the Commonwealth.

Registry Abstracts. A number of steps were taken during 1985 to improve the registry abstract preparation process in the District Court. This is an essential aspect of District Court business, since the bulk of Registry of Motor Vehicles (RMV) license suspension activity is based on abstracts that are received from the district courts.

A task force of District Court and RMV personnel was given the task to produce a comprehensive manual on preparing Registry abstracts. This manual will be distributed in quantity throughout the District Court so that every court employee who works with abstracts will have a copy and be able to refer to it to answer questions. It is hoped that this approach will lead to significant improvements in preparing the abstracts in the courts.

The Administrative Office of the District Court is also working with the Registry in the implementation of its new computer system. This system holds the prospect for further improvement in the Registry abstract process. It will reduce the amount of abstract preparation work needed in the local court, it will more effectively deal with corrections to abstracts, and it will provide valuable management information to the Registry, the District Court Administrative Office, and the local courts.

Uniform Forms. The District Court Central Forms Procurement System, whereby individual courts can requisition uniform District Court forms from the central point, is now well in place and in daily use. The system facilitated preparation of several new forms in 1985. One is a "Recall of Warrant" form which permits the court to make an accurate record of the act of recalling a warrant. In one typing there is prepared a memorandum of the recall, a written notice to the appropriate police department, a notice to the defendant that he or she can carry on the person to indicate that the warrant has been recalled, and other forms necessary to the effective implementation of a warrant recall process. The form is being tested in a number of district courts prior to its finalization and implementation throughout the District Court system.

Another useful form that was developed and is now being tested is the "Drinking Establishment Notice and Report of License Action" form. In one typing this form prepares the various notices that the law requires be given in certain cases of operating under the influence of intoxicating liquor. These include notices to the Attorney General, the District Attorney, any establishment where the defendant was drinking, the local police department, the Registry of Motor Vehicles, etc. It is hoped that the development of this form will facilitate compliance with these rather complicated notice requirements.

Finally, a review of all of the SYSTEMATIC forms that have been promulgated during the past several years has begun. A questionnaire was sent to each court requesting comments on the various forms, and many valuable comments were received. These are being reviewed and will form the basis for revisions in the forms in order to maximize their usefulness in the local court environment.

Hank Burns, Westfield District

Carol Gaudette, Wrentham District

Judge Ann Gibbons, Ware District



Judge Francis Larkin, Milford District

Judge John Markey, New Bedford District

Judge Robert Welsh, Orleans District

Housing Court Department

The Housing Court Department in the past year has experienced an increased demand upon the court's staff. The caseload, especially in the Boston Division has increased dramatically. Within the Boston Division, the total civil cases and criminal complaints have increased 28 percent. This increased caseload has induced both operating divisions to become more innovative in caseflow management techniques. The clerk-magistrate for the Boston Division has arranged with Northeastern University, in cooperation with the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, to bring in students as full-time employees in a work-study program.

With the increased paperflow, the court has, at the same time, experienced a greater demand for its time by the public in seeking assistance. Not only is the public (statewide) constantly seeking to utilize the court's expertise, but representatives and senators representating areas of the state and nation without housing courts are reaching out to the department for assistance.

The Worcester Division, which was created in 1983 by the Legislature, now has a judge and clerk appointed by Governor Dukakis, and with the assistance of the Chief Administrative Justice, quarters have been obtained and prepared for the court; and finally with the passage of the Fiscal Year 1986 budget, the division is now open. Both the Boston and Hampden County Divisions have made available their expertise to the Worcester County Division, and based upon that experience, the Worcester County Housing Court should be the best prepared court to open its doors in fulfilling its purpose of addressing one of the most pressing problems facing the state - housing.

Edward Butler, Boston Housing

Juvenile Court Department

The Juvenile Court Department consists of divisions in Boston, Bristol County, Springfield, and Worcester. Within their respective jurisdictions, the divisions deal with all cases of delinquency, CHINS (Children in Need of Services), and Care and Protection petitions. In those areas without juvenile courts, similar jurisdiction is exercised through the juvenile sessions of the district courts. The divisions of the Juvenile Court Department also excercise jurisdiction over all de novo appeals within their respective counties.

During the past year the department has continued its efforts to maintain and improve court and community support services. The Citizenship Training Group, Inc. (C.T.G.), the Youth Development Program, and Youth, Inc. continue to provide intensive supervision and rehabilitative services, as a condition of probation, to the Boston, Springfield, and Worcester Courts, respectively. In addition, C.T.G. includes diversion and summer work components. Court Jesters, Inc. in Worcester provides recreational opportunities for youth who have not previously participated in organized sports or other traditional programming. Through the sponsorship of the local United Way, the Worcester Division actively participates in an Alternative Sentencing Project in which, as a condition of probation, a certain number of hours of community service work is ordered by the court. Such activities may include visiting the elderly, patient assistance at local hospitals, and repair and maintenance projects. The Springfield Division is approaching completion of plans for a similar program in their community.

In the Boston Division, the CASA (Court Appointed Special Advocate) Program has become a firmly established component of the services offered by that court. The program consists of volunteers from diverse backgrounds who, after intensive training, act as guardians ad litem to insure that child abuse and neglect victims who have been removed from their own homes do not languish in temporary foster homes. The volunteers themselves have reached out to the community to recruit additional participants.

Often the problems presented in the case that come before the court are complex, and the services of more than one agency are required for their resolution. To assist the court in obtaining these services and to insure their coordinated delivery, the Executive Office of Human Services agreed to assign a staff person to serve as liaison between its agencies and the Boston Division. This liaison has been able to expedite the provision of appropriate services to the mutual clients of the court and the agencies.

The Springfield Division, in cooperation with the Division of Alcoholism, has continued its alcohol education programs, attendance at which is a condition of probation for juveniles charged with possession or transportation of alcohol. An alcohol education program was implemented in the Boston Division. Designed as a pilot project for possible expansion to the other divisions, the program is aimed at the 14 and 15 year-old population and focuses on preventive education rather than treatment.

Through the expansion of its court clinic program, the department continues to provide psychiatric and psychological services throughout its jurisdiction. The use of adolescent therapeutic groups was introduced during this past year to assess the needs of the group members and to buttress the efforts of clinic and probation staff toward further delinquency prevention. Group treatment includes the development of socialization and interpersonal skills and the dissemination of information on such topics as community, school and family relationships, and drug and alcohol abuse.

The department has continued its ongoing, in-service training program for its own personnel and for certain agency personnel who provide court support services. The court clinic training programs have been expanded with regularly scheduled sessions which are available to Judges, probation staff, and other court personnel. The department continues to provide internships to graduate and undergraduate students, which enables them to gain field work experience in areas such as social work, counseling, education, law, and medicine.

Consistent with its commitment to the education of the public in the area of children's needs and the role of the court in addressing these needs, court staff have continued to appear before various medical, legal, social, and other community and child advocacy groups. They also participate regularly in conferences and training programs in conjunction with various public and private agencies. As part of its effort to improve and expand the services available to children and their families, staff members on all levels of the department serve on various judicial, legislative, and administrative committees and advisory boards.

The department has commenced an operational review which will ultimately lead to the implementation of an automated information and records access system in all its divisions. It is anticipated that full implementation of this system will take three years to accomplish and will require the securing of ongoing fundings as well as suitable physical facilities in Boston and Worcester, a long-standing priority which has yet to be resolved. Completion of this project will further improve the department's ability to fulfill its obligation to both its clients and the community.

Land Court Department

The Land Court Department of the Trial Court has statewide jurisdiction over most real property related matters and disputes. In addition, the court has general authority over transactions involving registered land and supervisory authority over record-keeping practices at the land registration offices at the Commonwealth's 21 Registries of Deeds. The court consists of three justices and a staff of approximately 70 persons including a number of survey engineers and draftsmen.

Efforts to computerize the court's case docketing system continued throughout the year. For some time tax lien foreclosure cases were docketed on paper as well as on one of the court's two microcomputers. Early in October, dual docketing of these cases ceased and the court is now relying solely on the computer record.

The acquisition of three word processors has contributed to the automation of some tasks at the court, and while computerization at the court is not burgeoning, a good start has been made.

A concerted attempt to reduce the backlog of plans to be prepared by the court's Engineering Division was made in 1985. For a time, the division was closed to the public for two days a week; only temporary gains were achieved. Chief Justice Marilyn M. Sullivan is vigorously continuing retired Judge William I. Randall's efforts to solve this problem.

As Land Court statistics indicate, there has been a negligible increase in registration and confirmation cases entered at the court in 1985. There was some increase in the number of cases filed subsequent to registration. The number of tax lien foreclosure cases decreased by about 1,000 while the number of equity and miscellaneous cases filed remained much the same as in 1984.

Several important points not reflected in the statistics should be made about the court's delivery of services to the public. Since 1974, all documents relating to the creation of condominiums on registered land anywhere in the Commonwealth have been received by the court. Condominium master deeds must bear the approval of a Justice of the court before they may be registered. For the past two years, the court has employed an attorney whose sole task it is to review these frequently complex and voluminous documents. The plans for the condominium must be reviewed by the Engineering Division. Subsequent amendments to master deeds are also reviewed by the court. The volume of work associated with condominium document review has increased as dramatically with the popularity of condominium ownerships. The court receives no fee for this work, which it sees as a service to the conveyancing bar and the public.

Also unreflected statistically is the increase in the number of cases the court hears under G.L. c. 40A, s.17, appeals from zoning boards of appeal and planning boards. The number of such cases entered at the Land Court has increased substantially since chapter 40A was amended, and the court expects this trend to continue as attorneys learn that this court is a forum for such matters. Another reason for this increase is the fact that this court is able to assign cases for trial very quickly.

By far the most significant change at the Land Court in 1985 was the retirement, in September, of William I. Randall, Chief Justice of the court for almost 14 years and the appointment to that post of Marilyn M. Sullivan, Associate Justice of the court for 12 years. While the departure of Justice Randall was cause for sadness, the appointment of Chief Justice Sullivan is cause for optimism for the future of the Land Court.

Chief Justice Marilyn M. Sullivan

Probate and Family Court Department

The Probate and Family Court Department, which consists of 14 divisions located in each county of the Commonwealth, has jurisdiction over such family-related matters as divorce, separate support, custody and adoptions, as well as probate matters such as wills, trusts, guardianships, conservatorships, etc. It also has general equity jurisdiction, the basis for new types of cases such as the "right to die" actions, medical treatment of incompetents and administration of anti-psychotic drugs.

In Fiscal Year 1985, case flow management continued to be the primary focus of the department. The time standards established by the Supreme Judicial Court met with no objection and reflected similar goals set by the court. The time standards require all contested domestic cases to be heard within 90 days of trial request and uncontested domestic cases to be heard within 30 days of trial request.

To meet those standards, the court continued its various case management initiatives such as pretrial conferences and the use of satellite sessions in various locations. These sessions run special trial lists drawn from the inventory of cases in several surrounding courts. Satellites continued at the Boston University School of Law (Suffolk, Norfolk, and Middlesex); the Concord and Marlborough District Courts (Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk, and Essex); and the Wrentham District Court (Norfolk, Plymouth, and Bristol). Satellite sittings for Worcester cases continued several days a week at Fitchburg and Westborough. Consideration will be given in Fiscal Year 1986 to the establishment of a satellite session to handle long contested cases from the four western divisions, a recommendation of the Case Flow Management Committee.

Special sessions were held at various institutions to move the large quantity of new anti-psychotic drug cases which were filed. It is estimated that approximately 1,000 guardianships requesting authority to administer anti-psychotic drugs were filed in the fiscal year.

The department continued to provide two justices each month to the Superior Court Department throughout the year and handled numerous interdepartmental assignments in conjunction with other departments, most notably the Superior, Juvenile, and District Courts.

The Case Flow Management Committee visited all the divisions again (except Nantucket), making reports to the Chief Justice and Chief Administrative Justice. Implementation directives to the divisions were sent after the local courts were given a change to comment on the recommendations presented.

Because of the positive response to the suggestion of the Committee, it was directed to plan on making visits to each court annually.

Since accurate statistics are so important to the movement of cases, the administrative office once again conducted an audit of the monthly statistical compilations of each division (the Monthly Trial List Reports). The completed audits are sent to the justices and registers of the divisions for review and implementation of recommendations.

The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice completed an initial systems analysis for the department after a team spent several months reviewing practices at one division. The Chief Justice has appointed a special automation committee to follow up on the analysis with concrete proposals. The committee is expected to file its final report during Fiscal Year 1986.

The department continued its flat-filing and records management program during Fiscal Year 1985; all divisions will have adequate modern shelving systems by Fiscal Year 1986. Work was begun on a statewide forms revision and printing project which will continue into Fiscal Year 1986.

Left, R. Moran, Plymouth Probate, and Chief Justice Alfred L. Podolski. Center, Judge George Asack. Right, P.O. Gail Packer.

Superior Court Department

The Superior Court maintains sessions at 24 courts throughout the Commonwealth. During most months, the court is able to conduct an average of 74 civil and criminal sessions as a result of assistance from retired Justices who have been "recalled" to service and from Justices designated by the Chief Administrative Justice from other departments of the Trial Court.

The plan for regional administration of some functions of the Court, implemented in early 1980, was amended by the appointment of one additional Justice in the two administrative regions which have the largest volume of pending cases and the most new entries to the civil and criminal dockets. In these areas where regional control has been shown to be of significant benefit in controlling and reducing caseload, one Justice is responsible for only the criminal or civil business in his region.

Superior Court Justices' assignments take them on circuit throughout the counties, and the master schedule is prepared in advance for the entire calendar year. The Chief Justice has implemented a modification of the schedule in Suffolk County by assigning several Justices the responsibility for a set number of "ripe" civil matters and extending their sessions for a period of four months. It has been shown that this kind of aggressive judicial oversight is quite effective in bringing to an appropriate conclusion litigation that would otherwise languish on an overcrowded docket.

The Superior Court, concurrently with the Supreme Judicial Court, has original jurisdiction of civil actions and matters in which equitable relief is sought, cognizant under the general principles of equity jurisprudence and exclusive original jurisdiction of all actions in which injunctive relief is sought in any matter involving or growing out of a labor dispute. The court has original jurisdiction over the processing of all petitions on motions seeking authorization for an abortion under General Laws, Chapter 11, Section 12S, as well as exclusive jurisdiction for the convening of medical malpractice tribunals under the provisions of General Laws, Chapter 231, Section 60B.

In criminal matters, the court has original jurisdicition of all crimes and generally exercises jurisdiction over all felony matters except those which other courts, by statute, have original and/or concurrent jurisdiction.

Each year 28 law school graduates are selected for one-year appointments as law clerks to the Superior Court Justices. The competition for these positions is keen, and only those who have demonstrated outstanding legal ability are chosen. Although generally supervised by the Chief Justice, a chief law clerk and assistant chief law clerk are chosen from among the previous year's clerks to directly supervise the program.

As the Justices do, the law clerks "ride circuit" and on a monthly basis are assigned to work with the Justices at the various Superior Courthouses throughout the counties. The kinds of legal work that may be assigned to a clerk include preparing memoranda on points of law, assisting in the writing of draft decisions and proposed jury instruction, and preparing written summaries of new appellate decisions. Since assignments derive from current litigation, subject matter research can cover any aspect of criminal or civil law and may involve either in-depth study and the preparation of detailed written work or quick-answer research on admissability of evidence or some other matter that may arise during the course of a trial or hearing.

During 1985, the law clerks completed a major revision of the reference book that is available to the Justices which contains sample charges for a jury in criminal trials. Additionally, they have begun to revise two other reference books. One covers charges related to defenses raised in criminal trials and the other covers charges in civil jury trials. Both of these projects are expected to be completed in late spring, 1986.

Last year the chief and assistant chief law clerk initiated a seminar program for the benefit of both clerks and Justices, and it was greatly expanded during 1985. Each month, one law clerk and a Justice collaborated on the research and presentation of a seminar on a topic or issue relevant to a pending or recent matter before the court. Some of the seminars during 1985 covered issues in zoning, medical malpractice tribunals, civil rights, and search and seizure problems.

The Superior Court Judicial Internship Program held its eleventh successful program in 1985. Selected students from each of the law schools in the Commonwealth were permitted to intern with different Justices each month during the spring semester. Students earned course credit while at the same time having a unique opportunity to observe the role of the Judge and the attorneys in the presentation and determination of matters before the court.

With strong support from the Chief Justice and the Committee on Bail, the Superior Court this past year became involved in a study directed by Dr. John S. Goldkamp of Temple University on the development of guidelines to be used in bail determinations. Extensive data on past bail determinations has been collected in three sites, Miami, Phoenix, and Boston, and the results are expected to provide the court with significant information on the relationship of particluar circumstances and the weight given to them in past release decisions, and thus provide a more rational framework in which to make such decisions in the future. The study is also expected to be of some benefit as a tool in alleviating local jail overcrowding.

Court Officer Barbara Marrin, Essex Superior Court

Assistant Clerk-Magistrates Jo-Dee Sylvester Doyle and Robert Panneton, Essex Superior Court

Judge Elbert Tuttle

Trial Court

Caseload

Statistical Appendix

Introduction

The following section presents detailed data on the various caseloads of the seven departments of the Trial Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Different departments record and report caseload-related data at various levels of detail and in categories best suited to explain caseflow within their respective legal jurisdiction.

This report presents tables and graphs which, as data permits, includes the following:

- cases pending at the start of the fiscal year
- cases added
- cases disposed of or otherwise terminated
- cases pending at the end of the fiscal year
- age of the caseload pending at year-end.

These five basic data elements are also used to generate a series of indicators of a department's effectiveness in meeting its demands. These indicators include:

- comparison of end pending volume to start pending volume
- throughput or rate of dispositions relative to rate of cases added
- historic comparisons of cases pending, cases added or cases disposed
- analyses of the composition of the categories of cases pending or added and of the types of dispositions.

Finally, the report presents a brief narrative overview of the data from each department. The narrative points out and attempts to explain any trends, highlights, and peculiarities of the data which follow.

Please note that the Trial Court statistics are based on Fiscal Year 1985 (July 1, 1984, to June 30, 1985). The statistics for the Office of the Commissioner of Probation and the Office of the Jury Commissioner were compiled during Calendar Year 1985.

Boston

Municipal

Court

Department

Boston Municipal Court Department

This section contains the data tables and graphs displaying information on the movement of cases in four casetype areas through the Boston Municipal Court Department during Fiscal Year 1985.

Criminal

Criminal caseload data comprise of motor vehicle, domestic relations, and other criminal complaints.

During Fiscal Year 1985, the Boston Municipal Court Department disposed of 9,093 complaints at trial. This is an increase of 716 trials or 8.5 percent from Fiscal Year 1984. The Fiscal Year 1985 disposition-at-trial level is down 11 percent from Fiscal Year 1980 dispositions.

Only 1 percent of the Fiscal Year 1985 dispositions-at-trial were disposed by guilty plea compared to:

- 4 percent in Fiscal Year 1984
- 7 percent in Fiscal Year 1983
- 8 percent in Fiscal Year 1982
- 11 percent in Fiscal Year 1981
- 15 percent in Fiscal Year 1980.

Dispositions by guilty plea have been on a decrease since Fiscal Year 1980. The decrease in guilty pleas contributes to the overall trend toward decreasing dispositions by causing the court to commit more time and other resources to each case.

Out of the 9,093 complaints disposed of at trial, 1,819 or 20 percent were placed on probation compared to:

- 22 percent in Fiscal Year 1984
- 24 percent in Fiscal Year 1983
- 18 percent in Fiscal Year 1982
- 19 percent in Fiscal Year 1981
- 20 percent in Fiscal Year 1980.

The number of complaints pending trial for which a defendant is not currently incarcerated decreased over the Fiscal Year 1984 level by 875 complaints or 8.8 percent.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

The department began Fiscal Year 1985 with 542 active jury requests awaiting trial. At the close of Fiscal Year 1985, the pending caseload increased by 137 defendants to 679 jury trial requests awaiting trial. The end pending has been steadily increasing since Fiscal Year 1982 and has almost doubled since that time.

During Fiscal Year 1985, the department received 2,799 additional requests for jury trial compared to 2,588 during Fiscal Year 1984. Fifty-five percent, compared to 47 percent the previous fiscal year, of these requests were for a jury trial in the first instance. The remainder of these requests were de novo appeals. All juvenile delinquency cases initiated in Boston are under the jurisdiction of the Boston Juvenile Court Division.

Requests received have steadily increased in each of the five years since the establishment of the jury-of-six caseload in the department. The most consistent aspect of this growth has been in the increase of first instance jury requests. First instance requests have tripled in five years and are up 192 percent from Fiscal Year 1981 levels and are up 27 percent from Fiscal Year 1984.

There are three ways in which a jury request can be terminated as an active status case: withdrawal of appeal, disposition by the court, and failure to appear or default by the defendant.

Fiscal Year 1985 withdrawal of appeals have increased by 77 cases or more than double last fiscal years 59 percent. The 207 withdrawals equal 16 percent of the total de novo appeal requests received.

Dispositions for Fiscal Year 1985 totalled 2,454 defendants, an increase of 177 from Fiscal Year 1984. Over one-half or 63 percent of initial jury requests were disposed of at a nonjury or bench trial.

Jury session dispositions for Fiscal Year 1985 break down as follows:

- 63.0 percent after bench trial (1,546)
- 12.4 percent after guilty plea (304)
- 5.3 percent after jury trial (130)
- 19.3 percent by other means of disposition (474)

Relative to the District Court Department jury sessions, the Boston Municipal Court Department disposes of a much larger proportion of its jury caseload by bench trial and a much smaller proportion by guilty pleas.

Throughput for Fiscal Year 1985 was 87.7 percent. Throughput is the ratio of cases received and disposed.

The cumulative case aging analysis for the end of the last two fiscal years is as follows:

	Fiscal Year 1984 (542 defendants)	Fiscal Year 1985 (679 defendants)
0-30 days	35.1%	32.7%
0-60 days	60.6%	55.1%
0-90 days	75.4%	70.0%
0-120 days	80.8%	78.0%
Over 120 days	9.6%	15.6%

Non-Criminal Caseload

A total of 43,268 non-criminal matters were initiated during the year. This is an increase of 5,693 cases or 15 percent over Fiscal Year 1984 and continues the pattern of annual increases in non-criminal filings begun in Fiscal Year 1981.

Civil cases, small claims, and small claims supplementary process, which comprise 97.3 percent of the department's non-criminal case filings, have risen consistently over the past five years.

One case category, summary process, has decreased consistently since Fiscal Year 1980, an indication of the emergence of the Housing Court Department as the forum of choice for landlord-tenant conflicts.

During Fiscal Year 1985, the Probation Department received 409 U.R.E.S.A. cases. This added to a workload of 185 cases already open and under supervision and 73 cases under investigation. Three hundred and sixty-two cases were redirected to the court division with the appropriate geographic jurisdiction. Total collections of support payments for the year totalled $144,927.90.

In Fiscal Year 1985, the department disposed of 22,815 non-criminal matters. This is a decrease of 5,116 dispositions or an 18 percent decline from last year. The Fiscal Year 1985 disposition volume resulted in a throughput ratio (dispositions per 100 filings) of 53 percent.

Appellate Division

The Boston Municipal Court Department Appellate Division is composed of three justices. Panels are composed of not more than three justices; two justices constitute a quorum. The panel is authorized to hear appeals on points of law only from the department's civil session.

Data is included for Fiscal Year 1985 division activity.



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
PRIMARY COURT CRIMINAL BUSINESS
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1980-1985

							CHG FY'84-FY'85	
	FY'80	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
CRIMINAL TRIALS								
PLEADS GUILTY	1355	1009	620	539	329	94	-235	-71.4%
PLEADS NOT GUILTY	8876	8854	7487	7866	8048	8999	951	11.8%
TOTAL TRIALS	10231	9863	8107	8405	8377	9093	716	8.5%
PLACED ON PROBATION	2001	1854	1433	1989	2290	1819	-471	-20.6%
PENDING CASELOAD	7517	9999	8214	8133	9958	9083	-875	-8.8%

BMC PRIMARY COURT PENDING CASELOAD

FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1980-1985





BMC MONTHLY PENDING CASELOAD

JULY 1984 THROUGH JUNE 1985

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
MONTHLY COMPARISONS FY 1985

FY'85 MONTH	START PEND	CASES RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS-POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT
JUL '84	542	224	16	194	-4	560	18	86.6%
AUG '84	560	233	17	157	14	605	45	67.4%
SEP '84	605	153	18	196	16	528	-77	128.1%
OCT '84	528	233	24	200	-16	553	25	85.8%
NOV '84	553	258	17	236	-2	560	7	91.5%
DEC '84	560	222	16	188	-4	582	22	84.7%
JAN '85	582	245	22	212	-3	596	14	86.5%
FEB '85	596	218	14	209	6	585	-11	95.9%
MAR '85	585	257	18	198	-4	630	45	77.0%
APR '85	630	249	19	211	0	649	19	84.7%
MAY '85	649	240	19	264	-8	614	-35	110.0%
JUN '85	614	267	7	189	6	679	65	70.8%
FY '85 TOTAL	542	2799	207	2454	1	679	137	87.7%



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	START PEND	JURY REQUESTS	APPEALS RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS-POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT
FY '81	288	522	1474	41	1507	271	465	177	75.5%
FY '82	465	820	1647	57	2141	364	370	-95	86.8%
FY '83	370	955	1563	62	2308	38	480	110	91.7%
FY '84	480	1205	1383	130	2277	119	542	62	88.0%
FY '85	542	1525	1274	207	2454	1	679	137	87.7%

JURY OF SIX DISPOSITIONS

FISCAL YEAR 1985



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF JURY OF SIX DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981-1985

FISCAL YEAR	GUILTY PLEA #	GUILTY PLEA %	JURY TRIAL #	JURY TRIAL %	BENCH TRIAL #	BENCH TRIAL %	OTHER #	OTHER %	TOTAL
FY '81	496	32.9%	120	8.0%	651	43.2%	240	15.9%	1507
FY '82	630	29.4%	214	10.0%	851	39.7%	446	20.8%	2141
FY '83	771	33.4%	223	9.7%	840	36.4%	474	20.5%	2308
FY '84	633	27.8%	187	8.2%	1036	45.5%	421	18.5%	2277
FY '85	304	12.4%	130	5.3%	1546	63.0%	474	19.3%	2454

BMC JURY TRIAL REQUESTS

FISCAL YEAR 1985



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL RECEIVED
FISCAL YEAR 1985

COURT OF ORIGIN	1ST INSTANCE JURY REQUESTS #	1ST INSTANCE JURY REQUESTS %	DE NOVO APPEALS REC'D #	DE NOVO APPEALS REC'D %	TOTAL REQUESTS	% OF TOTAL REQUESTS
BMC	174	36.9%	297	63.1%	471	16.8%
BRI	78	56.5%	60	43.5%	138	4.9%
C'TWN	269	87.3%	39	12.7%	308	11.0%
CHEL	337	71.4%	135	28.6%	472	16.9%
DORCH	128	32.2%	270	67.8%	398	14.2%
E BOS	166	52.7%	149	47.3%	315	11.3%
S BOS	125	56.1%	98	43.9%	223	8.0%
ROX	170	54.8%	140	45.2%	310	11.1%
W ROX	78	47.6%	86	52.4%	164	5.9%
TOTAL	1525	54.5%	1274	45.5%	2799	100.0%

BMC—FISCAL YEAR 1985

PENDING CASELOAD BY DAYS



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CASELOAD AT YEAR END
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981-1985

FISCAL	0-30 DAYS		31-60 DAYS		61-90 DAYS		91-120 DAYS		OVER 120 DAYS		DEFAULTS REMOVED		
YEAR	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
FY '81	164	35.3%	134	28.8%	115	24.7%	34	7.3%	18	3.9%	0	0.0%	465
FY '82	177	47.8%	141	38.1%	39	10.5%	8	2.2%	5	1.4%	0	0.0%	370
FY '83	197	41.0%	113	23.5%	56	11.7%	27	5.6%	49	10.2%	38	7.9%	480
FY '84	190	35.1%	138	25.5%	80	14.8%	29	5.4%	52	9.6%	53	9.8%	542
FY '85	222	32.7%	152	22.4%	101	14.9%	54	8.0%	106	15.6%	44	6.5%	679

DEFAULTS REMOVED- Fiscal year 1983 is the first year for which default removed data is available.

BMC CIVIL ENTRIES

FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1980—1985



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
NON-CRIMINAL CASELOAD ANALYSIS
ENTRIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1980-1985

							CHG FY'84-FY'85	
CIVIL CASE TYPES	FY'80	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
GENERAL CIVIL CASES	27585	20042	22229	25410	25873	29407	3534	13.7%
TRANSFER	368	256	205	166	199	182	-17	-8.5%
MENTAL COMMITMENTS	23	32	50	85	71	51	-20	-28.2%
SUMMARY PROCESS	691	561	534	411	401	417	16	4.0%
SMALL CLAIMS	2481	2402	3144	5774	6457	7149	692	10.7%
SUPPLEMENTARY PROCESS								
GENERAL CIVIL	1247	940	790	705	653	502	-151	-23.1%
SMALL CLAIMS	502	660	675	1465	3901	5542	1641	42.1%
VICTIMS OF VIOLENT CRIMES	N/A	25	26	17	20	18	-2	-10.0%
TOTAL	32897	24918	27653	34033	37575	43268	5693	15.2%

BMC CIVIL DISPOSITIONS

FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1980—1985



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
NON-CRIMINAL CASELOAD ANALYSIS
DISPOSITIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1980-1985

							CHG FY'84-FY'85	
CIVIL CASE TYPES	FY'80	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
GENERAL CIVIL CASES	15076	13973	16370	18128	18697	8645	-10052	-53.8%
TRANSFER	610	403	234	153	122	55	-67	-54.9%
MENTAL COMMITMENTS	23	32	50	85	71	32	-39	-54.9%
SUMMARY PROCESS	362	389	500	404	387	427	40	10.3%
SMALL CLAIMS	1529	917	1202	2420	4051	6318	2267	56.0%
SUPPLEMENTARY PROCESS								
GENERAL CIVIL	393	478	325	306	276	166	-110	-39.9%
SMALL CLAIMS	395	488	1024	2509	4316	7159	2843	65.9%
VICTIMS OF VIOLENT CRIMES	N/A	10	20	14	11	13	2	18.2%
TOTAL	18388	16690	19725	24019	27931	22815	-5116	-18.3%

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

NON-CRIMINAL ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

Appellate Division Report

From July 1, 1984, through June 30, 1985

Requests for Report	16
Reports Allowed	9
Reports Disallowed	2
Petitions to Establish	1
Cases Decided	7
Affirmed	6
Reversed	1
Entire Retrial Ordered	0
Appeals to Supreme Judicial Court	2
Appeals to Supreme Judicial Court - Perfected	2

District

Court

Department

District Court Department

The District Court Department records detailed caseflow data in six areas of the legal jurisdiction of its 69 divisions.

Criminal Caseload

Criminal complaints filed in the District Court Department were at the highest levels of the past five years in Fiscal Year 1985.

Criminal complaints filed in Fiscal Year 1985 totalled 689,748. This was an increase of 38,373 complaints or 5.9 percent from Fiscal Year 1984. Motor vehicle complaints comprised of 64 percent of all complaints filed. The motor vehicle complaints were slightly down from last fiscal year while the remaining 36 percent non-motor vehicle-related complaints increased by 38,769 complaints or 18.6 percent.

The complaints comprising the caseload break down as follows:

- 6%	Operating Under the Influence
- 4%	Serious Motor Vehicle
- 54%	Other Motor Vehicle Complaints
- 2%	Nonsupport
- 4%	Assault Crimes
- 2%	Breaking and Entering
- 9%	Larceny and Fraud
- 4%	Narcotics
- 3%	Disorderly Conduct
- 12%	Other Criminal Complaints

There were 503,130 criminal complaints disposed of by the department in Fiscal Year 1985. This is a 4.3 percent decline from last year. Throughput for the fiscal year was 73 percent.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

There were 2,696 active jury requests pending before the 18 jury session divisions at the start of the fiscal year.

During the year, 17,620 requests for jury trial were received. This is an increase of 1,494 requests from Fiscal Year 1984's 16,126 requests. More than half of these requests, 62.3 percent, were requests for a jury trial in the first instance by adult defendants. Adult defendants requesting a de novo jury trial on appeal accounted for an additional 35.9 percent of all requests. Requests for jury trials both de novo and in the first instance for juvenile delinquency and Children in Need of Services cases made up the remaining 1.8 percent.

There were 774 appeals withdrawn in Fiscal Year 1985 compared to 931 appeals withdrawn in Fiscal Year 1984. This is 4.4 percent of all requests received, and it is 11.8 percent of all de novo appeal jury requests received.

Dispositions totalled 15,801, up for the fourth consecutive year.

- 59.6%	Guilty Plea
- 12.9%	Jury Trial
- 11.3%	Bench Trial
- 16.1%	Other

Throughput for Fiscal Year 1985 was 89.7 percent.

At the end of Fiscal Year 1985, there were 3,400 jury requests actively awaiting action by the District Court Department, up 704 pending requests from the start of the year. Eighty percent of this end pending caseload has been pending for less than 90 days.

Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Activity

In Fiscal Year 1985, law enforcement agencies issued 790,609 citations for decriminalized motor vehicle violations. This is an increase of 112,174 citations or 16.5 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.

During the same period, 472,703 citations were disposed of non-criminally through the payment of a fine. This is a throughput rate of 59.8 percent for the year.

For the same period, there were 104,086 clerk-magistrate hearings to dispose of contested decriminalized motor vehicle complaints. This is an increase of 8,141 hearing or 8.5 percent over the Fiscal Year 1984 level.

Non-Criminal Caseload

The District Court Department reports data for 10 categories of non-criminal case activity. Overall, the changes in these case categories in Fiscal Year 1985 relative to the past several years were minor. However, several subtle but important changes are apparent in both the volume and composition of the caseload.

New cases entered for all types of non-criminal case categories totalled 235,942 for Fiscal Year 1985. This is an increase of 2.5 percent from last year.

In terms of composition, the department's Fiscal Year 1985 caseload is as follows:

- 52% Small Claims Cases
- 21% Civil Cases
- 8% Summary Process Cases
- 7% Civil Supplementary Process Cases
- 7% Spousal Abuse Petitions
- 2% U.R.E.S.A. Cases
- 2% Mental Commitment Cases
- 1% Transfer Cases, Victims of Violent Crimes, etc.

District Court Department dispositions totalled 183,365 for the fiscal year. This is an increase of 12,106 dispositions or 7.1 percent from last year which continues the general upward trend in the number of disposed cases each year. The ratio of cases disposed to entries is 78 percent.

Juvenile Caseload

Three categories of juvenile related business are reported: juvenile delinquency, children in need of services (CHINS), and care and protection cases.

In Fiscal Year 1985, there was a slight increase in juvenile delinquency activity from the previous fiscal year. Relative to last year, total juvenile delinquency complaints filed were up by 12.9 percent to 30,834 complaints. Motor vehicle related delinquency complaints, 23.7 percent of the total, were also up from Fiscal Year 1984 by 16.3 percent.

Disposition of delinquency complaints decreased by 2,203 or 9.9 percent from the previous year's disposition volume. Since Fiscal Year 1982, dispositions have been on a decline.

Fiscal Year 1985 is the fourth consecutive year in which the number of CHINS applications received and petitions issued have increased. Dispositions held roughly consistent with the past several years at between 1,800 and 2,000 per year.

Care and protection petitions received were down 3.3 percent while dispositions increased by 3.6 percent over last year. In Fiscal Year 1985, 993 petitions were received and 575 petitions were disposed of by the court.

Appellate Division

There are three regional appellate division locations for the District Court Department. Each of these divisions are composed of five justices. The panels are authorized to hear appeals on points of law only in non-criminal cases from the divisions of the District Court Department. Panels are composed of not more than three justices; two justices constitute a quorum.

Appellate Division activity was up, in general, from Fiscal Year 1984. Average time from trial court judgment to appellate division entry increased by 84 days from last year's average, while average days from appellate division entry to disposition decreased by 59 days.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary Report of Criminal Business

	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	Change FY'84 - FY'85 #	Change FY'84 - FY'85 %
Motor Vehicle Complaints	391,912	321,432	351,692	442,446	442,050	-396	-0.1
All Other Complaints	220,337	222,439	211,437	208,929	247,698	38,769	18.6
Total Criminal Complaints	612,249	543,871	563,129	651,375	689,748	38,373	5.9
Criminal Complaint Dispositions	419,604	379,368	436,122	525,616	503,130	-22,486	-4.3
Throughput-Dispositions per 100 Entries	69%	70%	77%	79%	73%		

Summary Report of Decriminalized Business*

Decriminalized Business							
Citations Returned	539,241	535,950	596,244	678,435	790,609	112,174	16.5
Citations Disposed Of	307,608	293,546	332,792	397,196	472,703	75,507	19.0
Clerk-Magistrate Hearings	56,642	59,713	58,518	95,945	104,086	8,141	8.5

*The decriminalization of certain motor vehicle offenses (see G.L.c.90, section 20F) was established by Chapter 478 of the Acts of 1978 to become effective January 1, 1979. Fiscal Year 1981 is the first year for which decriminalized figures are available.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT—CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS—FISCAL 1985

Court	Operating Under Influence	Serious Motor Vehicle	Other Motor Vehicle	Total M.V. Cmplts.	Non-Support	Assault	Break & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Neglient Homicide	Narcotics	Distub. Peace	All Other Cmplts.	Total Cmplts. Entered	Total Cmplts. Disposed
Amesbury	606	149	2,862	3,617	39	113	110	169	1	571	213	924	5,757	5,957
Attleboro	682	927	3,738	5,347	79	402	189	720	12	393	386	929	8,457	8,938
Ayer	695	157	3,553	4,405	64	253	111	299	1	361	210	631	6,335	6,310
Barnstable	1,209	640	8,204	10,053	144	571	447	2,998	2	400	363	1,742	16,720	11,174
Brighton	323	150	2,676	3,149	46	298	114	448	8	248	132	1,093	5,536	3,228
Brockton	1,006	1,126	10,723	12,855	173	1,211	242	2,313	8	721	768	2,987	21,278	21,675
Brookline	184	68	5,783	6,035	16	200	65	893	0	84	44	262	7,599	8,308
Cambridge	612	375	10,600	11,587	294	897	462	1,233	3	604	466	1,816	17,362	13,173
Charlestown	1,170	264	3,139	4,573	38	255	59	205	3	179	126	390	5,828	3,698
Chelsea	1,267	1,008	6.892	9,167	52	483	96	715	2	790	297	1,323	12,925	6,518
Chicopee	274	71	3,632	3,977	34	62	33	217	7	171	241	289	5.031	4,381
Clinton	396	173	4,639	5,208	47	141	95	257	3	262	164	688	6,865	6,561
Concord	797	324	6,016	7,137	40	142	132	610	8	299	130	570	9,068	6,801
Dedham	917	643	8,167	9,727	41	186	163	1,288	7	414	118	990	12,934	8,026
Dorchester	488	367	6,054	6,909	1,280	1,424	306	1,985	4	1,140	236	2,082	15,366	11,316
Dudley	585	308	11,700	12,593	194	296	263	636	11	345	170	544	15,052	9,436
East Boston	109	169	2,418	2,696	63	436	222	408	1	357	156	2,285	6,624	2,853
Edgartown	219	112	1.462	1,793	1	105	74	205	0	69	84	288	2,619	2,187
Fall River	811	1,225	7,067	9,103	620	1,340	599	1,642	12	722	865	1,775	16,678	12,464
Fitchburg	299	146	1,426	1,871	234	217	160	465	2	150	125	551	3,775	2,811
Framingham	1,216	467	14,068	15,751	159	525	503	2,249	2	758	469	2,218	22,634	14,712
Gardner	200	187	2,559	2,946	122	187	96	269	4	173	82	398	4,277	10,174
Gloucester	245	204	1,725	2,174	19	129	68	387	2	218	228	672	3,897	2,951
Greenfield	389	172	2,217	2,778	32	246	126	338	2	149	114	461	4,246	3,936
Haverhill	566	101	5,104	5,771	81	226	102	390	0	386	141	863	7,960	6,500
Hingham	953	619	7,569	9,141	143	507	240	1,287	3	399	305	1,299	13,324	11,575
Holyoke	272	125	2,596	2,993	37	263	164	762	1	301	315	483	5,319	2,614
Ipswich	69	51	282	402	2	13	14	67	0	60	23	63	644	287
Lawrence	1,348	1,233	4,331	6,912	3,970	801	397	2,259	0	975	716	2,853	18,883	10,070
Leominister	348	127	1,524	1,999	63	133	92	469	0	259	195	681	3,891	4,349
Lowell	2,241	385	5,514	8,140	707	1,244	712	1,748	12	1,458	1,491	2,526	18,038	12,139
Lynn	873	929	13,947	15,749	499	1,240	539	1,434	4	687	354	1,945	22,451	8,600
Malden	783	259	5,974	7,016	231	461	159	540	7	485	186	1,025	10,110	5,568
Marlborough	282	167	3,413	3,862	123	242	90	467	11	170	159	486	5,610	2,950
Milford	497	183	4,278	4,958	36	203	59	462	4	199	218	538	6,677	4,388
Nantucket	88	47	308	443	1	25	20	137	2	17	7	74	726	246

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1985

Court	Operating Under Influence	Serious Motor Vehicle	Other Motor Vehicle	Total M.V. Cmplts.	Non-Support	Assault	Break & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Neglient Homicide	Narcotics	Distub. Peace	All Other Cmplts.	Total Cmplts. Entered	Total Cmplts. Disposed
Natick	240	123	1,381	1,744	23	88	54	760	4	142	41	231	3,087	3,486
New Bedford	1,006	785	3,386	5,257	72	1,266	615	3,290	14	1,568	753	1,612	14,447	2,278
Newburyport	318	151	2,530	2,999	56	114	92	333	6	237	49	389	4,275	2,913
Newton	358	254	3,208	3,820	18	138	87	507	9	170	39	323	5,111	2,808
Northampton	974	532	9,995	11,501	182	357	316	1,004	6	309	204	1,043	14,922	9,793
No. Berkshire	344	213	1,656	2,213	53	155	99	388	3	123	215	563	3,812	1,224
Orange	225	132	1,207	1,564	66	144	64	185	2	95	121	482	2,723	1,641
Orleans	558	235	4,549	5,342	45	258	204	896	3	402	151	981	8,282	5,301
Palmer	433	163	3,152	3,748	39	180	105	268	2	129	79	379	4,929	3,313
Peabody	650	697	2,843	4,190	19	205	42	616	11	414	251	757	6,505	1,003
Pittsfield	405	233	2,799	3,437	140	325	170	480	3	450	500	1,138	6,643	3,975
Plymouth	1,152	604	4,588	6,344	192	426	297	1,113	9	466	265	2,280	11,392	9,234
Quincy	1,521	1,073	10,948	13,542	121	795	236	1,826	13	649	312	3,848	21,342	14,412
Roxbury	495	345	3,745	4,585	285	2,084	327	2,098	3	1,658	730	2,467	14,237	16,510
Salem	670	308	4,715	5,693	128	289	163	657	0	220	243	970	8,363	11,847
Somerville	562	186	4,762	5,510	137	317	114	617	2	443	93	1,216	8,449	3,349
South Boston	229	198	2,863	3,290	69	296	47	228	1	159	103	644	4,837	3,509
So. Berkshire	252	94	2,366	2,712	12	123	90	337	3	109	50	327	3,763	2,809
Spencer	354	133	1,861	2,348	69	161	162	202	3	218	116	311	3,590	2,149
Springfield	1,409	1,353	16,489	19,251	613	2,266	901	2,709	13	1,575	815	2,460	30,603	19,742
Stoughton	464	200	2,659	3,323	16	229	87	612	6	239	113	422	5,047	6,166
Taunton	873	1,261	6,217	8,351	316	939	490	2,041	3	462	437	1,729	14,768	19,590
Uxbridge	340	115	2,270	2,725	66	152	104	198	2	201	106	546	4,100	2,597
Waltham	665	221	4,919	5,805	30	343	208	692	3	295	117	1,149	8,642	5,449
Ware	121	51	1,547	1,719	18	82	30	62	1	63	38	206	2,219	1,407
Wareham	667	683	4,692	6,042	55	306	300	1,019	17	299	379	3,867	12,284	6,788
Westborough	671	168	15,317	16,156	53	264	210	605	1	442	166	578	18,475	10,573
Westfield	354	240	3,260	3,854	52	103	112	267	2	89	92	241	4,812	2,621
West Roxbury	535	413	5,880	6,828	316	1,057	296	1,299	13	666	456	1,289	12,220	8,920
Winchendon	86	31	304	421	55	50	45	130	0	49	44	286	1,080	225
Woburn	949	622	6,997	8,568	46	227	104	1,022	15	513	153	1,345	11,993	7,195
Worcester	1,410	523	37,067	39,000	750	1,346	563	2,174	14	1,332	1,856	5,440	52,475	45,582
Wrentham	646	247	6,438	7,331	157	283	185	615	16	343	162	733	9,825	7,286
TOTALS	43,035	26,245	372,770	442,050	13,993	30,845	14,242	60,221	352	29,503	19,546	78,996	609,748	503,130

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

CRIMINAL CASEFLOW TRENDS



CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED

BY CATEGORY



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISION	START PEND	CASES RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS-POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT
BARNSTABLE	132	481	47	384	7	175	43	79.8%
CAMBRIDGE	243	1808	64	1587	15	385	142	87.8%
DEDHAM	265	1576	37	1455	36	313	48	92.3%
EDGARTOWN	0	19	3	11	1	4	4	57.9%
FALL RIVER	216	1156	57	1044	58	213	-3	90.3%
FITCHBURG	138	1074	60	1021	-24	155	17	95.1%
FRAMINGHAM	102	995	24	835	-12	250	148	83.9%
GREENFIELD	39	210	4	203	-1	43	4	96.7%
HAVERHILL	483	1475	70	1657	111	125	-363	112.3%
HINGHAM	67	446	9	339	-10	175	108	76.0%
LOWELL	135	1151	54	941	6	285	150	81.8%
NANTUCKET	1	9	0	5	3	2	1	55.6%
NORTHAMPTON	57	291	45	217	-8	94	37	74.6%
PITTSFIELD	114	609	38	510	11	164	50	83.7%
SALEM	175	1419	1	1277	-16	332	157	90.0%
SPRINGFIELD	127	975	135	846	25	96	-31	86.8%
WAREHAM	120	912	95	636	35	266	146	69.7%
WORCESTER	277	3014	31	2833	104	323	46	94.0%
DEPARTMENT	2696	17620	774	15801	341	3400	704	89.7%

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT FY 1985

RATIO OF CASES ENTERED AND DISPOSED





DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL RECEIVED
FISCAL YEAR 1985

FISCAL YEAR BY QUARTERS	1ST INSTANCE CRIMINAL #	%	1ST INSTANCE JUVENILE #	%	1ST INSTANCE TOTAL #	%	DE NOVO APPEAL CRIMINAL #	%	DE NOVO APPEAL JUVENILE #	%	DE NOVO TOTAL #	%	TOTAL REQUESTS
1ST QUARTER	2608	63.0%	17	0.4%	2625	63.4%	1471	35.5%	42	1.0%	1513	36.6%	4138
2ND QUARTER	2863	62.1%	32	0.7%	2895	62.8%	1663	36.1%	54	1.2%	1717	37.2%	4612
3RD QUARTER	2688	61.3%	31	0.7%	2719	62.0%	1612	36.8%	55	1.3%	1667	38.0%	4386
4TH QUARTER	2821	62.9%	17	0.4%	2838	63.3%	1585	35.3%	61	1.4%	1646	36.7%	4484
FY '85 TOTAL	10980	62.3%	97	0.6%	11077	62.9%	6331	35.9%	212	1.2%	6543	37.1%	17620

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISION	GUILTY PLEA #	GUILTY PLEA %	JURY TRIAL #	JURY TRIAL %	BENCH TRIAL #	BENCH TRIAL %	OTHER #	OTHER %	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	274	71.4%	68	17.7%	5	1.3%	37	9.6%	384
CAMBRIDGE	888	56.0%	186	11.7%	225	14.2%	288	18.1%	1587
DEDHAM	863	59.3%	100	6.9%	17[illegible]	11.9%	319	21.9%	1435
EDGARTOWN	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	11
FALL RIVER	766	73.4%	152	14.6%	8	0.8%	118	11.3%	1044
FITCHBURG	385	37.7%	112	11.0%	421	41.2%	103	10.1%	1021
FRAMINGHAM	368	44.1%	144	17.2%	208	24.9%	115	13.8%	835
GREENFIELD	64	31.5%	31	15.3%	13	6.4%	95	46.8%	203
HAVERHILL	1323	79.8%	170	10.3%	15	0.9%	149	9.0%	1657
HINGHAM	175	51.6%	72	21.2%	1	0.3%	91	26.8%	339
LOWELL	649	69.0%	148	15.7%	14	1.5%	130	13.8%	941
NANTUCKET	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
NORTHAMPTON	40	18.4%	63	29.0%	82	37.8%	32	14.7%	217
PITTSFIELD	305	59.8%	75	14.7%	16	3.1%	114	22.4%	510
SALEM	952	74.5%	180	14.1%	14	1.1%	131	10.3%	1277
SPRINGFIELD	203	24.0%	186	22.0%	302	35.7%	155	18.3%	846
WAREHAM	360	56.6%	144	22.6%	28	4.4%	104	16.4%	636
WORCESTER	1801	63.6%	208	7.3%	264	9.3%	560	19.8%	2833
DEPARTMENT	9419	59.6%	2044	12.9%	1792	11.3%	2546	16.1%	15801

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSITIONS FY 1985



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1985

DIVISION	0-30 DAYS #	%	31-60 DAYS #	%	61-90 DAYS #	%	91-120 DAYS #	%	OVER 120 DAYS #	%	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	52	29.7%	34	19.4%	22	12.6%	27	15.4%	40	22.9%	175
CAMBRIDGE	134	34.8%	117	30.4%	69	17.9%	28	7.3%	37	9.6%	385
DEDHAM	136	43.5%	79	25.2%	44	14.1%	21	6.7%	33	10.5%	313
EDGARTOWN	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
FALL RIVER	92	43.2%	38	17.8%	44	20.7%	23	10.8%	16	7.5%	213
FITCHBURG	74	47.7%	47	30.3%	15	9.7%	6	3.9%	13	8.4%	155
FRAMINGHAM	84	33.6%	68	27.2%	35	14.0%	25	10.0%	38	15.2%	250
GREENFIELD	15	34.9%	7	16.3%	4	9.3%	4	9.3%	13	30.2%	43
HAVERHILL	68	54.4%	40	32.0%	17	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	125
HINGHAM	37	21.1%	43	24.6%	28	16.0%	26	14.9%	41	23.4%	175
LOWELL	91	31.9%	86	30.2%	50	17.5%	30	10.5%	28	9.8%	285
NANTUCKET	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
NORTHAMPTON	33	35.1%	22	23.4%	11	11.7%	8	8.5%	20	21.3%	94
PITTSFIELD	56	34.1%	47	28.7%	30	18.3%	17	10.4%	14	8.5%	164
SALEM	175	52.7%	63	19.0%	33	9.9%	21	6.3%	40	12.0%	332
SPRINGFIELD	48	50.0%	26	27.1%	9	9.4%	4	4.2%	9	9.4%	96
WAREHAM	64	24.1%	64	24.1%	47	17.7%	30	11.3%	61	22.9%	266
WORCESTER	195	60.4%	89	27.6%	30	9.3%	4	1.2%	5	1.5%	323
DEPARTMENT	1359	40.0%	870	25.6%	488	14.4%	274	8.1%	409	12.0%	3400

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CASELOAD BY DAYS



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICAL INFORMATION--FISCAL 1985

	Decriminalized M.V. Offenses			Criminal Showcause	Inquests	Victims of Violent Crimes		Civil Transfer Hearings		
	Citations Returned	Citations Disposed Non-Criminally	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Entered	Disposed	Received	Disposed	Retransferred
Amesbury	6,852	5,995	701	781	0	2	0	0	0	0
Attleboro	11,703	9,871	1,369	2,411	0	4	1	2	7	0
Ayer	17,210	8,899	1,568	624	1	0	1	8	8	1
Barnstable	14,634	9,345	1,698	4,871	0	7	4	41	19	3
Brighton	7,776	5,196	1,207	2,164	1	14	6	1	0	0
Brockton	15,494	8,248	2,433	2,564	0	18	8	50	17	0
Brookline	13,962	9,603	2,155	2,567	0	4	0	21	19	1
Cambridge	22,356	15,369	4,162	3,939	0	23	12	55	31	15
Charlestown	12,683	4,054	1,654	349	0	11	6	5	0	1
Chelsea	6,653	3,146	1,974	2,015	0	18	1	6	17	0
Chicopee	8,263	2,800	2,460	2,026	1	2	1	1	1	0
Clinton	8,210	4,898	831	1,847	0	2	2	17	29	3
Concord	14,859	9,306	1,551	1,551	0	0	0	25	25	1
Dedham	19,448	12,647	3,340	2,605	0	3	1	20	40	4
Dorchester	7,836	4,467	806	7,154	0	32	35	9	6	2
Dudley	18,734	10,899	1,086	1,489	0	7	3	27	19	6
East Boston	5,630	3,210	1,212	1,278	0	7	3	6	7	2
Edgartown	3,456	2,903	252	604	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fall River	10,796	8,641	1,943	2,833	0	12	10	7	24	3
Fitchburg	3,007	2,297	335	1,141	0	1	0	19	16	4
Framingham	23,648	17,111	3,302	3,302	0	7	3	47	47	7
Gardner	5,838	4,629	572	876	1	1	0	17	8	0
Gloucester	4,979	1,899	293	701	0	3	0	4	1	0
Greenfield	5,333	3,716	631	945	0	0	0	1	1	1
Haverhill	10,183	5,050	1,450	1,150	0	4	4	22	27	0
Hingham	11,415	6,191	1,697	1,917	0	4	3	18	11	1
Holyoke	6,283	4,344	489	724	0	4	3	2	2	0
Ipswich	615	484	64	187	0	0	0	1	1	0
Lawrence	17,252	9,432	3,006	2,821	0	16	5	14	25	2
Leominister	2,901	2,417	453	462	1	0	0	15	13	3
Lowell	21,537	12,940	903	3,556	1	20	10	46	71	5
Lynn	26,000	7,550	3,100	6,420	0	9	3	17	7	1
Malden	8,582	3,847	2,078	2,711	0	12	0	61	32	17
Marlborough	6,414	4,239	1,861	1,773	0	1	1	12	13	0
Milford	5,099	6,955	842	1,115	0	2	0	27	13	4
Nantucket	467	261	29	45	0	0	0	1	0	0

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT—MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICAL INFORMATION--FISCAL 1985

	Decriminalized M.V. Offenses			Criminal Showcause	Inquests	Victims of Violent Crimes		Civil Transfer Hearings		
	Citations Returned	Citations Disposed Non-Criminally	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Entered	Disposed	Received	Disposed	Retransferred
Natick	7,621	4,747	1,241	954	0	4	2	6	5	0
New Bedford	7,590	5,087	1,159	513	0	14	11	6	6	0
Newburyport	6,542	5,797	716	477	0	0	0	1	1	0
Newton	13,382	9,713	1,696	831	0	2	0	29	25	8
Northampton	17,145	12,090	2,329	2,265	0	3	1	15	11	4
No. Berkshire	3,725	3,474	326	905	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	2,042	1,496	159	466	0	6	0	0	0	0
Orleans	7,271	4,096	597	958	0	3	0	10	10	1
Palmer	9,653	5,003	721	420	0	0	1	0	1	0
Peabody	10,782	8,229	1,868	2,174	0	3	1	28	24	0
Pittsfield	6,120	4,992	1,178	1,741	0	3	0	5	0	0
Plymouth	18,321	6,000	3,980	2,774	0	4	3	33	15	12
Quincy	14,725	7,318	3,255	7,425	0	27	15	56	62	9
Roxbury	17,356	6,435	1,833	14,567	0	9	5	5	3	0
Salem	21,111	31,554	2,534	2,264	0	15	10	7	6	1
Somerville	8,981	7,005	1,976	2,757	0	21	12	59	65	0
South Boston	4,112	2,363	494	1,305	0	3	1	2	0	0
So. Berkshire	5,868	4,631	512	684	0	0	0	4	1	1
Spencer	3,997	3,091	949	1,109	0	0	1	17	20	1
Springfield	25,474	11,534	2,753	3,127	4	21	44	117	148	31
Stoughton	5,689	3,129	945	1,343	0	2	1	12	6	0
Taunton	11,010	9,256	2,215	3,165	0	4	1	4	10	2
Uxbridge	3,294	2,433	256	334	0	3	3	10	6	0
Waltham	15,414	9,652	3,229	1,103	0	8	4	43	32	7
Ware	3,622	2,303	428	324	0	3	0	0	0	0
Wareham	12,606	9,183	2,665	1,905	0	2	1	9	4	2
Westborough	17,481	13,512	1,880	1,217	0	0	0	52	49	16
Westfield	9,720	7,145	958	458	0	0	2	3	2	0
West Roxbury	38,029	4,997	2,121	3,108	0	28	7	5	3	0
Winchendon	364	313	51	157	0	1	0	2	1	0
Woburn	19,625	11,553	1,992	3,128	0	6	2	57	19	14
Worcester	40,449	11,466	2,339	4,120	2	15	8	114	72	0
Wrentham	15,380	10,247	1,224	1,971	1	3	0	12	15	0
TOTALS	790,609	472,703	104,086	143,567	13	463	262	1,348	1,179	196

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT





DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary of Non-Criminal Business

						Change FY'84 - FY'85	
Civil Caseload	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
Entries	59,206	56,707	51,587	46,184	51,302	5,118	11.1
Dispositions	36,116	37,633	35,396	30,288	40,155	9,867	32.6
Transfer Caseload							
Received	2,261	1,930	1,539	1,863	1,348	-515	-27.6
Dispositions	2,524	2,271	1,933	1,436	1,179	-257	-17.9
Inquests Held	27	27	18	16	13	-3	-18.8
Violent Crime Victims							
Claims	540	480	480	420	463	43	10.2
Dispositions	258	314	253	220	262	42	19.1
Mental Commitments							
Petitions	3,053	3,772	3,854	4,057	4,062	5	0.1
Dispositions	2,733	3,562	3,509	3,650	3,891	241	6.6
Summary Process Caseload							
Entries	19,230	18,254	16,855	17,569	18,918	1,349	7.7
Dispositions	16,818	15,614	14,533	15,533	17,390	1,857	11.9

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary of Non-Criminal Business

						Change FY'84 - FY'85	
Small Claims Caseload	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
Entries	118,430	121,686	121,646	122,041	123,101	1,060	0.9
Dispositions	75,337	87,956	85,758	95,635	95,972	337	0.4
Supplementary Process Caseload (Civil)*							
Entries	24,499	21,795	18,939	16,819	15,686	-1,133	-6.7
Dispositions	10,712	9,416	9,078	7,829	8,572	743	9.5
U.R.E.S.A.							
Entries	4,930	5,396	5,297	4,716	4,902	186	3.9
Dispositions	2,530	3,042	3,450	2,714	2,892	178	6.6
Spousal Abuse Caseload							
Entries	13,385	15,283	15,017	16,631	16,160	-471	-2.8
Dispositions	9,082	10,884	12,557	13,954	13,052	-902	-6.5
Total Non-Criminal Caseload*							
Entries, Petitions, etc.	241,561	245,330	235,232	230,300	235,942	5,642	2.5
Dispositions	156,110	170,692	166,467	171,259	183,365	12,106	7.1
Ratio: Dispositions per 100 Entries	65%	70%	71%	74%	78%		

*Note that in past years, this figure included both civil and small claims supplementary process cases. Small Claims Supplementary Process were not included this year. All figures are adjusted for comparability.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT





DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--NONCRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1985

	Civil		Summary Process		Small Claims		Suppl. Process		Mental Health		Spousal Abuse		U.R.E.S.A		
Court	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Initiated Locally	Received Out of State	Disposed
Amesbury	128	52	248	238	390	43	57	18	7	7	83	73	33	22	10
Attleboro	415	283	287	199	1,669	1,325	181	110	22	22	171	158	45	49	72
Ayer	265	163	154	129	1,313	1,126	121	63	21	20	211	195	48	56	58
Barnstable	1,347	723	258	72	4,666	3,238	453	365	99	96	161	161	89	57	56
Brighton	417	336	257	226	751	592	313	49	9	0	230	230	10	21	15
Brockton	1,738	3,754	1,278	1,221	3,484	2,118	607	569	824	784	339	333	53	51	58
Brookline	687	245	125	120	901	857	177	48	30	16	117	106	28	9	20
Cambridge	2,552	1,679	572	409	3,074	2,993	425	370	95	14	216	69	37	39	29
Charlestown	274	96	86	51	447	302	114	62	5	5	92	69	4	11	6
Chelsea	419	197	474	206	1,886	1,543	302	130	0	0	201	201	19	16	11
Chicopee	137	66	7	5	1,755	516	82	42	6	6	155	55	96	98	194
Clinton	115	61	69	41	604	433	74	200	17	17	115	100	20	21	22
Concord	746	712	85	77	1,286	1,488	153	100	35	28	102	102	26	24	59
Dedham	1,059	1,995	182	177	2,395	2,560	332	143	173	169	65	64	24	25	36
Dorchester	312	171	678	651	2,140	1,529	658	131	45	39	690	690	64	105	68
Dudley	291	338	231	198	1,350	1,237	142	230	8	6	197	182	73	52	71
East Boston	276	132	182	139	831	1,122	223	25	9	9	212	173	20	23	5
Edgartown	135	73	14	8	844	672	48	11	1	0	31	31	2	11	3
Fall River	879	648	508	494	4,674	4,119	305	135	24	24	391	170	162	75	185
Fitchburg	421	383	262	271	2,311	2,169	128	39	21	20	197	116	77	27	76
Framingham	1,237	2,074	614	976	2,603	2,538	364	132	2	1	226	154	33	53	45
Gardner	237	224	102	83	784	779	62	27	0	0	78	53	25	14	9
Gloucester	197	96	116	110	729	631	83	26	12	12	152	100	19	18	12
Greenfield	259	161	172	152	1,084	948	58	21	5	2	178	178	65	26	79
Haverhill	2,914	2,410	420	410	1,115	1,050	195	115	27	27	221	221	19	51	70
Hingham	687	323	147	113	1,528	1,438	281	103	30	12	203	205	14	30	45
Holyoke	128	57	15	7	810	539	52	55	21	21	284	235	22	44	30
Ipswich	116	48	17	10	186	158	30	7	0	0	28	27	3	3	5
Lawrence	2,108	1,590	800	792	2,871	3,157	329	291	22	22	808	808	17	80	107
Leominister	257	150	111	107	1,264	1,562	68	45	28	10	135	67	28	15	40
Lowell	1,608	762	1,195	1,240	5,555	5,466	635	160	28	20	631	631	131	90	57
Lynn	1,973	1,187	848	777	3,249	1,989	525	6	127	59	710	150	49	47	50
Malden	1,914	606	483	541	2,954	2,404	476	273	5	1	602	518	25	33	25
Marlborough	219	138	217	193	981	866	143	54	11	11	165	98	60	21	37
Milford	375	140	128	82	950	798	165	49	20	19	138	109	19	17	23

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT—NONCRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1985

	Civil		Summary Process		Small Claims		Suppl. Process		Mental Health		Spousal Abuse		U.R.E.S.A		
Court	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Initiated Locally	Received Out of State	Disposed
Nantucket	118	63	6	11	274	245	26	13	0	0	21	17	4	5	7
Natick	245	174	55	48	745	332	104	32	10	10	46	18	2	5	0
New Bedford	2,528	1,808	908	837	6,246	852	244	129	0	0	369	95	61	36	88
Newburyport	157	90	102	102	643	477	92	45	5	5	80	80	14	19	30
Newton	596	354	81	67	1,417	1,125	231	131	34	30	59	40	12	10	6
Northampton	807	228	279	247	1,666	990	71	9	112	116	241	183	40	23	12
No. Berkshire	217	143	76	70	1,264	1,061	36	26	7	7	127	116	36	31	66
Orange	58	18	63	29	621	496	10	4	9	7	54	39	15	14	36
Orleans	336	259	95	88	1,898	1,208	131	49	57	57	95	75	34	29	40
Palmer	117	63	25	23	970	788	73	17	0	0	141	52	26	22	37
Peabody	500	192	106	64	924	601	130	76	28	28	130	130	5	7	14
Pittsfield	606	361	294	163	924	610	139	75	1	1	268	176	60	35	3
Plymouth	666	268	256	256	2,318	2,047	357	112	46	46	284	280	41	37	33
Quincy	2,372	1,203	651	627	4,697	3,299	733	141	101	101	741	739	51	45	33
Roxbury	114	38	416	345	921	850	465	298	26	21	342	342	26	77	45
Salem	1,521	563	385	301	3,187	3,187	293	206	198	489	489	36	25	15	
Somerville	883	435	484	307	3,123	1,896	362	49	27	8	344	283	22	27	10
South Boston	53	44	135	135	642	647	262	238	3	3	200	159	13	8	14
So. Berkshire	139	52	32	25	676	352	13	5	3	3	68	37	18	13	18
Spencer	129	76	72	57	680	410	62	40	7	7	124	124	28	31	28
Springfield	2,693	6,067	97	492	5,091	4,862	455	103	506	494	855	855	134	165	169
Stoughton	729	491	84	68	1,293	1,413	216	83	38	36	81	74	10	8	20
Taunton	562	372	294	236	1,992	1,807	224	146	146	141	231	231	52	44	81
Uxbridge	57	34	68	44	511	684	72	75	1	1	154	154	19	24	43
Waltham	1,516	448	222	135	2,297	421	291	57	220	220	201	176	29	8	11
Ware	70	41	39	26	254	93	13	6	6	1	75	72	7	4	6
Wareham	406	279	178	142	2,049	2,067	196	230	28	28	178	123	25	21	57
Westborough	392	292	105	109	932	448	168	111	59	48	89	75	18	26	30
Westfield	298	203	75	102	883	780	84	10	12	12	145	111	29	25	37
West Roxbury	174	49	398	315	1,071	890	451	118	283	266	604	604	42	57	53
Winchendon	38	20	28	23	270	180	13	3	6	6	23	18	12	3	2
Woburn	1,523	590	246	137	3,347	687	630	1,295	49	47	228	228	26	31	40
Worcester	3,461	2,450	1,084	1,022	4,342	4,661	478	320	230	141	316	316	128	83	111
Wrentham	379	314	137	112	1,589	1,181	200	116	15	12	222	162	31	26	24
TOTALS	51,302	40,155	18,918	17,390	123,101	95,972	15,686	8,572	4,062	3,891	16,160	13,052	2,554	2,348	2,892



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

NON-CRIMINAL CASEFLOW TRENDS



NON-CRIMINAL ENTRIES FISCAL YEAR 1985

CASELOAD COMPOSITION

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary Report of Juvenile Business

						Change FY'84 - FY'85	
Juvenile Delinquency	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
Motor Vehicle Complaints	8,279	7,705	8,286	6,298	7,325	1,027	16.3
Total Juvenile Complaints	34,851	36,254	31,712	27,296	30,834	3,538	12.9
Complaints Disposed Of	25,246	28,603	25,968	22,268	20,065	-2,203	-9.9
Children In Need Of Services							
Applications	2,770	2,847	2,849	2,974	3,099	125	4.2
Petitions Issued	1,621	1,760	1,842	1,855	1,935	80	4.3
Petitions Disposed Of	1,879	2,004	1,859	1,961	2,078	117	5.9
Care and Protection							
Petitions Received	1,017	894	934	1,027	993	-34	-3.3
Petitions Disposed Of	813	668	515	555	575	20	3.6

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--JUVENILE FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1985

	JUVENILE									C.H.I.N.S			CARE & PROTECTION	
	Motor Vehicle	Assault	Break & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Narcotics	Disturb. Peace	All Other	Total Complts. Entered	Total Complts. Disposed	Applic. Received	Petitions Issued	Petitions Disposed	Petitions Received	Petitions Disposed
Amesbury	62	3	25	34	16	10	79	229	41	20	20	14	7	4
Attleboro			BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT				
Ayer	133	31	76	86	17	9	79	431	166	15	8	11	0	8
Barnstable	239	63	220	271	22	18	303	1,136	910	108	101	62	15	9
Brighton	37	38	12	39	4	2	60	192	132	0	0	0	0	0
Brockton	327	115	110	131	41	64	456	1,244	1,331	119	119	89	56	89
Brookline	34	23	14	31	3	4	26	135	75	30	40	7	11	5
Cambridge	109	125	84	176	22	44	117	677	671	125	114	136	22	16
Charlestown	51	23	9	63	17	16	83	262	197	0	0	0	0	0
Chelsea	167	22	36	109	34	30	219	617	311	0	0	0	0	0
Chicopee	144	47	43	114	27	16	119	510	334	82	82	4	54	2
Clinton	110	15	82	38	7	12	88	352	365	41	19	23	1	1
Concord	122	22	44	80	24	35	111	438	301	11	1	13	13	19
Dedham	117	17	71	58	18	14	239	534	340	48	5	43	3	5
Dorchester	96	268	83	197	111	35	234	1,024	813	0	0	0	0	0
Dudley	219	63	109	182	14	25	124	736	492	39	40	41	13	8
East Boston	92	50	18	38	16	14	35	263	218	0	0	0	0	0
Edgartown	21	2	19	32	2	0	49	125	35	3	2	0	2	1
Fall River			BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT				
Fitchburg	67	17	72	102	11	4	66	339	230	34	7	43	1	12
Framingham	254	49	213	255	39	32	186	1,028	635	68	29	50	14	17
Gardner	35	14	8	17	4	1	7	86	150	23	20	32	11	9
Gloucester	62	16	47	30	29	24	93	301	268	49	46	30	15	9
Greenfield	87	37	94	127	19	9	124	497	411	57	35	22	19	28
Haverhill	50	22	32	28	33	22	58	245	125	63	42	30	73	3
Hingham	190	38	65	99	20	32	192	636	511	26	10	6	12	0
Holyoke	28	59	70	128	12	28	118	443	296	170	44	55	27	10
Ipswich	20	11	15	28	1	2	15	92	34	9	1	1.	2	2
Lawrence	308	74	216	259	31	76	196	1,160	644	122	122	58	94	5
Leominister	23	14	13	57	7	13	69	196	194	73	8	42	6	3
Lowell	368	78	236	248	64	58	331	1,383	1,056	202	139	138	60	36
Lynn	297	88	99	190	29	20	244	975	569	220	26	15	53	13
Malden	227	117	85	97	40	93	325	984	592	93	73	49	24	38
Marlborough	84	25	17	31	19	15	55	246	276	56	17	54	13	23
Milford	113	45	43	72	14	7	117	411	474	57	19	37	6	2
Nantucket	7	0	0	6	0	0	1	14	1	1	0	0	0	0

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--JUVENILE FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1985

	JUVENILE									C.H.I.N.S			CARE & PROTECTION	
	Motor Vehicle	Assault	Break & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Narcotics	Disturb. Peace	All Other	Total Complts. Entered	Total Complts. Disposed	Applic. Received	Petitions Issued	Petitions Disposed	Petitions Received	Petitions Disposed
Natick	74	9	56	72	12	0	61	284	180	15	6	5	0	5
New Bedford			BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT				
Newburyport	62	7	53	51	10	7	86	276	137	33	29	18	5	1
Newton	77	23	52	64	7	2	23	248	124	24	24	12	2	0
Northampton	118	60	86	153	24	10	129	580	379	72	44	20	25	19
No. Berkshire	95	17	51	98	17	7	118	403	150	36	31	27	14	6
Orange	66	22	13	75	4	13	27	220	59	24	20	8	14	4
Orleans	62	20	53	61	39	6	139	380	24	33	27	27	10	6
Palmer	92	31	42	63	13	8	73	322	161	34	16	22	8	10
Peabody	61	16	12	54	21	23	113	300	97	35	29	26	5	2
Pittsfield	123	48	98	64	23	21	162	539	382	91	75	33	47	22
Plymouth	235	46	122	118	24	19	208	772	545	95	84	45	19	10
Quincy	278	85	80	26	46	55	561	1,131	622	79	57	44	96	31
Roxbury	47	58	117	88	68	31	477	886	216	0	0	8	0	0
Salem	83	26	49	102	11	54	129	454	105	33	33	27	10	3
Somerville	114	44	19	49	53	13	238	530	215	126	43	48	18	3
So. Boston	15	26	18	31	5	17	181	293	243	0	0	0	0	0
So. Berkshire	70	10	37	27	5	7	68	224	129	22	19	12	8	3
Spencer	50	12	16	13	12	5	17	125	86	41	30	28	3	5
Springfield			SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE COURT							SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE COURT				
Stoughton	236	15	73	111	15	12	105	567	363	41	0	30	7	4
Taunton			BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT				
Uxbridge	92	15	46	26	14	9	89	291	324	49	49	43	4	3
Waltham	121	32	80	158	30	21	107	549	351	52	48	119	12	19
Ware	31	7	7	22	13	1	34	115	113	15	8	17	8	8
Wareham	131	49	184	269	29	62	191	915	707	22	3	46	12	9
Westborough	118	39	35	63	10	20	95	380	209	32	19	10	3	1
Westfield	139	20	97	102	3	11	51	423	155	35	18	19	21	13
West Roxbury	93	129	62	114	14	66	154	632	80	0	0	0	0	0
Winchendon	48	14	14	10	0	1	24	111	30	27	12	223	1	0
Woburn	204	35	88	90	22	6	194	639	398	21	8	18	6	7
Worcester			WORCESTER JUVENILE COURT							WORCESTER JUVENILE COURT				
Wrentham	90	12	15	56	12	3	122	304	283	48	14	46	8	4
TOTALS	7,325	2,558	4,055	5,677	1,323	1,302	8,594	30,834	20,065	3,099	1,935	2,078	993	575

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT





DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--APPEALLATE DIVISION STATISTICS--Fiscal 1985

	Southern District	Northern District	Western District
Appeals Received	30	35	29
Proceedings on Appeals			
On Merits	22	29	26
On Petitions to Establish a Report	2	7	2
Other	2	2	1
Future Proceedings on Appeal	26	38	29
Dispositions of Appeals			
Report Dismissed	18	15	19
New Trial Ordered	2	3	3
Finding Reversed	3	4	2
Petition Allowed	0	2	0
Petition Denied/Dismissed	2	5	2
Other	1	2	5
TOTAL Appeals Disposed of	26	31	31
Average Duration of Appeals (days)			
Trial Court Judgment to Appellate Division Entry	231	157	353
Appellate Division Entry to Disposition	154	157	106
Motions			
Motions to Consolidate	4	4	3
Other Motions	1	0	5
Total Motions Received	5	4	8
Proceedings on Motions	5	4	6
Motions Disposed	5	4	8



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

APPELLATE DIVISION–FISCAL YEAR 1985

Housing

Court

Department

Housing Court Department

Four categories of caseload data are included for two divisions of the Housing Court Department. The section contains a data table and graphs which present criminal, summary process, small claims, and civil cases entered in the department during Fiscal Year 1985.

The department received a total of 17,891 new entries in four case categories in Fiscal Year 1985, up 11.7 percent from last year. Sixty-four percent of these entries were in the Boston Division.

Fiscal Year 1985 total entries are comprised of the following:

- 40% summary process cases
- 36% criminal cases
- 12% civil cases
- 12% small claims cases

Increased entries were reported in all categories in Fiscal Year 1985. Criminal cases (13.6 percent); Summary Process cases (11.0 percent); Small Claims cases (9.6 percent); and Civil cases (9.9 percent). This pattern was true for the caseloads in the individual divisions as well.

Overall, Boston Division entries increased from the Fiscal Year 1984 volume by 1,281 to a total of 11,509 entries, while the Hampden County Division entries increased by 591 to a total of 6,382 entries.

HOUSING COURT DEPARTMENT

Comparison of Entries by Fiscal Year

						Change FY'84 - FY'85	
Housing Court Department	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
Criminal Cases	5,048	5,279	7,838	5,808	6,600	792	13.6
Summary Process Cases	6,305	5,767	5,720	6,452	7,163	711	11.0
Small Claims Cases	2,299	2,113	2,301	1,855	2,034	179	9.6
Civil Cases	1,805	1,797	1,749	1,904	2,094	190	9.9
TOTAL New Entries	15,457	14,956	17,608	16,019	17,891	1,872	11.7
Boston Division Housing Court Department							
Criminal Cases	3,733	3,696	4,571	4,057	4,914	857	21.1
Summary Process Cases	3,160	3,426	3,506	4,035	4,351	316	7.8
Small Claims Cases	477	367	426	422	374	-48	-11.4
Civil Cases	1,571	1,612	1,564	1,714	1,870	156	9.1
TOTAL New Entries	8,941	9,101	10,013	10,228	11,509	1,281	12.5
Hampden Division Housing Court Department							
Criminal Cases	1,315	1,583	3,321	1,751	1,686	-65	-3.7
Summary Process Cases	3,145	2,341	2,214	2,417	2,812	395	16.3
Small Claims Cases	1,822	1,746	1,875	1,433	1,660	227	15.8
Civil Cases	234	185	185	190	224	34	17.9
TOTAL New Entries	6,516	5,855	7,595	5,791	6,382	591	10.2

HOUSING COURT DEPARTMENT

TREND IN ENTRIES FISCAL YEAR 1981 – 1985



FY'85 DEPARTMENTAL ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



Juvenile

Court

Department

Juvenile Court Department

The Juvenile Court Department is composed of four divisions which have juvenile jurisdiction in four geographic areas: the cities of Boston, Springfield, and Worcester and the County of Bristol. The department collects and reports data on five casetypes, a count of judicial determinations, and Juvenile Court Department jury-of-six activity for the fiscal year.

Juvenile Caseload

Within the five casetypes there has been considerable fluctuation in the number of filings in each of the past five fiscal years. In Fiscal Year 1985, increases were reported for four of five casetypes.

Juvenile delinquency complaints, 6,954 in Fiscal Year 1985, increased 11.4 percent after declining in each of the past four years, while the volume in the remaining casetypes present no clear trend.

Children in Need of Service (CHINS) filings, 2,110 in Fiscal Year 1985, rose to its highest figure of the past five fiscal years, a 24.3 percent increase from Fiscal Year 1984 filings.

In Fiscal Year 1985, 538 care and protection petitions were filed representing 922 children. In Fiscal Year 1984, the comparable figures were 490 petitions involving 798 children.

Filings for contributing to the delinquency of a minor has almost quadrupled since Fiscal Year 1981. One hundred thirty filings were reported for Fiscal Year 1985, a 36.8 percent increase from Fiscal Year 1984.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

Jury-of-Six caseload data is reported for three divisions of the department.Bristol Division cases are heard and reported by the New Bedford Division of the District Court Department.

The department began the fiscal year with 41 jury requests actively pending before the court. During the year, an additional 310 jury requests were received. Eighty-four percent of these requests were for a jury on appeal de novo. The remaining were first instance requests.

Twenty-seven appeals were withdrawn during Fiscal Year 1985. This amounted to 10.4 percent of all de novo appeal requests filed and 8.7 percent of total requests filed.

The department disposed of 208 requests during the year.

The disposition categories are:

- 55.8% by Guilty Plea/Admission of Guilt
- 13.9% by Jury Trial
- 7.2% by Jury-Waived Trial
- 23.1% by Other Means of Disposition

Throughput for Fiscal Year 1985 was 67.1 percent.

At the end of the fiscal year, there were 97 cases actively pending, an increase of 56 cases from the beginning of the fiscal year. Of the 97 active requests pending, 43.3 percent had been pending for less than 60 days.

DELINQUENCY COMPLAINTS FILED

JUVENILE COURT COMPARISONS—FY '85



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
CASES INITIATED-FISCAL YEAR 1985

JUVENILE CASE TYPE	BOSTON #	BOSTON %	BRISTOL #	BRISTOL %	SPRINGFIELD #	SPRINGFIELD %	WORCESTER #	WORCESTER %	DEPARTMENT TOTALS
DELINQUENTS	1432	20.6%	3365	48.4%	978	14.1%	1179	17.0%	6954
CRIMINAL (ADULT)	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	8
ADULTS CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY OF MINOR	88	67.7%	38	29.2%	4	3.1%	0	0.0%	130
CHILDREN IN NEED OF SERVICES (CHINS)	1111	52.7%	562	26.6%	290	13.7%	147	7.0%	2110
CARE AND PROTECTION	249	46.3%	135	25.1%	109	20.3%	45	8.4%	538
CHILDREN REPRESENTED	426	46.2%	235	25.5%	190	20.6%	71	7.7%	922
*JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS	27541		24034		8626		6231		66632

*Judicial Determinations include all matters concerning all cases that are brought before the court. These include arraignments, hearings, findings and dispositions, all orders and all changes in custody.



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
CASES INITIATED-FISCAL YEAR 1981 THROUGH 1985

JUVENILE CASE TYPE	FY '81	FY '82	FY '83	FY '84	FY '85	CHG FY'84-FY'85 #	CHG FY'84-FY'85 %
DELINQUENTS	9936	7169	7151	6242	6954	712	11.4%
CRIMINAL (ADULT)	35	15	38	9	8	-1	-11.1%
ADULTS CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY OF MINOR	33	26	46	95	130	35	36.8%
CHILDREN IN NEED OF SERVICES (CHINS)	1660	1473	1728	1698	2110	412	24.3%
CARE AND PROTECTION	417	296	552	490	538	48	9.8%
CHILDREN REPRESENTED	723	453	980	798	922	124	15.5%
*JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS	69374	64653	60637	52869	66632	13763	26.0%

*Judicial Determinations include all matters concerning all cases that are brought before the court. These include arraignments, hearings, findings and dispositions, all orders and all changes in custody.



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	START PEND	JURY REQUESTS	APPEALS RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS-POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT	DEFAULTS PENDING
BOSTON	34	27	160	18	120	15	68	34	64.2%	32
SPRINGFIELD	5	11	59	9	39	3	24	19	55.7%	1
WORCESTER	2	13	40	0	49	1	5	3	92.5%	2
DEPARTMENT	41	51	259	27	208	19	97	56	67.1%	35

*Bristol Division cases heard and reported by the New Bedford Court Division.

JUVENILE COURT JURY TRIAL REQUESTS

JULY 1,1984 THROUGH JUNE 30,1985



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL RECEIVED
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	1ST INSTANCE JURY REQUESTS #	%	DE NOVO APPEALS REC'D #	%	TOTAL REQUESTS
BOSTON	27	14.4%	160	85.6%	187
SPRINGFIELD	11	15.7%	59	84.3%	70
WORCESTER	13	24.5%	40	75.5%	53
DEPARTMENT	51	16.5%	259	83.5%	310

JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITION BREAKDOWN

FISCAL YEAR 1985



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	PLEA/ ADMISSIONS #	%	JURY TRIAL #	%	BENCH TRIAL #	%	OTHER #	%	TOTAL
BOSTON	44	36.7%	27	22.5%	10	8.3%	39	32.5%	120
SPRINGFIELD	25	64.1%	2	5.1%	5	12.8%	7	17.9%	39
WORCESTER	47	95.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	49
DEPARTMENT	116	55.8%	29	13.9%	15	7.2%	48	23.1%	208



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1985

	0-60 DAYS		OVER 60 DAYS		
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
BOSTON	28	41.2%	40	58.8%	68
SPRINGFIELD	9	37.5%	15	62.5%	24
WORCESTER	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5
DEPARTMENT	42	43.3%	55	56.7%	97

Land

Court

Department

Land Court Department

The Land Court Department reports caseload data in four categories. In addition, the department presents a count of the number of decree and subdivision plans drawn up by its Engineering Department as well as a general report of the department's cost to the Commonwealth.

Caseload

The Land Court Department began Fiscal Year 1985 with 23,363 cases awaiting action by the court. During the year, an additional 9,101 cases were entered bringing the total caseload available for action by the court to 32,464 cases.

Case entries decreased by 8.2 percent in Fiscal Year 1985 relative to Fiscal Year 1984. While entries in the land registration, subsequent category have increased in recent years, the opposite trend is indicated for land registration/confirmation, and equity/miscellaneous filings. For the first time in three years, tax lien entries showed a decline of 23.8 percent in Fiscal Year 1985.

The Department disposed of 8,716 cases in Fiscal Year 1985, a 5.8 percent increase over last year. This disposition level gives the department an annual throughput rate of 95.8 dispositions per 100 cases entered.

Calculating this throughput ratio for each of the individual casetypes, it is clear that the department disposes cases with a high degree of efficiency. As a result, the department's pending caseload increased by only 1.6 percent during Fiscal Year 1985.

Plans

In recent years, there has been a shift in the workload of the Land Court Department's Engineering staff from decree plans to subdivision plans. The number of decree plans required of the department has decreased in the past several years, while subdivision plans increased by 15 percent over the Fiscal Year 1984 plan production level. As a result, total plan production for Fiscal Year 1985 was up by 91 plans over last fiscal year.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT

Report on Court Statistics for the Period July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985

	Cases Pending 7/1/84	Cases Entered During FY'85	Total Yearly Caseload	Cases Disposed of During FY'85	Cases Pending on 6/30/85	Change in Pending Caseload	Ratio of Dispositions to Cases Entered
Land Reg./Conf.	1,148	217	1,365	* 181	1,184	36	83%
Land Reg., Sub.	199	2,789	2,988	2,700	288	89	97%
Tax Liens	9,602	3,070	12,672	**3,079	9,593	-9	100%
Equity & Miscellaneous	12,414	3,025	15,439	***2,756	12,683	269	91%
TOTAL	23,363	9,101	32,464	8,716	23,748	385	96%

	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	Change FY'84 - FY'85
Decree Plans Made	297	222	201	179	-22
Subdivision Plans Made	602	582	746	859	113
Total Plans Made	899	804	947	1,038	91

	FY'85
Total Appropriation	$1,697,664.00
Total Expenditures:	1,646,757.00
Less: Fees Sent State Treasurer	548,285.00
Income from Assurance Fund Applicable to Expenses	37,505.86
Net Cost to Commonwealth	1,060,966.14
Claims Paid from Assurance Fund During Fiscal Year	NONE
Assurance Fund Balance, June 30, 1985	406,562.91
Assessed Value of Land on Petitions in Land Registration Cases Entered during Fiscal Year 1985	$16,569,610.00

---

*Includes 18 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

**Includes 63 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

***Includes 1,257 cases dismissed by Order of Court or on motion of parties, by consent or otherwise.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Caseload Analysis
(Fiscal Years)

						Change FY'84 - FY'85	
Entries	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
Land Registration & Confirmation	278	280	246	213	217	4	1.8
Land Registration, Subsequent	1,839	1,840	2,067	2,542	2,789	247	9.7
Tax Liens	2,879	2,696	3,531	4,027	3,070	-957	-23.8
Equity & Miscellaneous	3,923	4,017	3,334	3,136	3,025	-111	-3.5
TOTAL	8,919	8,833	9,178	9,918	9,101	-817	-8.2
Dispositions							
Land Registration & Confirmation	334	263	283	279	181*	-98	-35.1
Land Registration, Subsequent	1,824	1,810	2,047	2,470	2,700	230	9.3
Tax Liens	2,187	2,327	2,332	2,311	3,079**	768	33.2
Equity & Miscellaneous	2,699	2,618	2,680	3,177	2,756***	-421	-13.3
TOTAL	7,044	7,018	7,342	8,237	8,716	479	5.8
End Pending							
Land Registration & Confirmation	1,234	1,251	1,214	1,148	1,184	36	3.1
Land Registration, Subsequent	77	107	127	199	288	89	44.7
Tax Liens	6,318	6,687	7,996	9,602	9,593	-9	0.1
Equity & Miscellaneous	10,402	11,801	12,455	12,414	12,683	269	2.2
TOTAL	18,031	19,846	21,682	23,363	23,748	385	1.6

*Includes 18 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

**Includes 63 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

***Includes 1,257 cases dismissed by Order of Court or on motion of parties, by consent or otherwise.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT

CASELOAD TRENDS FISCAL YEAR 1981 – 1985



FY'85 CASE ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



Probate and Family Court Department

Probate and Family Court Department

The Probate and Family Court Department reports detailed case data on 20 separate categories of case filings and nine categories of dispositions. The department also presents information on support collections and fees and charges assessed during the year.

Filings

In Fiscal Year 1985, a total of 118,460 original entries, including all partitions, accounts, complaints, were filed in the Probate and Family Court Department. This is an increase of 5,168 filings or 4.6 percent from Fiscal Year 1984 filings.

Forty-nine percent (58,024) of the filings in Fiscal Year 1985 were probate-related matters. Total probate matters filed were up by 1 percent over last year.

Probate cases are reported in eight general categories. Increased filings were reported in five categories:

- Administrations - up 5.5 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.
- Wills - up 13.3 percent over Fiscal Year 1984, this casetype comprises 27 percent of all probate matters filed in Fiscal Year 1985.
- Trusteeships - up 1.9 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.
- Guardianships - up 22.9 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.
- Conservatorships - up 2.4 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.

Divorces filed in Fiscal Year 1985 totalled 23,720, down 1.8 percent or 436 filings from last year. Divorce filings accounted for 20 percent of total filings, a proportion roughly consistent with past years.

The remaining 31 percent of the department's Fiscal Year 1985 filings is composed of various smaller volume casetypes. There are two points to note in this grouping.

- Separate Support and Maintenance filings continued the trend of the past five years and decreased by 18.1 percent over last year.

- Chapter 209A Petitions (abuse prevention) increased by 27.7 percent over last year.

Seven divisions recorded increased filings, and seven recorded decreases relative to last year. The largest changes in the volume of filings occurred in Hampshire (-36.6 percent), Hampden (32.9 percent), Dukes (25.8 percent), and Plymouth (21.1 percent) Divisions.

Dispositions

A total of 162,432 matters were disposed of, up 4.6 percent from last year. The contested matters represent 27.8 percent of the total disposed, while the uncontested matters represent 72.2 percent.

Disposed contested matters for Fiscal Year 1985 increased by 3.7 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.

Disposed contested matters break down as follows:

- 44.6% Motions
- 31.8% Contempts and contempts continued
- 8.8% Divorce
- 14.8% All other casetypes

Disposed uncontested matters for Fiscal Year 1985 increased by 5 percent over Fiscal Year 1984.

Disposed uncontested matters break down as follows:

- 45.5% Probate
- 30.5% Motions
- 14.3% Divorces
- 9.7% All other casetypes

The department held 7,038 pretrials and settled 2,813 or 39.97 percent during Fiscal Year 1985. Bristol and Nantucket Divisions had a settlement rate of 50 percent or greater.

Support Collections

The 12 Family Service Offices collected a total of $52,379,869 in Fiscal Year 1985. This is an increase of $10,325,057 over last year's collection level, a single year increase of 25 percent. Eighty-two percent of these support collections went directly to litigants, while the remainder was sent to the Massachusetts Department of Public Welfare.

Increases in support monies collected were recorded in all 12 Family Service Office collection areas. The largest relative increase in monies collected was recorded for the Berkshire Division, which, in its third full year of operations, reported an increase of 286 percent in total monies collected over Fiscal Year 1984.

Fees Collected

The department collected $4,290,144 in fees. This is a 0.4 percent decrease of fees collected in Fiscal Year 1984. Fees collected break down as follows:

- 50.6% Probate and Fiduciary Filing Fees
- 26.5% Domestic Relations Filing Fees
- 15.6% Certificate Copies and Other Fees
- 6.3% Surcharges
- 1.0% Equity and Other Filing Fees

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1985

	Barn.	Berk.	Bristol	Dukes	Essex	Franklin	Hampden
ORIGINAL ENTRIES:							
All complaints, petitions, and accounts filed	5,087	2,454	8,024	424	11,866	1,534	9,127
PROBATE DECREES:							
Administrations filed	434	293	772	23	649	106	735
Administrations allowed	366	174	729	16	636	99	669
Wills filed	782	537	1,368	141	1,704	215	872
Wills allowed	801	478	826	95	1,363	179	719
Trusteeships filed	48	6	32	6	122	9	18
Trusteeships allowed	44	16	32	6	124	6	27
Guard. (minors) filed	52	24	173	3	159	19	194
Guard. (minors) allowed	60	14	146	2	129	18	188
Guard. (men. ill) filed	75	34	166	4	192	20	109
Guard. (men. ill) allowed	87	11	114	2	134	16	98
Guard. (men. ret.) filed	7	16	98	0	78	3	25
Guard. (men. ret.) allowed	8	8	87	0	58	3	36
Conservatorships filed	40	52	65	4	165	15	107
Conservatorships allowed	38	37	58	3	147	13	115
Accts. & Dist. filed	923	563	1,002	90	2,398	324	1,747
Accts. & Dist. allowed	583	443	643	56	1,988	346	1,305
Partitions filed	22	4	11	11	18	10	1
Partitions allowed	0	1	9	4	5	5	3
Real Estate Sales filed	217	37	349	13	494	45	170
Real Estate Sales allowed	221	55	337	11	458	35	169
EQUITABLE RELIEF:							
Complaints filed	84	17	140	3	174	14	58
Prelim. Injunctions issued	7	1	30	0	14	3	0
Temp. Res. Orders issued	11	3	57	3	33	4	8
Default Judgments	0	0	4	0	0	3	0
Final Jdgmts. after Hrng.	37	5	10	2	84	13	24

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1985

Hamp.	Midd.	Nant.	Norf.	Plym.	Suff.	Worc.	Totals
1,884	24,483	304	14,635	6,646	10,501	21,491	118,460
224	2,400	18	1,330	689	1,663	1,249	10,585
216	1,345	24	1,106	348	839	1,202	7,769
285	2,921	55	1,802	1,182	1,537	2,495	15,896
243	2,870	49	1,418	664	978	1,330	12,013
6	250	13	144	25	161	37	877
7	232	10	128	31	87	41	791
46	333	7	155	137	268	215	1,785
35	172	7	58	105	232	76	1,242
93	234	1	506	179	273	268	2,154
47	428	1	368	69	196	100	1,671
35	159	0	105	36	11	165	738
16	53	0	72	27	25	36	429
16	393	5	376	105	167	131	1,641
11	297	5	287	54	103	94	1,262
474	4,518	85	3,659	891	2,588	1,705	20,967
372	3,676	78	1,358	901	2,629	1,441	15,819
11	12	4	21	9	21	38	193
2	0	2	12	1	5	23	72
61	789	11	159	140	396	307	3,188
58	781	9	356	317	375	374	3,556
38	311	5	124	86	154	97	1,305
3	68	1	27	18	5	19	196
3	81	1	31	36	30	47	348
0	0	1	9	1	1	2	21
7	0	18	111	42	96	54	503

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1985

	Barn.	Berk.	Bristol	Dukes	Essex	Franklin	Hampden
SEPARATE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE							
Complaints filed	23	13	188	3	139	12	12
Sep. Sup. Comp. allowed	3	2	98	0	0	2	20
Sep. Sup. Comp. dismissed	4	1	102	6	62	1	3
Temp. Ord. of Sup. allowed	10	7	138	1	156	0	0
Mod. Judgments entered	9	0	0	0	11	0	1
Contempt Complaints filed	22	0	12	1	46	0	1
DESERTIONS AND LIVING APART							
Filed	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Allowed	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
CUSTODY OF MINORS							
Petitions filed	25	22	17	3	21	14	0
Petitions allowed	9	4	0	0	6	14	0
Ch.119, s.23C Ptns. filed	10	0	7	0	37	5	2
Ch.119, s.23C Ptns. allowed	3	0	0	0	6	5	0
DIVORCE							
Original entries	702	634	2,021	49	2,442	392	1,669
Decrees Nisi	569	594	2,010	24	1,996	322	1,441
Complaints dismissed	20	15	102	2	110	29	11
Dismissals under Rule 408	126	36	185	5	366	29	240
Divorce Complaints pending (at the end of FY'84)	493	379	1,647	72	2,184	325	2,253
Temp. orders of sup. allowed	467	263	856	13	1,338	302	3,896
Mod. Judgments entered	77	83	263	5	154	87	955
IR. Brkdwn. 209, s.1A filed	180	114	328	15	860	155	100
IR. Brkdwn. 209, s.1A jds.en.	281	89	662	13	795	52	62
IR. Brkdwn. 208, s.1B filed	130	19	961	15	650	17	599
IR. Brkdwn. 208, 2.1B jds.en.	104	10	134	3	560	2	571
Contempt Complaints filed	999	184	711	18	1,132	102	840
Wage Assignments ordered	107	424	804	14	380	84	683
ADOPTIONS	87	52	200	3	292	47	198
210 SECTION 3							
Term. Petitions filed	3	9	44	3	77	6	59
Term. Petitions allowed	5	5	27	0	88	6	8
Term. Ptns. denied/dismissed	1	0	2	2	0	0	0
CHAPTER 209A PETITIONS							
Filed	296	21	53	3	41	45	404
Allowed	224	21	53	3	39	45	328
ELDER ABUSE PROTECTION							
Petitions filed	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Petitions allowed	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1985

Hamp.	Midd.	Nant.	Norf.	Plym.	Suff.	Worc.	Totals
8	215	2	210	118	80	105	1,128
2	25	0	13	4	18	24	211
3	14	1	124	59	57	5	442
0	30	1	107	29	12	24	515
0	0	0	10	0	1	10	42
0	10	0	22	75	60	32	281
0	0	0	72	0	0	0	83
0	0	0	18	0	0	0	22
8	25	1	32	22	4	10	204
0	4	1	18	8	3	4	71
18	31	0	3	3	67	34	217
9	15	0	2	0	112	4	156
551	5,095	28	2,805	1,868	2,237	3,227	23,720
419	4,388	37	2,759	1,468	1,753	2,575	20,355
191	729	2	308	28	63	262	1,872
67	531	7	312	239	263	397	2,803
518	2,397	22	1,866	838	660	2,447	16,101
2,322	2,619	19	1,224	315	427	2,170	16,231
107	572	6	602	128	113	556	3,708
160	1,333	16	661	449	430	317	5,118
161	1,792	12	741	589	489	670	6,408
97	1,430	5	540	418	454	711	6,046
34	940	9	337	100	39	673	3,516
182	2,306	16	1,426	892	819	696	10,323
134	1,823	5	329	994	90	285	6,156
45	629	3	180	199	199	294	2,428
6	118	0	23	37	211	96	692
5	113	0	3	21	184	96	561
0	13	0	0	5	16	0	39
62	185	0	237	518	341	692	2,898
58	185	0	92	518	329	597	2,492
0	2	0	4	0	6	3	17
0	0	0	1	0	3	3	9

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Original Entries
All Complaints, Petitions, and Accounts Filed

	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	Change FY'84 - FY'85 #	Change FY'84 - FY'85 %
Original Entries	128,695	118,012	117,636	113,292	118,460	5,168	4.6
Probate							
Administration	9,922	9,734	9,295	10,029	10,585	556	5.5
Wills	13,537	14,004	15,389	14,029	15,896	1,867	13.3
Trusteeships	839	824	886	861	877	16	1.9
Guardianships	3,248	3,329	3,976	3,804	4,677	873	22.9
Conservatorships	1,783	1,686	1,623	1,602	1,641	39	2.4
Accts. & Distrib.	24,987	27,159	22,020	23,617	20,967	-2,650	-11.2
Partitions	206	217	239	206	193	-13	-6.3
Real Estate Sales	3,148	2,665	3,124	3,322	3,188	-134	-4.0
Equitable Relief	1,121	1,073	1,241	1,148	1,305	157	13.7
Separate Support & Maintenance	2,178	1,786	1,500	1,378	1,128	-250	-18.1
Desertions & Living Apart	58	100	109	94	83	-11	-12.2
Custody of Minors	362	555	507	561	421	-140	-24.9
Divorce - Original Entries	25,098	25,048	25,556	24,156	23,720	-436	-1.8
Adoptions	2,504	2,692	2,982	2,755	2,428	-327	-11.9
210 Sect. 3 - Termination of Parental Rights Petitions	---	726	567	952	692	-260	-27.1
Chapter 209A Petitions (abuse prevention)	2,413	2,279	2,235	2,269	2,898	629	27.7
Elder Abuse Protection	---	---	---	11	17	6	54.5
All Other	39,074	26,547	26,387	22,498	27,744	5,246	23.3

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Original Entries
All Complaints, Petitions, and Accounts Filed

						Change FY'84 - FY'85	
	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	#	%
Barnstable	4,824	5,344	3,927	4,628	5,087	459	9.9
Berkshire	5,539	2,848	2,833	2,732	2,454	-278	-10.2
Bristol	8,277	8,986	7,858	8,164	8,024	-140	-1.7
Dukes	390	399	369	337	424	87	25.8
Essex	10,533	10,782	11,334	10,453	11,866	1,413	13.5
Franklin	1,700	1,524	1,681	1,702	1,534	-168	-9.9
Hampden	9,068	8,773	8,582	6,866	9,127	2,261	32.9
Hampshire	1,911	2,268	2,700	2,972	1,884	-1,088	-36.6
Middlesex	29,665	21,435	25,238	26,346	24,483	-1,863	-7.1
Nantucket	190	265	296	331	304	-27	-8.2
Norfolk	12,523	14,242	14,317	14,515	14,635	120	0.8
Plymouth	7,883	6,067	6,399	5,489	6,646	1,157	21.1
Suffolk	16,849	15,110	13,185	10,702	10,501	-201	-1.9
Worcester	19,613	19,969	18,867	18,415	21,491	3,076	16.7
Department	128,695	118,012	117,636	113,292	118,460	5,168	4.6

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

PERCENT OF TOTAL ENTRIES FY'85



TREND IN ORIGINAL ENTRIES

FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981–1985



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSED CONTESTED MATTERS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	DI-VORCES		SEPARATE SUPPORT		CON-TEMPTS		MODIFI-CATIONS		EQUITY		ADOPTS/ 210'S		209A'S		PRO-BATE		MO-TIONS		CONTEMPTS CONTINUED		TOTAL
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
BAR	58	3.22%	0	0.00%	488	27.05%	25	1.39%	15	0.83%	10	0.55%	180	9.98%	22	1.22%	828	45.90%	178	9.87%	1804
BER	88	15.69%	1	0.18%	66	11.76%	46	8.20%	7	1.25%	4	0.71%	10	1.78%	29	5.17%	250	44.56%	60	10.70%	561
BRI	648	14.55%	107	2.40%	496	11.14%	205	4.60%	40	0.90%	2	0.04%	1	0.02%	0	0.00%	2090	46.93%	864	19.40%	4453
DUK	11	6.08%	0	0.00%	7	3.87%	2	1.10%	4	2.21%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	3.87%	85	46.96%	65	35.91%	181
ESS	372	12.95%	12	0.42%	287	9.99%	70	2.44%	78	2.71%	71	2.47%	15	0.52%	101	3.52%	1723	59.97%	144	5.01%	2873
FRA	49	8.63%	0	0.00%	17	2.99%	25	4.40%	5	0.88%	6	1.06%	44	7.75%	11	1.94%	307	54.05%	104	18.31%	568
HMD	384	8.61%	10	0.22%	405	9.08%	643	14.42%	16	0.36%	47	1.05%	396	8.88%	147	3.30%	1719	38.56%	691	15.50%	4458
HAM	43	3.68%	1	0.09%	146	12.48%	52	4.44%	4	0.34%	6	0.51%	81	6.92%	87	7.44%	352	30.09%	398	34.02%	1170
MID	792	8.02%	17	0.17%	1412	14.30%	224	2.27%	97	0.98%	53	0.54%	29	0.29%	647	6.55%	5335	54.05%	1265	12.82%	9871
NAN	22	18.80%	1	0.85%	12	10.26%	6	5.13%	4	3.42%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8	6.84%	48	41.03%	16	13.68%	117
NOR	476	11.02%	33	0.76%	646	14.96%	184	4.26%	68	1.57%	6	0.14%	54	1.25%	238	5.51%	2195	50.82%	419	9.70%	4319
PLY	160	3.46%	20	0.43%	281	6.08%	96	2.08%	46	0.99%	10	0.22%	433	9.36%	90	1.95%	2212	47.83%	1277	27.61%	4625
SUF	106	1.89%	5	0.09%	1248	22.29%	100	1.79%	31	0.55%	43	0.77%	71	1.27%	166	2.96%	1625	29.02%	2205	39.38%	5600
WOR	767	16.70%	13	0.28%	639	13.91%	359	7.81%	28	0.61%	18	0.39%	385	8.38%	468	10.19%	1371	29.84%	546	11.89%	4594
FY'85																					
TOTAL	3976	8.80%	220	0.49%	6150	13.61%	2037	4.51%	443	0.98%	276	0.61%	1699	3.76%	2021	4.47%	20140	44.56%	8232	18.21%	45194
FY'84																					
TOTAL	4009	9.20%	232	0.53%	5827	13.37%	2197	5.04%	436	1.00%	328	0.75%	1410	3.24%	2049	4.70%	18988	43.57%	8101	18.59%	43577
CHANGE																					
#	-33		-12		323		-160		7		-52		289		-28		1152		131		1617
%	-0.8%		-5.2%		5.5%		-7.3%		1.6%		-15.9%		20.5%		-1.4%		6.1%		1.6%		3.7%

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSED UNCONTESTED MATTERS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

	DIVORCES		SEPARATE SUPPORT		CONTEMPTS		MODIFICATIONS		EQUITY		ADOPTS/ 210'S		209A'S		PROBATE		MOTIONS		
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
BAR	584	10.3%	12	0.2%	131	2.3%	68	1.2%	17	0.3%	83	1.5%	303	5.3%	2540	44.6%	1954	34.3%	5692
BER	475	18.7%	1	.0%	101	4.0%	66	2.6%	10	0.4%	59	2.3%	4	0.2%	1426	56.1%	398	15.7%	2540
BRI	1362	14.8%	94	1.0%	280	3.1%	58	0.6%	71	0.8%	272	3.0%	52	0.6%	3157	34.3%	3844	41.8%	9190
DUK	28	6.5%	5	1.2%	3	0.7%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	5	1.2%	2	0.5%	196	45.6%	189	44.0%	430
ESS	1594	14.3%	46	0.4%	363	3.2%	90	0.8%	28	0.3%	331	3.0%	43	0.4%	5365	48.0%	3313	29.7%	11173
FRA	326	17.3%	6	0.3%	55	2.9%	67	3.6%	9	0.5%	48	2.6%	53	2.8%	744	39.6%	571	30.4%	1879
HMD	1593	18.5%	10	0.1%	416	4.8%	314	3.6%	12	0.1%	221	2.6%	412	4.8%	3542	41.1%	2092	24.3%	8612
HAM	443	11.8%	4	0.1%	80	2.1%	81	2.2%	7	0.2%	48	1.3%	95	2.5%	1526	40.6%	1473	39.2%	3757
MID	3617	12.3%	60	0.2%	635	2.2%	261	0.9%	52	0.2%	377	1.3%	89	0.3%	15635	53.2%	8687	29.5%	29413
NAN	17	6.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.1%	0	0.0%	12	4.2%	3	1.1%	0	0.0%	190	67.1%	58	20.5%	283
NOR	1356	10.9%	42	0.3%	986	7.9%	99	0.8%	45	0.4%	225	1.8%	86	0.7%	5403	43.3%	4232	33.9%	12474
PLY	1333	16.5%	119	1.5%	149	1.8%	140	1.7%	31	0.4%	210	2.6%	530	6.6%	2720	33.7%	2851	35.3%	8083
SUF	2265	17.2%	64	0.5%	0	0.0%	80	0.6%	33	0.3%	342	2.6%	337	2.6%	5930	45.0%	4120	31.3%	13171
WOR	1809	17.2%	11	0.1%	784	7.4%	197	1.9%	24	0.2%	391	3.7%	407	3.9%	4990	47.4%	1920	18.2%	10533
FY'85																			
TOTAL	16802	14.3%	474	0.4%	3994	3.4%	1523	1.3%	351	0.3%	2615	2.2%	2413	2.1%	53364	45.5%	35782	30.5%	117238
FY'84																			
TOTAL	16206	14.5%	536	0.5%	4118	3.7%	1408	1.3%	500	0.4%	2519	2.3%	2400	2.1%	53001	47.5%	30993	27.8%	111681
CHANGE																			
#	596		-62		-124		115		-149		96		13		363		4789		5557
%	3.7%		-11.6%		-3.0%		8.2%		-29.8%		3.8%		0.5%		0.7%		15.2%		5.0%



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

TOTAL DISPOSED–FISCAL YEAR 1985



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
PRE-TRIAL ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	PRE-TRIALS HELD	PRE-TRIALS SETTLED	% SETTLED
BARNSTABLE	255	61	23.92%
BERKSHIRE	162	54	33.33%
BRISTOL	1398	784	56.08%
DUKES	19	6	31.58%
ESSEX	681	246	36.12%
FRANKLIN	189	38	20.11%
HAMPDEN	506	190	37.55%
HAMPSHIRE	287	141	49.13%
MIDDLESEX	1352	610	45.12%
NANTUCKET	19	11	57.89%
NORFOLK	787	244	31.00%
PLYMOUTH	323	98	30.34%
SUFFOLK	830	285	34.34%
WORCESTER	230	45	19.57%
FY'85 TOTAL	7038	2813	39.97%

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
FAMILY SERVICE OFFICE
Support Collection Figures
Fiscal Year 1985

By Division

Division	Litigants	Mass. DPW	Total	
			FY'85	FY'84
Barnstable	$ 2,114,548	$ 349,417	$2,463,965	$1,955,446
Berkshire	438,421	214,227	652,648	168,740
Bristol	4,813,044	411,185	5,224,229	3,370,375
Dukes	No Family Service Office			
Essex	5,055,987	947,265	6,003,252	5,148,309
Franklin	392,166	97,991	490,157	251,917
Hampden	1,597,423	431,462	2,028,885	1,867,254
Hampshire	797,249	384,696	1,181,945	943,833
Middlesex	8,296,278	1,674,893	9,971,171	8,085,300
Nantucket	No Family Service Office			
Norfolk	6,622,970	1,097,760	7,720,730	6,488,886
Plymouth	5,053,461	1,023,411	6,076,872	5,092,225
Suffolk	3,274,663	984,210	4,258,873	3,422,125
Worcester	4,743,588	1,563,554	6,307,142	5,259,902
TOTAL:	$43,199,798	$9,180,071	$52,379,869	$42,054,812

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Synopsis of Support Collections

Fiscal Year	Litigants	DPW	Total
1975	$ 1,723,844	$ 947,932	$ 2,671,776
1976	3,028,513	1,538,394	4,566,907
1977	5,499,738	2,251,928	7,751,666
1978	7,950,419	3,393,239	11,343,658
1979	9,731,651	4,162,038	13,893,689
1980	14,417,850	5,728,049	20,145,899
1981	17,574,858	7,645,849	25,220,707
1982	21,621,266	8,388,861	30,010,087
1983	26,444,032	7,231,473	33,675,105
1984	33,332,421	8,721,891	42,054,312
1985	43,199,798	9,180,071	52,379,869
TOTAL:	$184,524,390	$59,189,725	$243,714,115

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

SYNOPSIS OF SUPPORT COLLECTIONS



SUPPORT COLLECTIONS BY COURT

COMPARISON OF FY'84 AND FY'85



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Fees Collected During Fiscal Year 1985

Division	Domestic Relations Filing Fees	Probate and Fiduciary Filing Fees	Equity and Other Filing Fees	Surcharges	Certificates Copies and Other Fees	Total
Barnstable	$ 37,190.00	$ 110,203.00	$ 2,575.00	$ 11,735.00	$ 48,013.62	$ 209,716.62
Berkshire	33,511.00	61,068.00	480.00	7,795.00	17,500.78	120,354.78
Bristol	104,433.00	148,691.00	.00	21,590.00	36,918.00	311,632.00
Dukes	2,355.00	10,690.00	275.00	1035.00	5,297.50	19,652.50
Essex	129,435.00	243,985.00	.00	29,205.00	71,306.91	473,931.91
Franklin	17,789.00	28,122.00	350.00	3,415.00	5758.66	55,434.66
Hampden	72,450.50	157,165.50	4,212.40	19,973.00	38,960.95	292,762.35
Hampshire	25,626.50	43,325.00	950.00	5,820.00	9,544.66	85,266.16
Middlesex	253,550.00	495,969.00	9,325.00	62,040.00	175,782.69	995,666.69
Nantucket	1,475.00	7,110.00	125.00	775.00	2,671.00	12,156.00
Norfolk	107,940.00	284,650.00	3,785.00	29,300.00	86,843.56	512,518.56
Plymouth	94,330.00	127,703.00	380.00	19,529.00	44,282.33	286,224.33
Suffolk	105,154.10	255,076.06	21,894.63	25,800.50	58,381.02	466,306.31
Worcester	152,726.50	198,155.00	.00	31,110.00	66,529.81	448,521.31
TOTAL:	$1,137,965.60	$2,171,912.56	$43,352.03	$269,122.50	$667,791.49	$4,290,144.18

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

BREAKDOWN OF FEES COLLECTED FY'85



Superior

Court

Department

Superior Court Department

Criminal Caseload

The department began the fiscal year (July 1, 1984) with 4,248 defendants awaiting trial. As of the end of the fiscal year (June 30, 1985), the number of defendants awaiting trial decreased by 211 defendants to 4,037 defendants awaiting trial. This modest decrease in pending criminal defendants marks the sixth consecutive year that the Superior Court Department has achieved a net reduction in the pending criminal caseload.

The department throughput for the fiscal year was 104 percent. That is, for every 100 cases (defendants) entered, 104 defendants were disposed. Throughput is the ratio of dispositions to entries. The highest throughput achieved was Essex (138 percent), Norfolk (130 percent), Suffolk (118.5 percent), and Bristol (113.7 percent) Divisions.

Of the mainland counties, Franklin (42.86 percent) and Bristol (42.37) Divisions have the highest percentage of its caseload over 12 months of age.

Worcester (7.69 percent) and Berkshire (5.97 percent) Divisions have the lowest percentage of cases (defendants) over 12 months of age.

Criminal defendants were disposed of in the following manner for the fiscal year:

	#	%
Trial	771	14.04
Plea	3,518	64.08
Other	1,201	21.88
Total	5,490	100.00

Appellate Division

The Appellate Division of the Superior Court Department is authorized to review state prison sentences imposed from the several criminal sessions of the Superior Court Department.

The division began the fiscal year with 429 sentences pending review. During the year 1,100 sentence reviews were entered and 965 sentence reviews were heard by the division. As of the end of the fiscal year, there were 579 sentence reviews pending.

Civil Caseload

The department began the fiscal year with 78,413 civil actions pending. As of the end of the fiscal year, the pending civil caseload decreased by 2,508 cases to 75,905 pending civil cases.

The Suffolk Division achieved the highest throughput for the fiscal year (153.9 percent). That is, for every 100 entries, the Suffolk Division disposed of 153.95 cases. For Fiscal Year 1985, the Suffolk Division accounted for 25.5 percent of the department pending total, 22 percent of the department entries, and 31 percent of the department dispositions.

The Essex Division achieved the second highest throughput of the department (150.92 percent).

The median age of pending civil cases as of June 30, 1985, was 18.2 months. This compares to a median age of 20.1 months as of the beginning of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the fiscal year, the Middlesex Division had the highest percentage of its caseload under one year old (23.8 percent). The Suffolk Division had the second highest percentage of its caseload under one year old (20.7 percent).

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
CRIMINAL CASELOAD ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	START PEND	COM-MENCED	TRIAL	PLEA	OTHER	TOTAL DISP	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT	CHG BY %
BARNSTABLE	112	110	5	84	20	109	113	1	99.1%	0.9%
BRISTOL	476	460	45	318	160	523	413	-63	113.7%	-13.2%
DUKES	1	7	0	4	0	4	4	3	57.1%	300.0%
NANTUCKET	4	8	0	2	1	3	9	5	37.5%	125.0%
BERKSHIRE	18	118	7	53	9	69	67	49	58.5%	272.2%
ESSEX	367	366	82	284	139	505	228	-139	138.0%	-37.9%
FRANKLIN	61	71	7	27	7	41	91	30	57.7%	49.2%
HAMDEN	600	1056	66	499	296	861	795	195	81.5%	32.5%
HAMPSHIRE	29	76	10	45	7	62	43	14	81.6%	48.3%
MIDDLESEX	555	816	160	570	118	848	523	-32	103.9%	-5.8%
NORFOLK	141	180	33	164	37	234	87	-54	130.0%	-38.3%
PLYMOUTH	191	180	57	108	48	213	158	-33	0.0%	-17.3%
SUFFOLK	1548	1211	175	962	298	1435	1324	-224	118.5%	-14.5%
WORCESTER	145	620	124	398	61	583	182	37	94.0%	25.5%
DEPARTMENT	4248	5279	771	3518	1201	5490	4037	-211	104.0%	-5.0%


SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
PENDING CRIMINAL COMPARISONS
DEFENDANTS (Thousands)
1.7
1.6
1.5
1.4
1.3
1.2
1.1
1
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
BAR
BER
BRI
DUK
ESS
FRA
HMD
HAM
MID
NAN
NOR
PLY
SUF
WOR
COURTS
FY'84 PENDING
FY'85 PENDING

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT





SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

CRIMINAL DISPOSITION BREAKDOWN—FY'85



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF CRIMINAL DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

DIVISIONS	TRIAL #	TRIAL %	PLEA #	PLEA %	OTHER #	OTHER %	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	5	4.59%	84	77.06%	20	18.35%	109
BERKSHIRE	7	10.14%	53	76.81%	9	13.04%	69
BRISTOL	45	8.60%	318	60.80%	160	30.59%	523
DUKES	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
ESSEX	82	16.24%	284	56.24%	139	27.52%	505
FRANKLIN	7	17.07%	27	65.85%	7	17.07%	41
HAMPDEN	66	7.67%	499	57.96%	296	34.38%	861
HAMPSHIRE	10	16.13%	45	72.58%	7	11.29%	62
MIDDLESEX	160	18.87%	570	67.22%	118	13.92%	848
NANTUCKET	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	3
NORFOLK	33	14.10%	164	70.09%	37	15.81%	234
PLYMOUTH	57	26.76%	108	50.70%	48	22.54%	213
SUFFOLK	175	12.20%	962	67.04%	298	20.77%	1435
WORCESTER	124	21.27%	398	68.27%	61	10.46%	583
DEPARTMENT	771	14.04%	3518	64.08%	1201	21.88%	5490

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CRIMINAL CASELOAD—JUNE 30,1985



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CRIMINAL CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1985

DIVISIONS	0-6 MTHS #	0-6 MTHS %	7-12 MTHS #	7-12 MTHS %	OVER 12 MTHS #	OVER 12 MTHS %	TOTAL #	TOTAL %
BARNSTABLE	46	40.71%	34	30.09%	33	29.20%	113	100.00%
BRISTOL	173	41.89%	65	15.74%	175	42.37%	413	100.00%
DUKES	0	0.00%	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	4	100.00%
NANTUCKET	2	22.22%	6	66.67%	1	11.11%	9	100.00%
BERKSHIRE	30	44.78%	33	49.25%	4	5.97%	67	100.00%
ESSEX	126	55.26%	40	17.54%	62	27.19%	228	100.00%
FRANKLIN	36	39.56%	16	17.58%	39	42.86%	91	100.00%
HAMPDEN	470	59.12%	174	21.89%	151	18.99%	795	100.00%
HAMPSHIRE	23	53.49%	7	16.28%	13	30.23%	43	100.00%
MIDDLESEX	357	68.26%	94	17.97%	72	13.77%	523	100.00%
NORFOLK	54	62.07%	13	14.94%	20	22.99%	87	100.00%
PLYMOUTH	103	65.19%	13	8.23%	42	26.58%	158	100.00%
SUFFOLK	740	55.89%	257	19.41%	327	24.70%	1324	100.00%
WORCESTER	159	87.36%	9	4.95%	14	7.69%	182	100.00%
DEPARTMENT	2319	57.44%	764	18.92%	954	23.63%	4037	100.00%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
CIVIL CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

	START PEND	TOTAL ENTERED	TOTAL DISP	END PEND	THRU-PUT	CHG IN PEND	CHG BY %
REGION I							
NORFOLK	7197	3374	3269	7302	96.89%	105	1.46%
SUFFOLK	23123	7036	10832	19327	153.95%	-3796	-16.42%
REGION	30320	10410	14101	26629	135.46%	-3691	-12.17%
REGION II							
ESSEX	8929	2938	4434	7433	150.92%	-1496	-16.75%
MIDDLESEX	18404	7371	6674	19101	90.54%	697	3.79%
REGION	27333	10309	11108	26534	107.75%	-799	-2.92%
REGION III							
BARNSTABLE	2072	965	597	2440	61.87%	368	17.76%
BRISTOL	2427	2087	1546	2968	74.08%	541	22.29%
DUKES	166	28	39	155	139.29%	-11	-6.63%
NANTUCKET	75	52	52	75	100.00%	0	0.00%
PLYMOUTH	4281	2144	2079	4346	96.97%	65	1.52%
REGION	9021	5276	4313	9984	81.75%	963	10.68%
REGION IV							
WORCESTER	4954	2953	2527	5380	85.57%	426	8.60%
REGION V							
BERKSHIRE	1010	561	498	1073	88.77%	63	6.24%
FRANKLIN	257	176	179	254	101.70%	-3	-1.17%
HAMPDEN	4887	2276	1897	5266	83.35%	379	7.76%
HAMPSHIRE	631	511	357	785	69.86%	154	24.41%
REGION	6785	3524	2931	7378	83.17%	593	8.74%
DEPARTMENT	78413	32472	34980	75905	107.72%	-2508	-3.20%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF CIVIL ENTRIES
FISCAL YEAR, 1985
JULY 1,1984 - JUNE 30,1985

	ORIGINAL ENTRIES		REMOVED FROM DCD		RETRANSFER FROM DCD		DCD APPEALS		RE-ACTIVATED		TOTAL ENTERED
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
REGION I											
NORFOLK	3037	90.0%	234	6.9%	7	0.2%	95	2.8%	1	.0%	3374
SUFFOLK	6256	88.9%	766	10.9%	1	.0%	12	0.2%	1	.0%	7036
REGION	9293	89.3%	1000	9.6%	8	0.1%	107	1.0%	2	.0%	10410
REGION II											
ESSEX	2273	77.4%	420	14.3%	120	4.1%	119	4.1%	6	0.2%	2938
MIDDLESEX	6382	86.6%	774	10.5%	15	0.2%	199	2.7%	1	.0%	7371
REGION	8655	84.0%	1194	11.6%	135	1.3%	318	3.1%	7	0.1%	10309
REGION III											
BARNSTABLE	895	92.7%	35	3.6%	0	0.0%	35	3.6%	0	0.0%	965
BRISTOL	1890	90.6%	134	6.4%	2	0.1%	29	1.4%	32	1.5%	2087
DUKES	27	96.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	28
NANTUCKET	52	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	52
PLYMOUTH	1908	89.0%	19	0.9%	0	0.0%	217	10.1%	0	0.0%	2144
REGION	4772	90.4%	188	3.6%	2	.0%	282	5.3%	32	0.6%	5276
REGION IV											
WORCESTER	2628	89.0%	303	10.3%	0	0.0%	22	0.7%	0	0.0%	2953
REGION V											
BERKSHIRE	489	87.2%	39	7.0%	0	0.0%	32	5.7%	1	0.2%	561
FRANKLIN	149	84.7%	9	5.1%	1	0.6%	16	9.1%	1	0.6%	176
HAMPDEN	2012	88.4%	218	9.6%	0	0.0%	46	2.0%	0	0.0%	2276
HAMPSHIRE	461	90.2%	36	7.0%	0	0.0%	13	2.5%	1	0.2%	511
REGION	3111	88.3%	302	8.6%	1	.0%	107	3.0%	3	0.1%	3524
DEPARTMENT	28459	87.6%	2987	9.2%	146	0.4%	836	2.6%	44	0.1%	32472

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF CIVIL DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1985

	BEFORE TRIAL		DURING TRIAL		JURY TRIAL		BENCH TRIAL		POST-TRIAL MOTION		CASES REMANDED		TOTAL DISPOSED
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
REGION I													
NORFOLK	3160	96.7%	6	0.2%	14	0.4%	27	0.8%	[illegible]	0.4%	50	1.5%	3269
SUFFOLK	9555	88.2%	617	5.7%	119	1.1%	97	0.9%	76	0.7%	368	3.4%	10832
REGION	12715	90.2%	623	4.4%	133	0.9%	124	0.9%	88	0.6%	418	3.0%	14101
REGION II													
ESSEX	3875	87.4%	497	11.2%	10	0.2%	39	0.9%	0	0.0%	13	0.3%	4434
MIDDLESEX	5997	89.9%	22	0.3%	130	1.9%	58	0.9%	63	0.9%	404	6.1%	6674
REGION	9872	88.9%	519	4.7%	140	1.3%	97	0.9%	63	0.6%	417	3.8%	11108
REGION III													
BARNSTABLE	413	69.2%	6	1.0%	12	2.0%	119	19.9%	0	0.0%	47	7.9%	597
BRISTOL	1202	77.7%	78	5.0%	71	4.6%	171	11.1%	1	0.1%	23	1.5%	1546
DUKES	36	92.3%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39
NANTUCKET	50	96.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	52
PLYMOUTH	1571	75.6%	227	10.9%	50	2.4%	71	3.4%	36	1.7%	124	6.0%	2079
REGION	3272	75.9%	311	7.2%	136	3.2%	363	8.4%	37	0.9%	194	4.5%	4313
REGION IV													
WORCESTER	2168	85.8%	16	0.6%	15	0.6%	13	0.5%	0	0.0%	315	12.5%	2527
REGION V													
BERKSHIRE	415	83.3%	7	1.4%	10	2.0%	42	8.4%	0	0.0%	24	4.8%	498
FRANKLIN	109	60.9%	6	3.4%	6	3.4%	55	30.7%	0	0.0%	3	1.7%	179
HAMPDEN	1180	62.2%	53	2.8%	69	3.6%	315	16.6%	139	7.3%	141	7.4%	1897
HAMPSHIRE	266	74.5%	12	3.4%	13	3.6%	46	12.9%	0	0.0%	20	5.6%	357
REGION	1970	67.2%	78	2.7%	98	3.3%	458	15.6%	139	4.7%	188	6.4%	2931
DEPARTMENT	29997	85.8%	1547	4.4%	522	1.5%	1055	3.0%	327	0.9%	1532	4.4%	34980

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL

CASES COMMENCED AND DISPOSED



FISCAL YEAR 1985

CIVIL DISPOSITION BREAKDOWN



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30,1985

	0-12 MTHS		13-24 MTHS		25-36 MTHS		OVER 36 MTHS		TOTAL PEND	
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
REGION I										
NORFOLK	3174	11.2%	1984	11.7%	930	8.3%	1214	6.3%	7302	9.6%
SUFFOLK	5886	20.7%	3916	23.1%	2990	26.8%	6535	33.7%	19327	25.5%
REGION	9060	31.9%	5900	34.8%	3920	35.1%	7749	40.0%	26629	35.1%
REGION II										
ESSEX	2779	9.8%	1652	9.7%	1066	9.6%	1936	10.0%	7433	9.8%
MIDDLESEX	6765	23.8%	4134	24.3%	2963	26.6%	5239	27.0%	19101	25.2%
REGION	9544	33.6%	5786	34.1%	4029	36.1%	7175	37.0%	26534	35.0%
REGION III										
BARNSTABLE	914	3.2%	471	2.8%	410	3.7%	645	3.3%	2440	3.2%
BRISTOL	1761	6.2%	762	4.5%	293	2.6%	152	0.8%	2968	3.9%
DUKES	27	0.1%	67	0.4%	30	0.3%	31	0.2%	155	0.2%
NANTUCKET	46	0.2%	16	0.1%	10	0.1%	3	.0%	75	0.1%
PLYMOUTH	1707	6.0%	1082	6.4%	651	5.8%	906	4.7%	4346	5.7%
REGION	4455	15.7%	2398	14.1%	1394	12.5%	1737	9.0%	9984	13.2%
REGION IV										
WORCESTER	2515	8.9%	1344	7.9%	736	6.6%	785	4.1%	5380	7.1%
REGION V										
BERKSHIRE	433	1.5%	280	1.6%	184	1.6%	176	0.9%	1073	1.4%
FRANKLIN	132	0.5%	76	0.4%	36	0.3%	10	0.1%	254	0.3%
HAMPDEN	1847	6.5%	1009	5.9%	792	7.1%	1618	8.4%	5266	6.9%
HAMPSHIRE	409	1.4%	185	1.1%	69	0.6%	122	0.6%	785	1.0%
REGION	2821	9.9%	1550	9.1%	1081	9.7%	1926	9.9%	7378	9.7%
DEPARTMENT	28395	100.0%	16978	100.0%	11160	100.0%	19372	100.0%	75905	100.0%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD—JUNE 30, 1985



PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD BREAKDOWN

JUNE 30, 1985





SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD
BY CASE TYPE
JUNE 30, 1985

CASE TYPES	0-12 MTHS		13-24 MTHS		25-36 MTHS		OVER 36 MTHS		TOTAL
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
CONTRACT	4448	32.6%	2791	20.5%	2258	16.6%	4129	30.3%	13626
TORT	13987	37.6%	9169	24.6%	5645	15.2%	8407	22.6%	37208
REAL PROPERTY	1513	42.4%	825	23.1%	512	14.4%	716	20.1%	3566
EQUITABLE REMEDIES	3246	40.0%	1958	24.1%	1287	15.8%	1634	20.1%	8125
MISCELLANEOUS	5201	38.9%	2235	16.7%	1458	10.9%	4486	33.5%	13380
TOTAL	28395	37.4%	16978	22.4%	11160	14.7%	19372	25.5%	75905

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD—JUNE 30,1985



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD BY COURT





SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

CIVIL CASES AWAITING TRIAL

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Appellate Division Report
Fiscal Year 1985

Appeals Pending for Review at Start of Year	429
Appeals Entered for Review During Year	1,100
Appeals Reviewed During Year	1,529
Appeals Withdrawn	465
Appeals Dismissed	448
Sentences Reduced	35
Sentences Increased	2
Appeals Pending for Review at End of Year	579

The 579 cases shown as pending on June 30, 1985, include 93 cases which have, at the request of the Appellants, been removed from the hearing list until the Appellant moves to restore thereto.

The Appellate Division was in session 35 days.

Office

# of the

Commissioner of Probation

Office of the Commissioner of Probation

1985 found a number of new initiatives in the Massachusetts Probation Service, as well as refinement of many on-going projects.

Major areas of new initiatives are:

- establishment of a model for Intensive Probation Supervision
- a Citizen Advisory Board to the Commissioner
- increased quality and quantity in training
- a new accreditation process
- improvements in the operation of the Probation Central File
- introduction of new microcomputers
- computerization of the Interstate Compact procedure

Probation Central File. The conversion of the Probation Central File from a manual to an automated system continues. As of the end of 1985, the on-line database reached the point of having partial information on 203,785 active offender records with another 353,284 inactive offender records cross referenced from the computer system to a microfilm system and 31,733 full and complete active criminal records on-line.

In terms of day-to-day demands on the system, the following statistics apply:

- an average of 500 new offenders are added to the system daily;
- a daily average of 1,500 telephone inquiries are received and processed from the courts across the state;
- an average of 700 inquiries are processed via teletype largely for police investigations; this volume has increased over 50 percent from 1980 to 1985.
- an average of 250 records are updated for courts, institutions, and other agencies daily.

While data entry operators are building the computer database, the manual system will remain as the primary retrieval source for an estimated three to five years.

Microcomputers. Three microcomputers were installed in the Office of the Commissioner of Probation and reached full utilization during 1985.

Computer programs were written to perform the following diverse functions:

- to track interstate cases being supervised in Massachusetts for other states;

- to record and sort information such as ID data, salary history, and training programs attended; programs were written for both OCP as well as local probation office personnel data;
- to analyze Risk variables on Intensive Probation Supervision cases as well as distribution of cases by court;
- to develop a system for keeping a record of court visits by Regional Probation Administrators, by date, agenda discussed, and goals established;
- to present data on inquiries to the Probation Central File by means of graphics;
- to perform in-depth analysis of select variables on the Monthly Reports of Probation activities;
- to perform word processing functions such as the generation of multiple letters;
- to analyze crime and delinquency data for research purposes.

With the developing expertise in using the microcomputers and the various software packages, OCP has increased its' ability to generate statistical reports for public policy analysis by the legislative, judicial, and executive branches.

Prior to microcomputers, all the analysis was done by tabletop calculators; the generation of final reports was tedious and time consuming. Turn-around-time has dramatically improved our ability to generate timely, accurate statistics on a wide range of public policy topics.

Intensive Probation Supervision. The Massachusetts Probation Service was awarded a federal grant to experiment in Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) programs. State legislative initiatives (including the recent presumptive sentencing bill), nationwide developments in progressive probation practice, and the needs to be part of the solution to a growing correctional crisis all pointed to the need for developing such a program.

Commencing on April 1, 1985, 15 courts (12 District, 3 Superior) implemented a pilot IPS program targeting those offenders currently on probation who present the greatest ongoing risk to the community.

Generally, offenders assigned to this program receive the following specialized supervision:

- increased levels of personal and collateral contacts;
- increased emphasis on mandatory referrals to meet social/personal needs related to criminal behavior;
- strict enforcement of probation conditions.

This strategy will focus on the above three issues emphasizing the following:

- careful and thorough assessment of offender Risk and Need

- concerted effort toward surveillance and control of the offender's activities for greater public safety;

- the addressing of offender needs that are contributing to illegal behavior. The rule of thumb is that the POs should restrict themselves to those needs which are strongly, clearly, and consistently related to criminal behavior.

- the identification and involvement of appropriate community resources which, through referrals, can contribute to the reduction of criminal behavior.

Citizen Advisory Board. In 1985, the Citizen Advisory Board was established by Commissioner Cochran to give a select group of community leaders the opportunity to perform an active role in the planning and operational practices of the Massachusetts Probation Service (MPS).

"The mission of the Board is to advise the Commissioner of Probation on ways to increase the ability of the MPS to sustain organizational achievement, quality public service, effective innovation and organizational commitment toward excellence."

The goals of the Board are:

- to increase the public's understanding of probation and how the Massachusetts Probation Service (MPS) functions;

- to make MPS more aware of and responsive to the public's concerns;

- to explore innovative administrative and programmatic ideas;

- to develop new resources; and

- to provide advocacy for mutual concerns.

Accreditation. The new accreditation project will utilize the best of the previous efforts of the past 15 years and integrate those elements with the goals of this administration.

Accreditation will be a two-phased sequential process. The initial phase will require that a probation office be in compliance with all applicable standards promulgated by the Commissioner of Probation and currently in effect.

The second phase will require that a probation office have innovative programming which meet newly established standards in the following areas: Community Service Restitution, Community Education, Volunteers in Probation, Substance Abuse, Citizen Advisory Boards, Employment, and other innovative concepts.

Training. The Training Department of the Office of the Commissioner of Probation (OCP) designed, sponsored, and implemented an active education program for members of the Massachusetts Probation Service.

The following are a list of some of the highlights of the 1985 training year:

- Interagency Training - The Office of the Commissioner of Probation was able to offer training jointly with two other Massachusetts agencies during 1985. A series of six workshops in the spring were cosponsored by OCP and the Department of Public Welfare on the topic of enforcement of child support. In the fall, in collaboration with the Massachusetts Office of Victim Assistance, training was offered on the topic of victimology and victim assistance.

- Interstate Training - In the fall of 1985, OCP cosponsored training with the Connecticut Probation System. The programs jointly offered included "Communication in Mediation" (in Connecticut), "The Experience of Divorce" (in Massachusetts), and "The Humanities and the Professions" (in Massachusetts). This collaboration allowed for sharing of resources as well as a beneficial collegiality.

- Establishment of Statewide Training Team - As a result of a small federal grant, several field personnel representing all departments were trained in training techniques. Following their training, this cadre constituted a statewide training team, with many of them involved as instructors in the training offered. This team will be a vehicle for increasing the capacity to offer quality, relevant training through the utilization of the enormous talent existing in the Massachusetts Probation Service.

- Implementation of a "Core Curriculum" - In an effort to expand the training offered to newly appointed probation officers, a "Core Curriculum" program was offered for the first time in 1985. In addition to an initial week of orientation training, new probation officers are offered ten additional workshops on basic skill areas in their first 18 months of employment. The goal here is to get probation officers off to the best possible start on their careers.

- Correctional Site Visits - As a supplement to classroom workshops, separate and guided site visits were offered. These were aimed at introducing probation personnel to correctional facilities and programs utilized by them. These visits included prisons, juvenile facilities, and treatment centers.

OCP training fall into four categories:

- training for new probation officers

- management training

- topical workshops

- correctional site visits.

The great majority of training is offered by staff from the central office and by field personnel. Occasionally, consultants are retained for highly specialized topics.

Programs offered for new probation officers in 1985 included:

- Probation Officer Orientation (March, June, October)

- Laws Related to Probation (April)
- Child Abuse (May)
- Caseload Management (June)
- Alcohol Offender (September)
- Investigative Techniques (October)
- Mental Health Issues in the Court (November)

Management Training 1985:

- Progressive Discipline - A Clinic (April)
- ACPO Clinic (April)
- Principles of Management Information Systems (May)
- CPO Clinic (May/June)
- Role of ACPOs in R/N System (May/June)
- P.O. Investigations - Concepts and Skills (September)
- Basic Management Principles (October)
- Overview of Training Techniques (December)

Topics Workshops in 1985:

- Child Sexual Abuse (March)
- PO Liability (March)
- Intensive Supervision (March)
- Increasing Collections in Nonsupport Cases (March/June)
- Mediation (April)
- Overview and Update of Probate Law (May)
- Mini Homeward Bound Experience (May/June)
- Handling URESA Cases (October)
- Increasing Employability Among Probationers (October)
- Considering the Victim (November/December)
- The Experience of Divorce

Correctional Site Visits:

- DYS Forestry Camp (May/June)
- Longwood Treatment Center (October)
- MCI Cedar Junction (December)

In addition to the training of field personnel, the Training Department conducted in-house training for central office personnel which included "Supervisory Skills," "Listening Skills," and Orientation programs for recently hired staff employees.

In summary, the 1985 training program included 34 training programs, covering 118 training days, with a total attendance of 3,740 personnel. This doubled the attendance from the 1984 training schedule.

Financial Collection. The Massachusetts Probation Service achieved a milestone in 1985 by collecting more than $115,561,584 in payments made through local probation offices. This increase in money collections represents a 15 percent growth in annual collections.

Categorically, the Probation Services collects money ordered by the courts for child support, restitution, fines, court costs, victim-witness fund, reduced counsel fees, and drunk driving fees.

A discernible increase occurred not only in each category, but also in the receipts of every department of the Trial Court mandated to enforce money collections.

The most significant increase was in the category of child support, where some $91,178,000 was collected, reflecting a net gain of 18 percent over the previous year. This figure represents a considerable savings to the Commonwealth's tax payers as it impacts a substantial amount of revenue through the Federal government's reimbursement programs.

Moreover, victims of crime were idemnified some $10,500,000 through restitution and victim/witness fees collected by the Probation Service.

An additional $3,836,566 was collected for the Division of Alcohol's DUIL program fees.

Probation Services Administration Division. To prepare the Massachusetts Probation Service for automation, the Probation Services Administration Division was reorganized to meet the changing needs of OCP and to respond to various offices in the Probate/Family and Superior Court Probation Offices. Throughout 1985, the Division worked closely with the 102 local probation offices and OCP Management Information Division to ensure the accuracy, reliability, and timeliness of the MRPA and PCF data. Seven hundred thirteen site visits to local probation offices were made; 21 regional Chief meetings, chaired by RAs, served as a forum for Chief Probation Officers to discuss issues of importance to the Probation Service and to their own regions as well. The Interstate Compact Unit was restructured; its operation computerized; new forms and procedures were developed to permit automation of all Compact services.

Throughout the past year, the Probation Services Administration Division expended its major efforts in developing quality control procedures to support the accuracy and reliability of local office methods of collecting and reporting data pertaining to criminal and delinquency records. As a result of the efforts of the Regional Administrators, the statewide error rate in submitting record information was reduced dramatically.

Appendix. Data on the following statistical charts were compiled from the Monthly Reports of Probation Activities as submitted to the Research and Statistical Bureau, Office of the Commissioner of Probation, from the local probation offices.

The annual totals for the various key indicators are listed for calendar years 1983, 1984, and 1985 to provide a basis for analyzing shifts in probation activity.

Top row L-R, Commissioner Donald Cochran, Deputy Commissioner Marge Brown, Training Coordinator Frank Luongo, and Head Record Room Supervisor Richard Martin, and David McDonald, Longwood Alcohol Treatment Center, and Deputy Commissioner Walter Murphy.

Middle row L-R, Supervisor of Court Probation Services Anthony Sicuso, Assistant Supervisor of Court Probation Services Milton Britton, Personnel Coordinator Janet McCarthy, and Supervisor of Court Probation Services Joan McGrath, Head of Security/Special Services Fred Santarpio, and Operations Manager Vincent Basile.

Bottom row L-R, Deputy Commissioner William Hanrahan, Administrative Assistant Paul McCarrick, Probation Officer Mary Cuniff, and Assistant Supervisor of Court Probation Services Edward Dalton, and probation officer orientation.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-85
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	182	75	168	58.7- %	124.0 %	7.6- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	112	127	130	13.3 %	2.3 %	16.0 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	640	535	573	16.4- %	7.1 %	10.4- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	4	6	3	50.0 %	50.0- %	25.0- %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	399	332	248	16.7- %	25.3- %	37.8- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	61	90	70	47.5 %	22.2- %	14.7 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	995	942	952	5.3- %	1.0 %	4.3- %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	74	83	104	12.1 %	25.3 %	40.5 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	806	833	836	3.3 %	0.3 %	3.7 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	2	10	2	400.0 %	80.0- %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	260	196	175	24.6- %	10.7- %	32.6- %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	278	217	201	21.9- %	7.3- %	27.6- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	1188	1350	1563	13.6 %	15.7 %	31.5 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	552	461	532	16.4- %	15.4 %	3.6- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	5553	5257	5557	5.3- %	5.7 %	0.0 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPERIOR COURT: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION [AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1985]

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-84	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-85
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	117	111	132	94	105	103	181	187	216	3.3 %	15.5 %	19.3 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	59	72	103	43	52	58	90	110	155	22.2 %	40.9 %	72.2 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	300	299	279	311	279	278	469	489	490	4.2 %	0.2 %	4.4 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	8	8	16	7	1	6	5	12	22	140.0 %	83.3 %	340.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	252	192	243	260	221	233	379	390	400	2.9 %	2.5 %	5.5 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	47	59	67	27	45	53	75	86	100	14.6 %	16.2 %	33.3 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	338	401	414	320	361	385	552	592	621	7.2 %	4.8 %	12.5 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	71	74	75	44	63	64	90	98	108	8.8 %	10.2 %	20.0 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	409	313	336	106	325	326	895	722	732	19.6-%	1.3 %	18.5-%
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	1	6	6	2	2	6	2	6	6	200.0 %	0.0 %	200.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	216	177	167	169	168	208	325	334	306	2.7 %	8.3-%	5.9-%
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	181	200	164	177	156	179	323	367	352	13.6 %	4.0-%	8.9 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	612	641	611	483	499	570	948	1083	1124	14.2 %	3.7 %	18.5 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	437	374	430	473	425	370	532	481	541	9.5-%	12.4 %	1.6 %
TOTALS	3048	2927	3043	2516	2704	2839	4870	4957	5173	1.7 %	4.3 %	6.2 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN SUPERIOR COURT

	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1983	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1984	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1985	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1983	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1984	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1985	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1983	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1984	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1985	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	0	21	4	0	11	11	0	8	1	0.0 **	0.0 **	87.5- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	0	29	25	0	19	11	0	59	75	0.0 **	0.0 **	27.1 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	0	63	52	0	31	62	0	140	130	0.0 **	0.0 **	7.1- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	5	20	0	3	1	0	3	22	0.0 **	0.0 **	633.3 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	0	8	21	0	3	8	0	8	21	0.0 **	0.0 **	152.5 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	0	147	63	0	40	107	0	161	117	0.0 **	0.0 **	27.3- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	0	19	1	0	4	13	0	16	4	0.0 **	0.0 **	75.0- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	0	26	79	0	52	68	0	47	58	0.0 **	0.0 **	23.4 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	0	62	32	0	40	52	0	41	21	0.0 **	0.0 **	48.7- %
YEAR-TO-DATE TOTAL	0	361	306	0	203	337	0	485	454	0.0 **	0.0 **	6.3- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,83 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83 #	%	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84 #	%	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85 #	%
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	23	42.5	31	57.4	54	100.0	9	15.7	48	84.2	57	100.0	17	35.4	31	64.5	48	100.0
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	7	53.8	6	46.1	13	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	126	56.7	96	43.2	222	100.0	54	38.0	88	61.9	142	100.0	57	45.9	67	54.0	124	100.0
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0
ESSEX SUPERIOR	103	54.2	87	45.7	190	100.0	61	52.1	56	47.8	117	100.0	39	50.6	38	49.3	77	100.0
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0	16	66.6	8	33.3	24	100.0	14	48.2	15	51.7	29	100.0
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	134	65.6	70	34.3	204	100.0	205	53.9	175	46.0	380	100.0	185	56.5	142	43.4	327	100.0
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	2	66.6	1	33.3	3	100.0	9	39.1	14	60.8	23	100.0	10	50.0	10	50.0	20	100.0
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	610	61.6	380	38.3	990	100.0	570	53.6	492	46.3	1062	100.0	564	52.9	502	47.0	1066	100.0
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	69	57.5	51	42.5	120	100.0	57	69.5	25	30.4	82	100.0	53	65.4	28	34.5	81	100.0
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	102	89.4	12	10.5	114	100.0	29	80.5	7	19.4	36	100.0	17	45.9	20	54.0	37	100.0
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	646	75.7	207	24.2	853	100.0	166	52.2	152	47.7	318	100.0	116	30.4	265	69.5	381	100.0
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	86	62.3	52	37.6	138	100.0	60	47.2	67	52.7	127	100.0	71	31.4	155	68.5	226	100.0
TOTALS	1918	65.7	997	34.2	2915	100.0	1243	52.2	1138	47.7	2381	100.0	1157	47.4	1280	52.5	2437	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	40692	28180	8758	30.74- %	68.92- %	78.47- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	5709	4562	5506	20.09- %	20.69 %	3.55- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	1365	1050	3550	23.07- %	238.09 %	160.07 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	120	1185	0.00 **	887.50 %	0.00 **
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	4860	1120	0	76.95- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	41129	840	2355	97.95- %	180.35 %	94.27- %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	11023	9266	2975	15.93- %	67.89- %	73.01- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	1759	1580	650	10.17- %	58.86- %	63.04- %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	5098	4355	18425	14.57- %	323.07 %	261.41 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	21385	8215	21204	61.58- %	158.11 %	0.84- %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	6643	4808	1725	27.62- %	64.12- %	74.03- %
** TOTALS **	139663	64096	66333	54.10- %	3.49 %	52.50- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	FINES SURFINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES SURFINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES SURFINES JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	22610	4128	10156	81.74-	%	146.02	%	55.08-	%
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	550	350	500	36.36-	%	42.85	%	9.09-	%
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	46205	28974	37439	37.29-	%	29.21	%	18.97-	%
DUKES SUPERIOR	50	405	2185	710.00	%	439.50	%	4270.00	%
ESSEX SUPERIOR	97342	102617	106604	5.41	%	3.88	%	9.51	%
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	5260	1380	5390	73.76-	%	290.57	%	2.47	%
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	37481	91743	80511	144.77	%	12.24-	%	114.80	%
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	7057	10411	4449	47.52	%	57.26-	%	36.95-	%
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	103000	136848	230107	32.86	%	68.14	%	123.40	%
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	400	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	22552	21949	20223	2.67-	%	7.86-	%	10.32-	%
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	22452	17990	47382	19.87-	%	163.37	%	111.03	%
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	95532	178896	215608	87.26	%	20.52	%	125.69	%
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	76317	130940	92666	71.57	%	29.23-	%	21.42	%
** TOTALS **	536808	726631	853220	35.36	%	17.42	%	58.94	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	33423	175466	29691	424.98 %	83.07- %	11.16- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	17261	73986	27734	328.63 %	62.51- %	60.67 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	42185	64306	69303	52.43 %	7.77 %	64.28 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	100	100	0	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
ESSEX SUPERIOR	102659	82145	66245	20.01- %	19.35- %	35.49- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	6995	6601	3532	5.63- %	46.49- %	49.50- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	84786	147465	156148	73.92 %	5.88 %	84.16 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	14427	25188	12476	74.58 %	50.46- %	13.52- %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	104780	98933	164446	5.58- %	66.21 %	56.94 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	207	0	50	0.00 **	0.00 **	75.84- %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	73218	38853	62301	46.93- %	60.35 %	14.91- %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	63481	78862	85415	24.22 %	8.30 %	34.55 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	342471	949107	1108134	177.13 %	16.75 %	223.57 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	113781	108265	87314	4.34- %	19.35- %	23.26- %
** TOTALS **	999814	1849277	1872789	84.96 %	1.27 %	87.31 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	1275	910	1075	28.62- %	18.13 %	15.68- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	3690	4500	3885	21.95 %	13.66- %	5.28 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	10345	9122	8440	11.82- %	7.47- %	18.41- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	100	165	0	65.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	5330	670	1090	87.42- %	62.68 %	79.54- %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	135	1150	675	751.85 %	41.30- %	400.00 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	5370	5080	3425	5.40- %	32.57- %	36.21- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	1520	750	0	50.65- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	4402	2315	2935	47.41- %	26.78 %	33.32- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	43359	43721	61995	0.83 %	41.79 %	42.98 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	5832	5374	3241	7.85- %	39.69- %	44.42- %
** TOTALS **	81358	73757	86761	9.34- %	17.63 %	6.64 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 24D COURT FEE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	200	0	200	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
** TOTALS **	200	0	200	100.00- %	100.00 %	0.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1283	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE,83-84	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-85
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	0	290	690	0.0 **	137.9 %	0.0 **
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	0	700	400	0.0 **	42.9- %	0.0 **
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	200	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
ESSEX SUPERIOR	0	270	150	0.0 **	44.4- %	0.0 **
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	900	450	0.0 **	50.0- %	0.0 **
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	0	570	930	0.0 **	63.1 %	0.0 **
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	65	535	0.0 **	723.0 %	0.0 **
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	0	740	3538	0.0 **	378.1 %	0.0 **
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	0	0	331	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	0	5164	7147	0.0 **	38.4 %	0.0 **
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	0	1935	2466	0.0 **	27.4 %	0.0 **
ANNUAL TOTAL	0	10634	16837	0.0 **	58.3 %	0.0 **

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM/WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
SUPERIOR COURT
1985

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Barnstable	25	25	75	25	25	0	50	75	0	85	110	30	525
Berkshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bristol	100	40	215	290	140	145	305	90	255	140	190	250	2160
Dukes	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	25	25	0	0	75
Essex	100	125	30	20	65	50	50	200	125	165	50	105	1085
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	50	75
Hampden	40	115	215	160	320	80	140	385	230	320	220	495	2720
Hampshire	0	40	0	25	0	0	25	25	0	10	15	25	165
Middlesex	75	50	0	50	175	260	75	250	150	235	150	225	1695
Nantucket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norfolk	25	50	50	50	200	50	0	175	25	0	20	25	670
Plymouth	50	20	135	25	75	100	125	0	0	25	0	0	555
Suffolk	100	50	207	288	625	645	965	675	490	875	646	375	5941
Worcester	40	50	110	105	200	130	95	195	175	310	285	175	1870
TOTAL	555	565	1037	1038	1850	1485	1830	2070	1475	2190	1686	1755	17536

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-85
ADAMS	351	515	569	46.7 %	10.4 %	62.1 %
AMESBURY	2823	2988	2256	5.8 %	24.4- %	20.0- %
ATTLEBORO	3326	3090	3220	7.0- %	4.2 %	3.1- %
AYER	2627	2871	2816	9.2 %	1.9- %	7.1 %
BARNSTABLE	5844	5996	6142	2.6 %	2.4 %	5.0 %
BOSTON	10075	10849	11893	7.6 %	9.6 %	18.0 %
BRIGHTON	1289	1528	1925	18.5 %	25.9 %	49.3 %
BROCKTON	9422	7510	6525	20.2- %	13.1- %	30.7- %
BROOKLINE	1565	2013	2908	28.6 %	44.4 %	85.8 %
CAMBRIDGE	4866	4789	4599	1.5- %	3.9- %	5.4- %
CHARLESTOWN	888	1706	2065	92.1 %	21.0 %	132.5 %
CHELSEA	4365	4832	4063	10.6 %	15.9- %	6.9- %
CHICOPEE	1741	2091	2159	20.1 %	3.2 %	24.0 %
CLINTON	2008	1789	2312	10.9- %	29.2 %	15.1 %
CONCORD	2172	2267	2706	4.3 %	19.3 %	24.5 %
DEDHAM	3719	3567	2845	4.0- %	20.2- %	23.5- %
DORCHESTER	4335	4629	5980	6.7 %	29.1 %	37.9 %
DUDLEY	1996	2346	2863	17.5 %	22.0 %	43.4 %
EAST BOSTON	1698	2185	2243	28.6 %	2.6 %	32.0 %
EDGARTOWN	620	801	787	29.1 %	1.7- %	26.9 %
FALL RIVER	4396	5095	5603	15.9 %	9.9 %	27.4 %
FITCHBURG	1086	1230	1675	13.2 %	36.1 %	54.2 %
FRAMINGHAM	4064	4355	4553	7.1 %	4.5 %	12.0 %
GARDNER	2471	2235	2361	9.5- %	5.6 %	4.4- %
GLOUCESTER	1428	1719	1753	20.3 %	1.9 %	22.7 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	556	492	716	11.5- %	45.5 %	28.7 %
GREENFIELD	1566	1699	1561	8.4 %	8.1- %	0.3- %
HAVERHILL	1584	1701	1697	7.3 %	0.2- %	7.1 %
HINGHAM	5303	4887	5224	7.8- %	6.8 %	1.4- %
HOLYOKE	2638	2077	2089	21.2- %	0.5 %	20.8- %
IPSWICH	527	346	359	34.3- %	3.7 %	31.8- %
LAWRENCE	4071	4317	4787	6.0 %	10.8 %	17.5 %
LEE	760	905	840	19.0 %	7.1- %	10.5 %
LEOMINSTER	1490	1768	1801	18.6 %	1.8 %	20.8 %
LOWELL	6345	7247	7134	14.2 %	1.5- %	12.4 %
LYNN	4212	4927	5660	16.9 %	14.9 %	34.3 %
MALDEN	3288	3741	3669	13.7 %	1.9- %	11.5 %
MARLBOROUGH	1763	1941	2128	10.0 %	9.6 %	20.7 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE,83-84	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-85
MILFORD	1687	1587	1773	5.9- %	11.7 %	5.0 %
NANTUCKET	159	212	331	33.3 %	56.1 %	108.1 %
NATICK	799	1049	1106	31.2 %	5.4 %	38.4 %
NEW BEDFORD	5502	5151	5572	6.3- %	8.1 %	1.2 %
NEWBURYPORT	1434	1837	1748	28.1 %	4.8- %	21.8 %
NEWTON	1444	1416	1206	1.9- %	14.8- %	16.4- %
NORTH ADAMS	1202	1149	1508	4.4- %	31.2 %	25.4 %
NORTHAMPTON	3890	4148	4220	6.6 %	1.7 %	8.4 %
ORANGE	856	944	1074	10.2 %	13.7 %	25.4 %
ORLEANS	2518	2961	3557	17.5 %	20.1 %	41.2 %
PALMER	1519	1621	1587	6.7 %	2.0- %	4.4 %
PEABODY	2364	2363	2632	0.0 %	11.3 %	11.3 %
PITTSFIELD	2656	2964	3240	11.5 %	9.3 %	21.9 %
PLYMOUTH	3447	4080	4119	18.3 %	0.9 %	19.4 %
QUINCY	5925	6865	6808	15.8 %	0.8- %	14.9 %
ROXBURY	5977	5496	5961	8.0- %	8.4 %	0.2- %
SALEM	3141	2975	2762	5.2- %	7.1- %	12.0- %
SOMERVILLE	2187	2271	2841	3.8 %	25.0 %	29.9 %
SOUTH BOSTON	1469	1601	1962	8.9 %	22.5 %	33.5 %
SPENCER	1117	1244	1327	11.3 %	6.6 %	18.8 %
SPRINGFIELD	10594	11150	10947	5.2 %	1.8- %	3.3 %
STOUGHTON	1711	1775	1784	3.7 %	0.5 %	4.2 %
TAUNTON	3608	3750	4083	3.9 %	8.8 %	13.1 %
UXBRIDGE	1489	1363	1950	8.4- %	43.0 %	30.9 %
WALTHAM	2677	2723	2998	1.7 %	10.0 %	11.9 %
WARE	296	964	944	225.6 %	2.0- %	218.9 %
WAREHAM	3809	3263	3438	14.3- %	5.3 %	9.7- %
WEST ROXBURY	2997	3018	4477	0.7 %	48.3 %	49.3 %
WESTBOROUGH	2292	2476	2431	8.0 %	1.8- %	6.0 %
WESTFIELD	1364	1724	1759	26.3 %	2.0 %	28.9 %
WINCHENDON	263	265	419	0.7 %	58.1 %	59.3 %
WOBURN	3822	4341	4395	13.5 %	1.2 %	14.9 %
WORCESTER	8636	8494	7459	1.6- %	12.1- %	13.6- %
WRENTHAM	3968	3823	5011	3.6- %	31.0 %	26.2 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	210097	220107	231915	4.7 %	5.3 %	10.3 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1983	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	55	94	109	70.90 %	15.95 %	98.18 %
AMESBURY	502	595	418	18.52 %	29.74- %	16.73- %
ATTLEBORO	594	604	674	1.68 %	11.58 %	13.46 %
AYER	592	783	668	32.26 %	14.68- %	12.83 %
BARNSTABLE	1046	1197	943	14.43 %	21.21- %	9.84- %
BOSTON	247	327	343	32.38 %	4.89 %	38.86 %
BRIGHTON	202	229	342	13.36 %	49.34 %	69.30 %
BROCKTON	948	1070	884	12.86 %	17.38- %	6.75- %
BROOKLINE	263	190	175	27.75- %	7.89- %	33.46- %
CAMBRIDGE	660	633	518	4.09- %	18.16- %	21.51- %
CHARLESTOWN	296	693	863	134.12 %	24.53 %	191.55 %
CHELSEA	1145	1472	1317	28.55 %	10.52- %	15.02 %
CHICOPEE	180	349	294	93.88 %	15.75- %	63.33 %
CLINTON	417	388	325	6.95- %	16.23- %	22.06- %
CONCORD	786	696	673	11.45- %	3.30- %	14.37- %
DEDHAM	949	998	711	5.16 %	28.75- %	25.07- %
DORCHESTER	372	397	576	6.72 %	45.08 %	54.83 %
DUDLEY	441	559	357	26.75 %	36.13- %	19.04- %
EAST BOSTON	117	138	180	17.94 %	30.43 %	53.84 %
EDGARTOWN	112	135	197	20.53 %	45.92 %	75.89 %
FALL RIVER	511	780	1116	52.64 %	43.07 %	118.39 %
FITCHBURG	204	337	269	65.19 %	20.17- %	31.86 %
FRAMINGHAM	955	984	879	3.03 %	10.67- %	7.95- %
GARDNER	260	215	190	17.30- %	11.62- %	26.92- %
GLOUCESTER	272	238	291	12.50- %	22.26 %	6.98 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	93	96	130	3.22 %	35.41 %	39.78 %
GREENFIELD	375	417	321	11.20 %	23.02- %	14.40- %
HAVERHILL	395	1267	284	220.75 %	77.58- %	28.10- %
HINGHAM	925	953	824	3.02 %	13.53- %	10.91- %
HOLYOKE	292	282	252	3.42- %	10.63- %	13.69- %
IPSWICH	85	84	65	1.17- %	22.61- %	23.52- %
LAWRENCE	1312	1234	1118	5.94- %	9.40- %	14.78- %
LEE	107	135	100	26.16 %	25.92- %	6.54- %
LEOMINSTER	230	292	309	26.95 %	5.82 %	34.34 %
LOWELL	1196	1294	1068	8.19 %	17.46- %	10.70- %
LYNN	677	820	800	21.12 %	2.43- %	18.16 %
MALDEN	554	728	608	31.40 %	16.48- %	9.74 %
MARLBOROUGH	278	257	218	7.55- %	15.17- %	21.58- %
MILFORD	369	397	410	7.58 %	3.27 %	11.11 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1983	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
NANTUCKET	63	67	85	6.34 %	26.86 %	34.92 %
NATICK	180	208	193	15.55 %	7.21- %	7.22 %
NEW BEDFORD	534	627	624	17.41 %	0.47- %	16.85 %
NEWBURYPORT	367	426	351	16.07 %	17.60- %	4.35- %
NEWTON	265	370	367	39.62 %	0.81- %	38.49 %
NORTH ADAMS	180	164	202	8.88- %	23.17 %	12.22 %
NORTHAMPTON	853	804	681	5.74- %	15.29- %	20.16- %
ORANGE	138	131	200	5.07- %	52.67 %	44.92 %
ORLEANS	518	635	488	22.58 %	23.14- %	5.79- %
PALMER	482	504	433	4.56 %	14.08- %	10.16- %
PEABODY	586	717	585	22.35 %	18.41- %	0.17- %
PITTSFIELD	329	337	382	2.43 %	13.35 %	16.10 %
PLYMOUTH	554	718	731	29.60 %	1.81 %	31.94 %
QUINCY	1245	1408	1276	13.09 %	9.37- %	2.48 %
ROXBURY	362	474	439	30.93 %	7.38- %	21.27 %
SALEM	740	703	766	5.00- %	8.96 %	3.51 %
SOMERVILLE	388	460	429	18.55 %	6.73- %	10.56 %
SOUTH BOSTON	152	187	248	23.02 %	32.62 %	63.15 %
SPENCER	332	327	335	1.30- %	2.44 %	0.90 %
SPRINGFIELD	1316	1251	1220	4.93- %	2.47- %	7.29- %
STOUGHTON	442	427	491	3.39- %	14.98 %	11.08 %
TAUNTON	884	869	792	1.69- %	8.86- %	10.40- %
UXBRIDGE	288	289	341	0.34 %	17.99 %	18.40 %
WALTHAM	508	619	698	21.85 %	12.76 %	37.40 %
WARE	65	163	77	150.76 %	52.76- %	18.46 %
WAREHAM	646	618	597	4.33- %	3.39- %	7.58- %
WEST ROXBURY	348	384	524	10.34 %	36.45 %	50.57 %
WESTBOROUGH	509	644	597	26.52 %	7.29- %	17.28 %
WESTFIELD	274	391	352	42.70 %	9.97- %	28.46 %
WINCHENDON	30	38	73	26.66 %	92.10 %	143.33 %
WOBURN	618	850	932	37.54 %	9.64 %	50.80 %
WORCESTER	947	1137	983	20.06 %	13.54- %	3.80 %
WRENTHAM	546	608	677	11.35 %	11.34 %	23.99 %
** TOTALS **	34703	39912	36988	15.01 %	7.32- %	6.58 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL: DISPOSITION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NTGTY 01-12 1984	NTGTY 01-12 1985	24D 01-12 1984	24D 01-12 1985	14DH 01-12 1984	14DH 01-12 1985	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1984	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1985	INC 01-12 1984	INC 01-12 1985	OTH. 01-12 1984	OTH. 01-12 1985	TOTAL 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1985
ADAMS	2	3	49	59	3	11	0	7	6	1	11	5	71	86
AMESBURY	10	8	290	233	51	42	17	19	0	0	43	5	411	307
ATTLEBORO	23	4	303	341	47	71	0	3	18	9	60	14	451	442
AYER	25	35	433	409	81	90	7	6	51	42	175	126	772	708
BARNSTABLE	46	49	693	586	144	146	0	0	1	0	106	133	990	914
BOSTON	18	28	92	99	12	13	0	2	10	19	28	53	160	214
BRIGHTON	2	6	112	163	17	13	4	5	9	9	44	29	188	225
BROCKTON	38	63	522	531	143	179	15	9	29	41	95	43	842	866
BROOKLINE	1	4	139	136	33	22	0	0	9	5	33	20	215	187
CAMBRIDGE	23	26	393	346	59	77	13	12	11	15	36	27	535	503
CHARLESTOWN	3	7	306	363	16	16	1	0	9	12	21	13	356	411
CHELSEA	74	44	648	639	81	70	0	0	25	23	382	341	1210	1117
CHICOPEE	27	28	199	151	37	21	2	0	8	5	9	5	282	210
CLINTON	32	17	208	185	28	33	5	6	6	10	54	20	333	271
CONCORD	13	18	538	426	87	70	36	41	40	28	119	73	833	656
DEDHAM	24	61	629	687	89	178	6	66	6	16	111	232	865	1240
DORCHESTER	28	24	205	268	11	24	2	5	4	9	21	12	271	342
DUDLEY	23	18	258	341	62	90	6	1	12	0	39	34	400	484
EAST BOSTON	6	0	68	90	11	8	0	0	5	1	19	0	109	99
EDGARTOWN	19	32	72	117	14	10	0	0	6	6	19	17	130	182
FALL RIVER	99	129	433	444	183	150	14	23	50	55	306	253	1085	1054
FITCHBURG	68	58	229	278	57	106	37	12	16	27	163	50	570	531
FRAMINGHAM	65	58	504	327	90	79	3	15	25	16	221	233	908	728
GARDNER	21	15	102	89	10	9	2	10	8	2	68	26	211	151
GLOUCESTER	3	10	106	118	24	39	0	0	4	13	50	29	187	209
GREAT BARRINGTON	1	4	107	68	5	7	1	1	5	2	15	9	134	91
GREENFIELD	24	34	235	210	52	58	11	9	18	19	26	33	366	363
HAVERHILL	42	67	521	335	224	154	50	15	84	84	37	23	958	678
HINGHAM	40	44	482	490	72	102	1	8	14	35	14	19	623	698
HOLYOKE	30	24	120	133	26	28	1	5	15	19	34	13	226	222
IPSWICH	0	0	17	29	9	8	1	1	5	4	23	1	55	43
LAWRENCE	43	32	720	654	113	133	37	25	27	30	96	52	1036	926
LEE	12	5	73	70	14	12	0	0	2	2	10	5	111	94
LEOMINSTER	19	10	115	163	31	27	2	0	6	8	54	58	227	266
LOWELL	22	27	791	625	125	145	0	4	110	43	170	192	1218	1036
LYNN	13	7	375	411	62	42	0	7	30	5	17	0	497	472
MALDEN	11	12	465	362	67	61	19	13	34	19	42	6	638	473
MARLBOROUGH	8	4	161	137	53	36	3	4	15	9	31	12	271	202
MILFORD	34	17	149	210	26	27	2	1	2	4	42	39	255	298
NANTUCKET	0	1	58	54	4	4	0	5	0	1	2	1	64	66

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL: DISPOSITION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NTGTY 01-12 1984	NTGTY 01-12 1985	24D 01-12 1984	24D 01-12 1985	14DH 01-12 1984	14DH 01-12 1985	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1984	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1985	INC 01-12 1984	INC 01-12 1985	OTH. 01-12 1984	OTH. 01-12 1985	TOTAL 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1985
NATICK	7	7	139	130	21	40	2	1	0	1	12	22	181	201
NEW BEDFORD	17	18	236	232	41	58	6	15	5	1	123	118	428	442
NEWBURYPORT	7	6	207	140	23	31	11	3	9	4	37	11	294	195
NEWTON	10	12	241	210	29	56	9	16	29	6	84	6	402	306
NORTH ADAMS	8	6	93	118	5	7	0	0	10	4	38	22	154	157
NORTHAMPTON	27	15	453	434	122	79	2	3	36	29	74	47	714	607
ORANGE	5	10	53	117	19	22	0	2	1	4	14	18	92	173
ORLEANS	23	16	352	300	28	6	1	2	8	6	32	16	444	346
PALMER	16	18	282	267	61	61	0	3	17	10	53	57	429	416
PEABODY	3	4	407	316	60	53	5	0	34	30	90	77	599	480
PITTSFIELD	9	14	192	263	42	45	6	3	29	22	22	22	300	369
PLYMOUTH	29	7	282	56	17	6	4	14	15	15	108	103	455	201
QUINCY	27	12	784	844	280	166	35	30	25	10	38	141	1189	1203
ROXBURY	26	9	283	201	8	7	0	0	5	7	57	52	379	276
SALEM	5	1	364	319	51	46	3	14	6	5	102	40	531	425
SOMERVILLE	10	17	338	317	82	121	41	35	2	1	1	3	474	494
SOUTH BOSTON	10	10	123	127	9	10	0	3	3	7	50	46	195	203
SPENCER	27	20	170	160	40	36	1	2	9	16	43	28	290	262
SPRINGFIELD	7	1	789	696	154	124	5	3	42	47	103	119	1100	990
STOUGHTON	9	37	255	287	59	63	3	6	5	8	132	94	463	495
TAUNTON	37	9	513	457	113	109	15	11	9	15	81	90	768	691
UXBRIDGE	13	11	187	168	31	38	1	4	9	7	3	18	244	246
WALTHAM	13	21	323	400	84	85	12	13	31	30	60	30	523	579
WARE	1	1	86	41	20	8	1	1	15	9	5	2	128	62
WAREHAM	60	91	363	403	129	136	28	10	39	61	85	89	704	790
WEST ROXBURY	173	151	204	312	30	33	0	0	2	1	33	25	442	522
WESTBOROUGH	17	39	347	375	102	106	8	18	6	11	37	35	517	584
WESTFIELD	35	35	185	229	53	54	1	7	8	5	19	16	301	346
WINCHENDON	1	1	14	23	1	2	1	1	0	0	12	8	29	35
WOBURN	18	37	478	468	80	68	20	15	40	75	94	148	730	811
WORCESTER	66	54	574	358	106	57	12	0	18	11	285	287	1061	767
WRENTHAM	33	22	357	358	56	62	3	0	9	24	129	164	587	630
** TOTALS **	1741	1745	21594	20503	4229	4206	534	575	1211	1130	4902	4210	34211	32369

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS

1983–1985



COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-85
BARNSTABLE	490	368	523	24.8- %	42.1 %	6.7 %
BOSTON	4596	2712	3122	40.9- %	15.1 %	32.0- %
CAMBRIDGE	1073	1481	1469	38.0 %	0.8- %	36.9 %
DEDHAM	1255	1511	1677	20.3 %	10.9 %	33.6 %
EDGARTOWN	13	9	7	30.7- %	22.2- %	46.1- %
FALL RIVER	988	887	892	10.2- %	0.5 %	9.7- %
FITCHBURG	657	723	948	10.0 %	31.1 %	44.2 %
FRAMINGHAM	1275	537	892	57.8- %	66.1 %	30.0- %
GREENFIELD	174	212	205	21.8 %	3.3- %	17.8 %
HAVERHILL	689	1579	945	129.1 %	40.1- %	37.1 %
HINGHAM	373	357	402	4.2- %	12.6 %	7.7 %
LOWELL	666	750	909	12.6 %	21.2 %	36.4 %
NANTUCKET	12	4	22	66.6- %	450.0 %	83.3 %
NORTHAMPTON	386	326	386	15.5- %	18.4 %	0.0 %
PITTSFIELD	425	242	540	43.0- %	123.1 %	27.0 %
SALEM	1667	775	1101	53.5- %	42.0 %	33.9- %
SPRINGFIELD	960	990	982	3.1 %	0.8- %	2.2 %
WAREHAM	749	678	852	9.4- %	25.6 %	13.7 %
WORCESTER	2322	2994	3477	28.9 %	16.1 %	49.7 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	18770	17135	19351	8.7- %	12.9 %	3.0 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DISTRICT/BMC: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION [AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1985]

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-84	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-85
ADAMS	37	29	43	41	30	26	41	40	57	2.4-%	42.5 %	39.0 %
AMESBURY	90	89	67	35	57	58	139	171	180	23.0 %	5.2 %	29.4 %
ATTLEBORO	74	84	109	83	85	87	118	96	118	18.6-%	22.9 %	0.0 %
AYER	145	133	127	146	162	115	142	113	125	20.4-%	10.6 %	11.9-%
BARNSTABLE	349	239	177	297	489	174	516	222	225	56.9-%	1.3 %	56.3-%
BOSTON	369	586	1244	324	322	746	315	584	1082	85.3 %	85.2 %	243.4 %
BRIGHTON	353	343	361	324	298	290	318	363	434	14.1 %	19.5 %	36.4 %
BROCKTON	386	395	458	304	272	378	309	427	507	38.1 %	18.7 %	64.0 %
BROOKLINE	95	73	89	137	75	94	104	102	97	1.9-%	4.9-%	6.7-%
CAMBRIDGE	165	160	181	158	109	134	198	223	270	12.6 %	21.0 %	36.3 %
CHARLESTOWN	96	45	28	88	52	40	112	51	39	54.4-%	23.5-%	65.1-%
CHELSEA	373	313	259	392	267	228	534	379	410	29.0-%	8.1 %	23.2-%
CHICOPEE	77	79	51	72	77	61	68	70	60	2.9 %	14.2-%	11.7-%
CLINTON	116	107	98	112	121	87	155	141	152	9.0-%	7.8 %	1.9-%
CONCORD	418	441	138	362	430	328	395	374	184	5.3-%	50.8-%	53.4-%
DEDHAM	257	254	190	152	191	209	417	383	364	8.1-%	4.9-%	12.7-%
DORCHESTER	1193	1260	1123	820	921	966	1097	951	1093	13.3-%	14.9 %	0.3-%
DUDLEY	182	154	177	131	143	152	183	179	204	2.1-%	13.9 %	11.4 %
EAST BOSTON	232	228	195	210	254	229	253	227	193	10.2-%	14.9-%	23.7-%
EDGARTOWN	81	61	70	61	69	57	72	64	77	11.1-%	20.3 %	6.9 %
FALL RIVER	243	163	140	69	196	127	263	202	215	23.1-%	6.4 %	18.2-%
FITCHBURG	267	290	265	267	257	306	292	292	251	0.0 %	14.0-%	14.0-%
FRAMINGHAM	177	173	173	145	165	143	234	207	237	11.5-%	14.4 %	1.2 %
GARDNER	250	259	227	254	229	209	212	227	245	7.0 %	7.9 %	15.5 %
GLOUCESTER	160	140	124	163	138	103	206	197	164	4.3-%	16.7-%	20.3-%
GREAT BARRINGTON	1	12	22	30	10	11	11	13	24	18.1 %	84.6 %	118.1 %
GREENFIELD	186	104	86	173	146	112	212	174	148	17.9-%	14.9-%	30.1-%
HAVERHILL	154	159	150	137	132	97	284	286	339	0.7 %	18.5 %	19.3 %
HINGHAM	283	282	207	252	230	240	300	305	272	1.6 %	10.8-%	9.3-%
HOLYOKE	268	58	15	361	297	59	345	70	26	79.7-%	62.8-%	92.4-%
IPSWICH	81	95	60	49	58	74	69	106	113	53.6 %	6.6 %	63.7 %
LAWRENCE	244	269	173	117	109	123	406	452	502	11.3 %	11.0 %	23.6 %
LEE	21	15	40	17	13	15	20	17	42	15.0-%	147.0 %	110.0 %
LEOMINSTER	118	91	60	79	92	86	110	83	57	24.5-%	31.3-%	48.1-%
LOWELL	117	95	134	36	61	55	201	158	237	21.3-%	50.0 %	17.9 %
LYNN	570	506	549	330	357	402	634	691	805	8.9 %	16.4 %	26.9 %
MALDEN	335	284	246	225	302	258	313	269	257	14.0-%	4.4-%	17.8-%
MARLBOROUGH	179	165	130	219	155	184	206	216	165	4.8 %	23.6-%	19.9-%
MILFORD	92	85	92	67	86	79	108	87	104	19.4-%	19.5 %	3.7-%
NANTUCKET	3	2	13	6	2	17	5	3	0	40.0-%	0.0 **	0.0 **
NATICK	20	139	159	4	63	164	87	180	163	106.8 %	9.4-%	87.3 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DISTRICT/BMC: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION [AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1985]

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-84	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-85
NEW BEDFORD	398	351	274	410	464	308	616	465	431	24.5-%	7.3-%	30.0-%
NEWBURYPORT	50	54	51	48	56	50	65	62	63	4.6-%	1.6 %	3.0-%
NEWTON	65	107	144	111	74	78	111	129	195	16.2 %	51.1 %	75.6 %
NORTH ADAMS	97	76	48	50	96	55	143	123	116	13.9-%	5.6-%	18.8-%
NORTHAMPTON	100	91	159	71	105	80	148	134	195	9.4-%	45.5 %	31.7 %
ORANGE	86	49	48	46	58	53	79	70	65	11.3-%	7.1-%	17.7-%
ORLEANS	183	181	135	234	235	155	254	153	133	39.7-%	13.0-%	47.6-%
PALMER	248	213	204	255	203	245	227	241	200	6.1 %	17.0-%	11.8-%
PEABODY	170	241	211	158	147	194	162	256	273	58.0 %	6.6 %	68.5 %
PITTSFIELD	122	137	209	160	158	127	208	187	269	10.0-%	43.8 %	29.3 %
PLYMOUTH	284	163	159	505	203	190	281	180	149	35.9-%	17.2-%	46.9-%
QUINCY	587	474	405	560	536	514	555	494	385	10.9-%	22.0-%	30.6-%
ROXBURY	558	498	419	411	506	380	744	736	775	1.0-%	5.2 %	4.1 %
SALEM	492	394	347	464	490	369	550	454	432	17.4-%	4.8-%	21.4-%
SOMERVILLE	357	314	281	155	334	208	669	395	468	40.9-%	18.4 %	30.0-%
SOUTH BOSTON	162	205	197	72	159	139	238	199	257	16.3-%	29.1 %	7.9 %
SPENCER	115	124	113	154	120	129	105	91	75	13.3-%	17.5-%	28.5-%
SPRINGFIELD	932	912	733	1068	956	807	1045	1001	927	4.2-%	7.3-%	11.2-%
STOUGHTON	117	117	112	145	115	109	112	120	115	7.1 %	4.1-%	2.6 %
TAUNTON	96	159	194	130	140	132	143	162	224	13.2 %	38.2 %	56.6 %
UXBRIDGE	50	53	54	29	71	49	94	77	82	18.0-%	6.4 %	12.7-%
WALTHAM	203	263	166	253	218	168	221	245	243	10.8 %	0.8-%	9.9 %
WARE	146	181	168	27	130	191	122	167	144	36.8 %	13.7-%	18.0 %
WAREHAM	169	146	128	263	59	141	177	145	132	18.0-%	8.9-%	25.4-%
WEST ROXBURY	214	300	203	57	181	241	506	338	300	33.2-%	11.2-%	40.7-%
WESTBOROUGH	267	323	423	204	246	317	203	273	379	34.4 %	38.8 %	86.6 %
WESTFIELD	60	128	142	61	37	81	100	133	203	33.0 %	52.6 %	103.0 %
WINCHENDON	39	35	36	32	31	39	41	36	33	12.1-%	8.3-%	19.5-%
WOBURN	560	334	194	344	478	228	552	326	292	40.9-%	10.4-%	47.1-%
WORCESTER	498	420	324	454	511	282	759	481	523	36.6-%	8.7 %	31.0-%
WRENTHAM	257	165	423	125	166	208	283	282	497	0.3-%	76.2 %	75.6 %
TOTALS	16559	15667	14254	14305	14852	13590	19517	17550	18812	10.0- %	7.1 %	3.6- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT	TOTAL DUIL 12-83	TOTAL DUIL 12-84	TOTAL DUIL 12-85	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-83	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-84	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-85	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-83	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-84	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-85	% CHANGE 83-84	% CHANGE 84-85	% CHANGE 83-85
ADAMS	0	57	61	0	68	80	0	125	141	0.00 **	12.80 %	0.00 **
AMESBURY	0	462	639	0	77	87	0	539	726	0.00 **	34.69 %	0.00 **
ATTLEBORO	0	455	566	0	353	385	0	808	951	0.00 **	17.69 %	0.00 **
AYER	0	382	453	0	320	345	0	702	798	0.00 **	13.67 %	0.00 **
BARNSTABLE	0	1009	1030	0	364	446	0	1373	1476	0.00 **	7.50 %	0.00 **
BOSTON	0	107	177	0	572	626	0	679	803	0.00 **	18.26 %	0.00 **
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	123	205	0	123	205	0.00 **	66.66 %	0.00 **
BROCKTON	0	672	771	0	373	463	0	1045	1234	0.00 **	18.08 %	0.00 **
BROOKLINE	0	219	196	0	90	98	0	309	294	0.00 **	4.85- %	0.00 **
CAMBRIDGE	0	632	598	0	558	543	0	1190	1141	0.00 **	4.11- %	0.00 **
CHARLESTOWN	0	478	599	0	63	47	0	541	646	0.00 **	19.40 %	0.00 **
CHELSEA	0	574	352	0	233	247	0	807	599	0.00 **	25.77- %	0.00 **
CHICOPEE	0	275	257	0	73	80	0	348	337	0.00 **	3.16- %	0.00 **
CLINTON	0	216	201	0	185	184	0	401	385	0.00 **	3.99- %	0.00 **
CONCORD	0	562	642	0	314	312	0	876	954	0.00 **	8.90 %	0.00 **
DEDHAM	0	937	833	0	276	308	0	1213	1141	0.00 **	5.93- %	0.00 **
DORCHESTER	0	316	349	0	4105	4300	0	4421	4649	0.00 **	5.15 %	0.00 **
DUDLEY	0	367	299	0	539	620	0	906	919	0.00 **	1.43 %	0.00 **
EAST BOSTON	0	112	110	0	183	142	0	295	252	0.00 **	14.57- %	0.00 **
EDGARTOWN	0	82	116	0	25	34	0	107	150	0.00 **	40.18 %	0.00 **
FALL RIVER	0	448	700	0	143	106	0	591	806	0.00 **	36.37 %	0.00 **
FITCHBURG	0	187	201	0	254	267	0	441	468	0.00 **	6.12 %	0.00 **
FRAMINGHAM	0	891	913	0	320	357	0	1211	1270	0.00 **	4.87 %	0.00 **
GARDNER	0	21	10	0	307	364	0	328	374	0.00 **	14.02 %	0.00 **
GLOUCESTER	0	138	150	0	174	173	0	312	323	0.00 **	3.52 %	0.00 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	91	104	0	130	127	0	221	231	0.00 **	4.52 %	0.00 **
GREENFIELD	0	251	256	0	216	241	0	467	497	0.00 **	6.42 %	0.00 **
HAVERHILL	0	513	607	0	374	452	0	887	1059	0.00 **	19.39 %	0.00 **
HINGHAM	0	1072	1036	0	230	285	0	1302	1321	0.00 **	1.45 %	0.00 **
HOLYOKE	0	181	234	0	336	566	0	517	800	0.00 **	54.73 %	0.00 **
IPSWICH	0	52	14	0	30	28	0	82	42	0.00 **	48.78- %	0.00 **
LAWRENCE	0	1081	1115	0	1313	1394	0	2394	2509	0.00 **	4.80 %	0.00 **
LEE	0	96	82	0	64	59	0	160	141	0.00 **	11.87- %	0.00 **
LEOMINSTER	0	219	284	0	359	397	0	578	681	0.00 **	17.82 %	0.00 **
LOWELL	0	1072	1010	0	1689	1450	0	2761	2460	0.00 **	10.90- %	0.00 **
LYNN	0	570	880	0	784	916	0	1354	1796	0.00 **	32.64 %	0.00 **
MALDEN	0	437	424	0	482	563	0	919	987	0.00 **	7.39 %	0.00 **
MARLBOROUGH	0	162	153	0	256	271	0	418	424	0.00 **	1.43 %	0.00 **
MILFORD	0	411	479	0	345	367	0	756	845	0.00 **	11.77 %	0.00 **
NANTUCKET	0	51	104	0	26	0	0	77	104	0.00 **	35.06 %	0.00 **
NATICK	0	296	237	0	74	81	0	370	318	0.00 **	14.05- %	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT	TOTAL DUIL 12-83	TOTAL DUIL 12-84	TOTAL DUIL 12-85	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-83	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-84	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-85	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-83	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-84	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-85	% CHANGE 83-84	% CHANGE 84-85	% CHANGE 83-85
NEW BEDFORD	0	352	510	0	327	426	0	679	936	0.00 **	37.86 %	0.00 **
NEWBURYPORT	0	398	416	0	31	49	0	429	465	0.00 **	8.39 %	0.00 **
NEWTON	0	301	304	0	224	231	0	525	535	0.00 **	1.90 %	0.00 **
NORTH ADAMS	0	76	157	0	190	211	0	266	368	0.00 **	38.34 %	0.00 **
NORTHAMPTON	0	1071	1089	0	250	299	0	1321	1388	0.00 **	5.07 %	0.00 **
ORANGE	0	113	202	0	91	109	0	204	311	0.00 **	52.45 %	0.00 **
ORLEANS	0	565	426	0	318	242	0	883	668	0.00 **	24.34- %	0.00 **
PALMER	0	226	317	0	212	211	0	438	528	0.00 **	20.54 %	0.00 **
PEABODY	0	435	389	0	130	140	0	565	529	0.00 **	6.37- %	0.00 **
PITTSFIELD	0	335	384	0	169	181	0	504	565	0.00 **	12.10 %	0.00 **
PLYMOUTH	0	432	539	0	239	257	0	671	796	0.00 **	18.62 %	0.00 **
QUINCY	0	1205	1220	0	396	453	0	1601	1673	0.00 **	4.49 %	0.00 **
ROXBURY	0	462	542	0	802	847	0	1264	1389	0.00 **	9.88 %	0.00 **
SALEM	0	723	590	0	419	442	0	1142	1032	0.00 **	9.63- %	0.00 **
SOMERVILLE	0	579	689	0	447	518	0	1026	1207	0.00 **	17.64 %	0.00 **
SOUTH BOSTON	0	195	187	0	220	208	0	415	395	0.00 **	4.81- %	0.00 **
SPENCER	0	285	303	0	269	274	0	554	577	0.00 **	4.15 %	0.00 **
SPRINGFIELD	0	1273	1335	0	1730	1953	0	3003	3288	0.00 **	9.49 %	0.00 **
STOUGHTON	0	386	443	0	105	110	0	491	553	0.00 **	12.62 %	0.00 **
TAUNTON	0	580	542	0	433	479	0	1013	1021	0.00 **	0.78 %	0.00 **
UXBRIDGE	0	199	157	0	190	279	0	389	436	0.00 **	12.08 %	0.00 **
WALTHAM	0	429	442	0	215	236	0	644	678	0.00 **	5.27 %	0.00 **
WARE	0	3	11	0	67	59	0	70	70	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
WAREHAM	0	378	508	0	213	202	0	591	710	0.00 **	20.13 %	0.00 **
WEST ROXBURY	0	209	273	0	347	441	0	556	714	0.00 **	28.41 %	0.00 **
WESTBOROUGH	0	212	235	0	158	164	0	370	399	0.00 **	7.83 %	0.00 **
WESTFIELD	0	190	274	0	48	226	0	238	500	0.00 **	110.08 %	0.00 **
WINCHENDON	0	20	28	0	50	67	0	70	95	0.00 **	35.71 %	0.00 **
WOBURN	0	664	885	0	387	411	0	1051	1296	0.00 **	23.31 %	0.00 **
WORCESTER	0	1226	1463	0	803	944	0	2029	2407	0.00 **	18.62 %	0.00 **
WRENTHAM	0	364	301	0	403	413	0	767	714	0.00 **	6.91- %	0.00 **
END OF YEAR TOTAL	0	30040	32402	0	26683	29098	0	56723	61500	8.42 %	8.42 %	8.42 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,85		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
ADAMS	8	36.3	14	63.6	22	100.0	8	33.3	16	66.6	24	100.0	5	33.3	10	66.6	15	100.0
AMESBURY	46	93.8	3	6.1	49	100.0	49	77.7	14	22.2	63	100.0	72	65.4	38	34.5	110	100.0
ATTLEBORO	32	31.6	69	68.3	101	100.0	22	21.7	79	78.2	101	100.0	44	53.0	39	46.9	83	100.0
AYER	22	53.6	19	46.3	41	100.0	32	52.4	29	47.5	61	100.0	34	43.0	45	56.9	79	100.0
BARNSTABLE	150	26.2	421	73.7	571	100.0	51	23.7	164	76.2	215	100.0	51	18.0	231	81.9	282	100.0
BOSTON	1152	83.1	234	16.8	1386	100.0	451	69.9	194	30.0	645	100.0	613	63.7	348	36.2	961	100.0
BRIGHTON	126	75.4	41	24.5	167	100.0	42	64.6	23	35.3	65	100.0	63	76.8	19	23.1	82	100.0
BROCKTON	180	41.6	252	58.3	432	100.0	172	28.5	430	71.4	602	100.0	239	30.7	538	69.2	777	100.0
BROOKLINE	69	25.0	206	74.9	275	100.0	45	21.2	167	78.7	212	100.0	44	29.7	104	70.2	148	100.0
CAMBRIDGE	482	61.9	296	38.0	778	100.0	153	46.3	177	53.6	330	100.0	157	44.3	197	55.6	354	100.0
CHARLESTOWN	36	53.7	31	46.2	67	100.0	17	41.4	24	58.5	41	100.0	30	46.8	34	53.1	64	100.0
CHELSEA	51	36.4	89	63.5	140	100.0	94	31.3	206	68.6	300	100.0	110	23.6	355	76.3	465	100.0
CHICOPEE	8	33.3	16	66.6	24	100.0	4	10.0	36	90.0	40	100.0	2	7.6	24	92.3	26	100.0
CLINTON	19	26.7	52	73.2	71	100.0	31	33.3	62	66.6	93	100.0	44	40.7	64	59.2	108	100.0
CONCORD	18	64.2	10	35.7	28	100.0	37	28.4	93	71.5	130	100.0	32	29.0	78	70.9	110	100.0
DEDHAM	277	100.0	0	0.0	277	100.0	82	73.8	29	26.1	111	100.0	57	78.0	16	21.9	73	100.0
DORCHESTER	216	10.1	1920	89.8	2136	100.0	421	18.0	1914	81.9	2335	100.0	690	22.0	2445	77.9	3135	100.0
DUDLEY	50	65.7	26	34.2	76	100.0	37	54.4	31	45.5	68	100.0	66	70.9	27	29.0	93	100.0
EAST BOSTON	27	12.4	190	87.5	217	100.0	61	45.8	72	54.1	133	100.0	67	61.4	42	38.5	109	100.0
EDGARTOWN	49	56.9	37	43.0	86	100.0	15	19.2	63	80.7	78	100.0	30	18.1	135	81.8	165	100.0
FALL RIVER	688	99.7	2	0.2	690	100.0	238	65.0	128	34.9	366	100.0	238	61.0	152	38.9	390	100.0
FITCHBURG	20	15.5	109	84.4	129	100.0	91	23.4	297	76.5	388	100.0	110	23.9	350	76.0	460	100.0
FRAMINGHAM	52	21.7	187	78.2	239	100.0	59	23.8	188	76.1	247	100.0	107	29.3	258	70.6	365	100.0
GARDNER	0	0.0	153	100.0	153	100.0	22	19.4	91	80.5	113	100.0	50	34.4	95	65.5	145	100.0
GLOUCESTER	13	61.9	8	38.0	21	100.0	26	56.5	20	43.4	46	100.0	38	50.0	38	50.0	76	100.0
GREAT BARRINGTON	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0	12	66.6	6	33.3	18	100.0	6	28.5	15	71.4	21	100.0
GREENFIELD	25	26.0	71	73.9	96	100.0	16	32.0	34	68.0	50	100.0	16	37.2	27	62.7	43	100.0
HAVERHILL	342	99.7	1	0.2	343	100.0	66	100.0	0	0.0	66	100.0	69	93.2	5	6.7	74	100.0
HINGHAM	21	18.9	90	81.0	111	100.0	32	18.0	145	81.9	177	100.0	39	22.6	133	77.3	172	100.0
HOLYOKE	104	37.5	173	62.4	277	100.0	187	40.5	274	59.4	461	100.0	288	49.9	289	50.0	577	100.0
IPSWICH	20	74.0	7	25.9	27	100.0	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	42.4	38	57.5	66	100.0	0	0.0	177	100.0	177	100.0
LEE	24	82.7	5	17.2	29	100.0	18	27.6	47	72.3	65	100.0	20	17.5	94	82.4	114	100.0
LEOMINSTER	50	20.9	189	79.0	239	100.0	35	21.8	125	78.1	160	100.0	19	12.8	129	87.1	148	100.0
LOWELL	48	35.2	88	64.7	136	100.0	51	49.5	52	50.4	103	100.0	307	68.8	139	31.1	446	100.0
LYNN	178	71.2	72	28.8	250	100.0	137	56.1	107	43.8	244	100.0	85	58.6	60	41.3	145	100.0
MALDEN	78	21.9	278	78.0	356	100.0	61	18.8	262	81.1	323	100.0	43	9.5	409	90.4	452	100.0
MARLBOROUGH	55	35.4	100	64.5	155	100.0	22	25.2	65	74.7	87	100.0	38	17.1	183	82.8	221	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,83 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83 #	%	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84 #	%	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85 #	%
MILFORD	8	5.1	148	94.8	156	100.0	4	4.0	96	96.0	100	100.0	9	7.3	114	92.6	123	100.0
NANTUCKET	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	5	45.4	6	54.5	11	100.0
NATICK	16	37.2	27	62.7	43	100.0	25	24.5	77	75.4	102	100.0	34	27.6	89	72.3	123	100.0
NEW BEDFORD	52	73.2	19	26.7	71	100.0	189	69.2	84	30.7	273	100.0	252	83.4	50	16.5	302	100.0
NEWBURYPORT	36	81.8	8	18.1	44	100.0	20	54.0	17	45.9	37	100.0	18	43.9	23	56.0	41	100.0
NEWTON	80	86.0	13	13.9	93	100.0	101	80.8	24	19.2	125	100.0	96	81.3	22	18.6	118	100.0
NORTH ADAMS	5	71.4	2	28.5	7	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
NORTHAMPTON	24	33.8	47	66.1	71	100.0	37	48.0	40	51.9	77	100.0	51	30.1	118	69.8	169	100.0
ORANGE	119	97.5	3	2.4	122	100.0	33	70.2	14	29.7	47	100.0	36	75.0	12	25.0	48	100.0
ORLEANS	40	16.6	200	83.3	240	100.0	77	31.8	165	68.1	242	100.0	97	33.3	194	66.6	291	100.0
PALMER	15	37.5	25	62.5	40	100.0	16	36.3	28	63.6	44	100.0	18	40.9	26	59.0	44	100.0
PEABODY	8	12.9	54	87.0	62	100.0	65	25.0	195	75.0	260	100.0	67	22.4	231	77.5	296	100.0
PITTSFIELD	107	44.9	131	55.0	238	100.0	64	52.0	59	47.9	123	100.0	74	56.9	56	43.0	130	100.0
PLYMOUTH	11	21.5	40	78.4	51	100.0	13	15.6	70	84.3	83	100.0	2	2.4	80	97.5	82	100.0
QUINCY	213	13.6	1352	86.3	1565	100.0	117	8.7	1226	91.2	1343	100.0	206	12.8	1402	87.1	1608	100.0
ROXBURY	283	70.9	116	29.0	399	100.0	186	66.4	94	33.5	280	100.0	200	65.1	107	34.8	307	100.0
SALEM	72	27.9	186	72.0	258	100.0	32	19.7	130	80.2	162	100.0	24	28.9	59	71.0	83	100.0
SOMERVILLE	24	12.6	165	87.3	189	100.0	114	49.1	118	50.8	232	100.0	145	54.7	120	45.2	265	100.0
SOUTH BOSTON	26	29.5	62	70.4	88	100.0	41	54.6	34	45.3	75	100.0	44	31.8	94	68.1	138	100.0
SPENCER	8	13.3	52	86.6	60	100.0	13	11.5	100	88.4	113	100.0	26	17.5	122	82.4	148	100.0
SPRINGFIELD	959	66.6	479	33.3	1438	100.0	611	54.6	507	45.3	1118	100.0	612	54.9	501	45.0	1113	100.0
STOUGHTON	36	24.1	113	75.8	149	100.0	54	19.4	224	80.5	278	100.0	84	27.1	225	72.8	309	100.0
TAUNTON	218	61.9	134	38.0	352	100.0	93	38.1	151	61.8	244	100.0	104	34.5	197	65.4	301	100.0
UXBRIDGE	9	69.2	4	30.7	13	100.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	10	100.0	7	25.9	20	74.0	27	100.0
WALTHAM	91	98.9	1	1.0	92	100.0	84	67.2	41	32.8	125	100.0	81	59.1	56	40.8	137	100.0
WARE	18	16.8	89	83.1	107	100.0	23	23.0	77	77.0	100	100.0	35	28.9	86	71.0	121	100.0
WAREHAM	27	4.7	540	95.2	567	100.0	98	35.3	179	64.6	277	100.0	91	23.8	291	76.1	382	100.0
WEST ROXBURY	120	58.2	86	41.7	206	100.0	63	52.0	58	47.9	121	100.0	71	59.1	49	40.8	120	100.0
WESTBOROUGH	114	51.3	108	48.6	222	100.0	60	44.1	76	55.8	136	100.0	76	43.9	97	56.0	173	100.0
WESTFIELD	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	7	31.8	15	68.1	22	100.0	14	25.0	42	75.0	56	100.0
WINCHENDON	19	51.3	18	48.6	37	100.0	10	32.2	21	67.7	31	100.0	21	44.6	26	55.3	47	100.0
WOBURN	144	41.7	201	58.2	345	100.0	117	43.0	155	56.9	272	100.0	160	44.5	199	55.4	359	100.0
WORCESTER	62	67.3	30	32.6	92	100.0	109	36.8	187	63.1	296	100.0	79	44.6	98	55.3	177	100.0
WRENTHAM	54	81.8	12	18.1	66	100.0	39	29.5	93	70.4	132	100.0	70	36.6	121	63.3	191	100.0
TOTALS	7772	43.8	9933	56.1	17705	100.0	5456	35.1	10081	64.8	15537	100.0	6849	35.7	12292	64.2	19141	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	82044	88064	102110	7.33 %	15.94 %	24.45 %
AMESBURY	101901	108338	121984	6.31 %	12.59 %	19.70 %
ATTLEBORO	371727	391155	435221	5.22 %	11.26 %	17.08 %
AYER	272995	314387	325706	15.16 %	3.60 %	19.30 %
BARNSTABLE	344546	453681	541426	31.67 %	19.34 %	57.14 %
BOSTON	197158	267219	279720	35.53 %	4.67 %	41.87 %
BRIGHTON	131084	149687	195737	14.19 %	30.76 %	49.32 %
BROCKTON	472751	471444	590851	0.27- %	25.32 %	24.98 %
BROOKLINE	119384	124367	150027	4.17 %	20.63 %	25.66 %
CAMBRIDGE	595468	655585	611439	10.09 %	6.73- %	2.68 %
CHARLESTOWN	98742	95195	91517	3.59- %	3.86- %	7.31- %
CHELSEA	223361	240038	265440	7.46 %	10.58 %	18.83 %
CHICOPEE	143652	174987	191904	21.81 %	9.66 %	33.58 %
CLINTON	230645	202843	208291	12.05- %	2.68 %	9.69- %
CONCORD	355427	406558	428956	14.38 %	5.50 %	20.68 %
DEDHAM	309829	349759	362458	12.88 %	3.63 %	16.98 %
DORCHESTER	4521863	4651820	4890269	2.87 %	5.12 %	8.14 %
DUDLEY	508640	558827	656965	9.96 %	17.56 %	29.16 %
EAST BOSTON	208691	217608	244182	4.27 %	12.21 %	17.00 %
EDGARTOWN	32676	50987	75071	56.03 %	47.23 %	129.74 %
FALL RIVER	287682	317073	362487	10.22 %	14.32 %	26.01 %
FITCHBURG	260648	317377	381775	21.76 %	20.29 %	46.47 %
FRAMINGHAM	328543	375214	433911	14.20 %	15.64 %	32.07 %
GARDNER	171485	216752	271910	26.39 %	25.44 %	58.56 %
GLOUCESTER	179835	227590	226866	26.55 %	0.31- %	26.15 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	139405	139205	133765	0.14- %	3.90- %	4.04- %
GREENFIELD	170917	197181	238584	15.36 %	20.99 %	39.59 %
HAVERHILL	234519	302765	350838	29.10 %	15.87 %	49.59 %
HINGHAM	201459	280380	374460	39.17 %	33.55 %	85.87 %
HOLYOKE	527956	568956	623314	7.76 %	9.55 %	18.06 %
IPSWICH	36968	63974	86427	73.05 %	35.09 %	133.78 %
LAWRENCE	1242802	1438955	1720282	15.78 %	19.55 %	38.41 %
LEE	119914	86475	82488	27.88- %	4.61- %	31.21- %
LEOMINSTER	329953	417709	415063	26.59 %	0.63- %	25.79 %
LOWELL	944719	1180652	1237178	24.97 %	4.78 %	30.95 %
LYNN	539129	661615	728604	22.71 %	10.12 %	35.14 %
MALDEN	467692	546609	613149	16.87 %	12.17 %	31.10 %
MARLBOROUGH	280678	310928	390007	10.77 %	25.43 %	38.95 %
MILFORD	225186	250832	259654	11.38 %	3.51 %	15.30 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
NANTUCKET	23153	24021	16604	3.74 %	30.87- %	28.28- %
NATICK	65781	65723	81196	0.08- %	23.54 %	23.43 %
NEW BEDFORD	360528	378651	358072	5.02 %	5.43- %	0.68- %
NEWBURYPORT	120913	128061	144490	5.91 %	12.82 %	19.49 %
NEWTON	206863	212974	256905	2.95 %	20.62 %	24.19 %
NORTH ADAMS	180345	190033	167071	5.37 %	12.08- %	7.36- %
NORTHAMPTON	475964	472189	518529	0.79- %	9.81 %	8.94 %
ORANGE	75286	91857	113620	22.01 %	23.69 %	50.91 %
ORLEANS	191000	240422	291882	25.87 %	21.40 %	52.81 %
PALMER	202682	242672	292717	19.73 %	20.62 %	44.42 %
PEABODY	151749	148965	146068	1.83- %	1.94- %	3.74- %
PITTSFIELD	354384	376921	406878	6.35 %	7.94 %	14.81 %
PLYMOUTH	277757	353707	429371	27.34 %	21.39 %	54.58 %
QUINCY	644131	840130	944817	30.42 %	12.46 %	46.68 %
ROXBURY	508542	593875	579172	16.77 %	2.47- %	13.88 %
SALEM	399130	488946	651644	22.50 %	33.27 %	63.26 %
SOMERVILLE	415842	497040	693579	19.52 %	39.54 %	66.78 %
SOUTH BOSTON	194443	209997	232108	7.99 %	10.52 %	19.37 %
SPENCER	110208	159327	206393	44.56 %	29.54 %	87.27 %
SPRINGFIELD	1277526	1508515	1657249	18.08 %	9.85 %	29.72 %
STOUGHTON	188055	218794	224120	16.34 %	2.43 %	19.17 %
TAUNTON	267798	299002	342409	11.65 %	14.51 %	27.86 %
UXBRIDGE	194767	232806	269522	19.53 %	15.77 %	38.38 %
WALTHAM	311218	351856	366453	13.05 %	4.14 %	17.74 %
WARE	37138	67192	93984	80.92 %	39.87 %	153.06 %
WAREHAM	188661	227386	276867	20.52 %	21.76 %	46.75 %
WEST ROXBURY	332835	454891	548860	36.67 %	20.65 %	64.90 %
WESTBOROUGH	220036	229858	234578	4.46 %	2.05 %	6.60 %
WESTFIELD	143740	170155	213473	18.37 %	25.45 %	48.51 %
WINCHENDON	40121	56682	60871	41.27 %	7.39 %	51.71 %
WOBURN	502624	559144	609607	11.24 %	9.02 %	21.28 %
WORCESTER	775370	1145320	1330503	47.71 %	16.16 %	71.59 %
WRENTHAM	429232	426452	475108	0.64- %	11.40 %	10.68 %
** TOTALS **	25875876	29536355	32934756	14.12 %	11.50 %	27.26 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	3794	4086	4798	7.69 %	17.42 %	26.46 %
AMESBURY	67954	68767	46006	1.19 %	33.09- %	32.29- %
ATTLEBORO	19575	27287	19272	39.39 %	29.37- %	1.54- %
AYER	5618	9995	6320	77.91 %	36.76- %	12.49 %
BARNSTABLE	116138	82119	58522	29.29- %	28.73- %	49.60- %
BOSTON	68	310	1175	355.88 %	279.03 %	1627.94 %
BRIGHTON	26594	25982	34584	2.30- %	33.10 %	30.04 %
BROCKTON	98194	139468	147213	42.03 %	5.55 %	49.92 %
BROOKLINE	48492	51040	34245	5.25 %	32.90- %	29.38- %
CAMBRIDGE	53894	53023	51090	1.61- %	3.64- %	5.20- %
CHARLESTOWN	27288	45321	47648	66.08 %	5.13 %	74.61 %
CHELSEA	65235	74288	65035	13.87 %	12.45- %	0.30- %
CHICOPEE	17404	12698	14287	27.03- %	12.51 %	17.90- %
CLINTON	21067	19154	18880	9.08- %	1.43- %	10.38- %
CONCORD	25217	21516	28925	14.67- %	34.43 %	14.70 %
DEDHAM	57050	69222	48347	21.33 %	30.15- %	15.25- %
DORCHESTER	162194	156485	175043	3.51- %	11.85 %	7.92 %
DUDLEY	16916	19790	30529	16.98 %	54.26 %	80.47 %
EAST BOSTON	32042	31810	40937	0.72- %	28.69 %	27.76 %
EDGARTOWN	12662	13963	10065	10.27 %	27.91- %	20.51- %
FALL RIVER	25426	22220	29340	12.60- %	32.04 %	15.39 %
FITCHBURG	11760	10554	13897	10.25- %	31.67 %	18.17 %
FRAMINGHAM	49079	44193	59809	9.95- %	35.33 %	21.86 %
GARDNER	8552	5450	5660	36.27- %	3.85 %	33.81- %
GLOUCESTER	34169	36790	46578	7.67 %	26.60 %	36.31 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	4088	4420	5068	8.12 %	14.66 %	23.97 %
GREENFIELD	14590	16084	21524	10.23 %	33.82 %	47.52 %
HAVERHILL	15671	13641	14982	12.95- %	9.83 %	4.39- %
HINGHAM	82437	55906	56209	32.18- %	0.54 %	31.81- %
HOLYOKE	13771	12819	11908	6.91- %	7.10- %	13.52- %
IPSWICH	6740	3978	1561	40.97- %	60.75- %	76.83- %
LAWRENCE	64053	58472	57705	8.71- %	1.31- %	9.91- %
LEE	6735	6443	5238	4.33- %	18.70- %	22.22- %
LEOMINSTER	44975	22629	15191	49.68- %	32.86- %	66.22- %
LOWELL	15342	88042	90250	473.86 %	2.50 %	488.25 %
LYNN	55316	45405	67839	17.91- %	49.40 %	22.63 %
MALDEN	1551	692	7395	55.38- %	968.64 %	376.78 %
MARLBOROUGH	15305	13062	16246	14.65- %	24.37 %	6.14 %
MILFORD	47700	27118	17665	43.14- %	34.85- %	62.96- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
NANTUCKET	3521	5035	5065	42.99 %	0.59 %	43.85 %
NATICK	14535	12815	7354	11.83- %	42.61- %	49.40- %
NEW BEDFORD	655	1330	5210	103.05 %	291.72 %	695.41 %
NEWBURYPORT	8854	2110	6235	76.16- %	195.49 %	29.57- %
NEWTON	16195	11613	14695	28.29- %	26.53 %	9.26- %
NORTH ADAMS	0	7615	13045	0.00 **	71.30 %	0.00 **
NORTHAMPTON	58639	61609	50134	5.06 %	18.62- %	14.50- %
ORANGE	12746	13490	22030	5.83 %	63.30 %	72.83 %
ORLEANS	82879	70522	60512	14.90- %	14.19- %	26.98- %
PALMER	22500	12620	15290	43.91- %	21.15 %	32.04- %
PEABODY	36190	31911	27467	11.82- %	13.92- %	24.10- %
PITTSFIELD	19969	17096	28137	14.38- %	64.58 %	40.90 %
PLYMOUTH	30095	24875	34609	17.34- %	39.13 %	14.99 %
QUINCY	93936	103114	113215	9.77 %	9.79 %	20.52 %
ROXBURY	58439	41052	39002	29.75- %	4.99- %	33.26- %
SALEM	42635	34950	28772	18.02- %	17.67- %	32.51- %
SOMERVILLE	39442	30013	51989	23.90- %	73.22 %	31.81 %
SOUTH BOSTON	30650	31894	32265	4.05 %	1.16 %	5.26 %
SPENCER	8297	8887	7843	7.11 %	11.74- %	5.47- %
SPRINGFIELD	78683	70396	59999	10.53- %	14.76- %	23.74- %
STOUGHTON	11790	17920	22672	51.99 %	26.51 %	92.29 %
TAUNTON	34161	40467	54561	18.45 %	34.82 %	59.71 %
UXBRIDGE	19197	17770	13965	7.43- %	21.41- %	27.25- %
WALTHAM	28194	10729	22641	61.94- %	111.02 %	19.69- %
WARE	1990	3725	4394	87.18 %	17.95 %	120.80 %
WAREHAM	46402	42252	42543	8.94- %	0.68 %	8.31- %
WEST ROXBURY	52651	48638	65791	7.62- %	35.26 %	24.95 %
WESTBOROUGH	13822	13520	22304	2.18- %	64.97 %	61.36 %
WESTFIELD	17897	15960	17780	10.82- %	11.40 %	0.65- %
WINCHENDON	1275	1140	3910	10.58- %	242.98 %	206.66 %
WOBURN	18418	7490	4875	59.33- %	34.91- %	73.53- %
WORCESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WRENTHAM	35480	26457	29753	25.43- %	12.45 %	16.14- %
** TOTALS **	2334753	2253257	2355043	3.49- %	4.51 %	0.86 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	10176	12397	18119	21.82 %	46.15 %	78.05 %
AMESBURY	84907	68377	58900	19.46- %	13.85- %	30.62- %
ATTLEBORO	81746	91965	109695	12.50 %	19.27 %	34.19 %
AYER	47220	46045	44706	2.48- %	2.90- %	5.32- %
BARNSTABLE	117320	188970	198564	61.07 %	5.07 %	69.24 %
BOSTON	48734	48587	49556	0.30- %	1.99 %	1.68 %
BRIGHTON	10522	17481	26366	66.13 %	50.82 %	150.57 %
BROCKTON	98827	139602	147318	41.25 %	5.52 %	49.06 %
BROOKLINE	25150	30034	23710	19.41 %	21.05- %	5.72- %
CAMBRIDGE	87296	87817	100863	0.59 %	14.85 %	15.54 %
CHARLESTOWN	7923	22790	49407	187.64 %	116.79 %	523.58 %
CHELSEA	90328	116785	110942	29.28 %	5.00- %	22.82 %
CHICOPEE	16889	25347	20513	50.07 %	19.07- %	21.45 %
CLINTON	66425	81339	92392	22.45 %	13.58 %	39.09 %
CONCORD	75051	80368	98495	7.08 %	22.55 %	31.23 %
DEDHAM	35120	47595	51320	35.52 %	7.82 %	46.12 %
DORCHESTER	7463	13486	21954	80.70 %	62.79 %	194.17 %
DUDLEY	77898	69499	105965	10.78- %	52.46 %	36.03 %
EAST BOSTON	45498	50431	45653	10.84 %	9.47- %	0.34 %
EDGARTOWN	9769	14257	21723	45.94 %	52.36 %	122.36 %
FALL RIVER	76623	97884	130164	27.74 %	32.97 %	69.87 %
FITCHBURG	52575	72323	61411	37.56 %	15.08- %	16.80 %
FRAMINGHAM	126782	148220	150482	16.90 %	1.52 %	18.69 %
GARDNER	66869	71531	67716	6.97 %	5.33- %	1.26 %
GLOUCESTER	73560	95056	77470	29.22 %	18.50- %	5.31 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	9871	13056	17227	32.26 %	31.94 %	74.52 %
GREENFIELD	33898	24968	38328	26.34- %	53.50 %	13.06 %
HAVERHILL	38833	45730	49879	17.76 %	9.07 %	28.44 %
HINGHAM	168856	195947	184674	16.04 %	5.75- %	9.36 %
HOLYOKE	45733	39422	48831	13.79- %	23.86 %	6.77 %
IPSWICH	19787	14142	18835	28.52- %	33.18 %	4.81- %
LAWRENCE	138410	159412	149262	15.17 %	6.36- %	7.84 %
LEE	14752	20504	22619	38.99 %	10.31 %	53.32 %
LEOMINSTER	130178	152881	149113	17.43 %	2.46- %	14.54 %
LOWELL	246612	173413	255149	29.68- %	47.13 %	3.46 %
LYNN	120748	164215	202308	35.99 %	23.19 %	67.54 %
MALDEN	45075	59730	60493	32.51 %	1.27 %	34.20 %
MARLBOROUGH	55886	81705	76884	46.19 %	5.90- %	37.57 %
MILFORD	44824	42150	57827	5.96- %	37.19 %	29.00 %

FINES, SURFINES IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
NANTUCKET	1005	1255	1806	24.87	%	43.90	%	79.70	%
NATICK	20615	29085	32106	41.08	%	10.38	%	55.74	%
NEW BEDFORD	128393	158774	141057	23.66	%	11.15-	%	9.86	%
NEWBURYPORT	27575	21366	36867	22.51-	%	72.54	%	33.69	%
NEWTON	26332	30225	31314	14.78	%	3.60	%	18.91	%
NORTH ADAMS	31777	24770	35393	22.05-	%	42.88	%	11.37	%
NORTHAMPTON	111101	117984	136113	6.19	%	15.36	%	22.51	%
ORANGE	21138	18881	43216	10.67-	%	128.88	%	104.44	%
ORLEANS	62047	98102	98821	58.10	%	0.73	%	59.26	%
PALMER	23912	25237	25245	5.54	%	0.03	%	5.57	%
PEABODY	73294	106361	77651	45.11	%	26.99-	%	5.94	%
PITTSFIELD	46778	47418	77421	1.36	%	63.27	%	65.50	%
PLYMOUTH	58831	73441	86695	24.83	%	18.04	%	47.36	%
QUINCY	127159	150849	149189	18.59	%	1.10-	%	17.28	%
ROXBURY	41407	38124	35014	7.92-	%	8.15-	%	15.43-	%
SALEM	80286	82570	81643	2.84	%	1.12-	%	1.69	%
SOMERVILLE	114153	122901	159841	7.66	%	30.05	%	40.02	%
SOUTH BOSTON	15767	12732	21301	19.24-	%	67.30	%	35.09	%
SPENCER	53504	53158	60491	0.64-	%	13.79	%	13.05	%
SPRINGFIELD	187914	250780	257281	33.45	%	2.59	%	36.91	%
STOUGHTON	58482	74655	75435	27.65	%	1.04	%	28.98	%
TAUNTON	110387	152197	175485	37.87	%	15.30	%	58.97	%
UXBRIDGE	55147	55179	71954	0.05	%	30.40	%	30.47	%
WALTHAM	83145	99620	114566	19.81	%	15.00	%	37.79	%
WARE	5456	18958	29730	244.94	%	56.82	%	440.93	%
WAREHAM	92909	127967	158499	37.73	%	23.85	%	70.59	%
WEST ROXBURY	8388	10966	10092	30.77	%	8.13-	%	20.31	%
WESTBOROUGH	104072	113191	132045	8.76	%	16.65	%	26.87	%
WESTFIELD	23862	34833	61642	45.97	%	76.96	%	158.32	%
WINCHENDON	9483	16418	11712	73.13	%	28.66-	%	23.50	%
WOBURN	132792	163231	237450	22.92	%	45.46	%	78.81	%
WORCESTER	366966	416642	342815	13.53	%	17.71-	%	6.58-	%
WRENTHAM	85432	107881	157815	26.27	%	46.28	%	84.72	%
** TOTALS **	4943723	5781232	6382538	16.94	%	10.40	%	29.10	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	4030	3303	12999	18.03- %	293.55 %	222.55 %
AMESBURY	16876	15057	13428	10.77- %	10.81- %	20.43- %
ATTLEBORO	51373	74116	70142	44.27 %	5.36- %	36.53 %
AYER	154237	143331	153486	7.07- %	7.08 %	0.48- %
BARNSTABLE	147343	164107	150072	11.37 %	8.55- %	1.85 %
BOSTON	171365	180320	216357	5.22 %	19.98 %	26.25 %
BRIGHTON	42135	42758	52894	1.47 %	23.70 %	25.53 %
BROCKTON	156372	232975	308234	48.98 %	32.30 %	97.11 %
BROOKLINE	34157	76728	57099	124.63 %	25.58- %	67.16 %
CAMBRIDGE	142072	196460	160313	38.28 %	18.39- %	12.83 %
CHARLESTOWN	7629	14011	20415	83.65 %	45.70 %	167.59 %
CHELSEA	85299	84163	88141	1.33- %	4.72 %	3.33 %
CHICOPEE	36892	36694	25350	0.53- %	30.91- %	31.28- %
CLINTON	23640	30482	27199	28.94 %	10.77- %	15.05 %
CONCORD	62147	42080	56557	32.28- %	34.40 %	8.99- %
DEDHAM	73735	99886	125243	35.46 %	25.38 %	69.85 %
DORCHESTER	124126	325891	205216	162.54 %	37.02- %	65.32 %
DUDLEY	74516	53191	43202	28.61- %	18.77- %	42.02- %
EAST BOSTON	65931	92447	86282	40.21 %	6.66- %	30.86 %
EDGARTOWN	22163	15062	27644	32.03- %	83.53 %	24.73 %
FALL RIVER	81428	75530	70849	7.24- %	6.19- %	12.99- %
FITCHBURG	38903	32026	75175	17.67- %	134.73 %	93.23 %
FRAMINGHAM	173734	146153	161765	15.87- %	10.68 %	6.88- %
GARDNER	30179	35448	41415	17.45 %	16.83 %	37.23 %
GLOUCESTER	42635	31700	50570	25.64- %	59.52 %	18.61 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	12072	18967	8276	57.11 %	56.36- %	31.44- %
GREENFIELD	26772	26153	27586	2.31- %	5.47 %	3.04 %
HAVERHILL	52542	56109	73426	6.78 %	30.86 %	39.74 %
HINGHAM	80606	104587	103123	29.75 %	1.39- %	27.93 %
HOLYOKE	72812	92961	103868	27.67 %	11.73 %	42.65 %
IPSWICH	8799	39334	18393	347.02 %	53.23- %	109.03 %
LAWRENCE	122448	130938	128751	6.93 %	1.67- %	5.14 %
LEE	13612	9352	15919	31.29- %	70.22 %	16.94 %
LEOMINSTER	63618	73998	94176	16.31 %	27.26 %	48.03 %
LOWELL	141283	128859	174098	8.79- %	35.10 %	23.22 %
LYNN	112541	172687	240586	53.44 %	39.31 %	113.77 %
MALDEN	98571	100412	113322	1.86 %	12.85 %	14.96 %
MARLBOROUGH	54544	62361	64219	14.33 %	2.97 %	17.73 %
MILFORD	29559	37894	45171	28.19 %	19.20 %	52.81 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
NANTUCKET	7869	5839	1768	25.79- %	69.72- %	77.53- %
NATICK	43808	52686	65887	20.26 %	25.05 %	50.39 %
NEW BEDFORD	65879	83259	79019	26.38 %	5.09- %	19.94 %
NEWBURYPORT	24433	36107	40892	47.77 %	13.25 %	67.36 %
NEWTON	51510	75661	70008	46.88 %	7.47- %	35.91 %
NORTH ADAMS	15374	15387	15910	0.08 %	3.39 %	3.48 %
NORTHAMPTON	88265	85015	106884	3.68- %	25.72 %	21.09 %
ORANGE	17383	20434	29750	17.55 %	45.59 %	71.14 %
ORLEANS	46662	51075	64669	9.45 %	26.61 %	38.59 %
PALMER	30476	26992	42603	11.43- %	57.83 %	39.79 %
PEABODY	43810	56033	68345	27.90 %	21.97 %	56.00 %
PITTSFIELD	73502	59221	74174	19.42- %	25.24 %	0.91 %
PLYMOUTH	87302	101623	99732	16.40 %	1.86- %	14.23 %
QUINCY	235428	316050	341474	34.24 %	8.04 %	45.04 %
ROXBURY	219779	262471	248640	19.42 %	5.26- %	13.13 %
SALEM	75801	83054	138284	9.56 %	66.49 %	82.43 %
SOMERVILLE	101698	118451	135972	16.47 %	14.79 %	33.70 %
SOUTH BOSTON	58834	67752	86669	15.15 %	27.92 %	47.31 %
SPENCER	23929	26712	32185	11.63 %	20.48 %	34.50 %
SPRINGFIELD	173219	176151	183180	1.69 %	3.99 %	5.75 %
STOUGHTON	56198	72420	67050	28.86 %	7.41- %	19.31 %
TAUNTON	70109	88265	101247	25.89 %	14.70 %	44.41 %
UXBRIDGE	18997	22934	26251	20.72 %	14.46 %	38.18 %
WALTHAM	66589	73963	78225	11.07 %	5.76 %	17.47 %
WARE	3116	12637	16615	305.55 %	31.47 %	433.21 %
WAREHAM	53693	63003	78705	17.33 %	24.92 %	46.58 %
WEST ROXBURY	118434	138443	149353	16.89 %	7.88 %	26.10 %
WESTBOROUGH	51267	56256	45598	9.73 %	18.94- %	11.05- %
WESTFIELD	25561	21822	29595	14.62- %	35.62 %	15.78 %
WINCHENDON	6310	7392	3552	17.14 %	51.94- %	43.70- %
WOBURN	165859	238037	207420	43.51 %	12.86- %	25.05 %
WORCESTER	175122	187506	226833	7.07 %	20.97 %	29.52 %
WRENTHAM	90234	89132	90234	1.22- %	1.23 %	0.00 %
** TOTALS **	5139146	6090314	6557684	18.50 %	7.67 %	27.60 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 240 COURT FEE IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	5780	6890	10857	19.20 %	57.57 %	87.83 %
AMESBURY	3265	200	7365	93.87- %	3582.50 %	125.57 %
ATTLEBORO	69727	69393	75505	0.47- %	8.80 %	8.28 %
AYER	41905	56070	74162	33.80 %	32.26 %	76.97 %
BARNSTABLE	90044	117343	109909	30.31 %	6.33- %	22.06 %
BOSTON	8625	13645	12215	58.20 %	10.48- %	41.62 %
BRIGHTON	8094	17260	28875	113.24 %	67.29 %	256.74 %
BROCKTON	67845	80553	73779	18.73 %	8.40- %	8.74 %
BROOKLINE	9000	22298	23114	147.75 %	3.65 %	156.82 %
CAMBRIDGE	52903	68232	65370	28.97 %	4.19- %	23.56 %
CHARLESTOWN	27182	38635	62025	42.13 %	60.54 %	128.18 %
CHELSEA	83135	99830	98943	20.08 %	0.88- %	19.01 %
CHICOPEE	13705	22565	19210	64.64 %	14.86- %	40.16 %
CLINTON	40287	40515	34047	0.56 %	15.96- %	15.48- %
CONCORD	111233	91455	77120	17.78- %	15.67- %	30.66- %
DEDHAM	90355	109486	100710	21.17 %	8.01- %	11.46 %
DORCHESTER	11681	24020	31332	105.63 %	30.44 %	168.23 %
DUDLEY	65755	56525	49381	14.03- %	12.63- %	24.90- %
EAST BOSTON	9907	11678	14120	17.87 %	20.91 %	42.52 %
EDGARTOWN	12925	14398	19883	11.39 %	38.09 %	53.83 %
FALL RIVER	51694	53322	54429	3.14 %	2.07 %	5.29 %
FITCHBURG	13150	25540	35590	94.22 %	39.35 %	170.64 %
FRAMINGHAM	99752	98355	92989	1.40- %	5.45- %	6.77- %
GARDNER	21410	21555	18515	0.67 %	14.10- %	13.52- %
GLOUCESTER	22960	18700	18818	18.55- %	0.63 %	18.04- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	7155	9467	11020	32.31 %	16.40 %	54.01 %
GREENFIELD	44275	62700	63214	41.61 %	0.81 %	42.77 %
HAVERHILL	33635	34935	33970	3.86 %	2.76- %	0.99 %
HINGHAM	110090	109436	99703	0.59- %	8.89- %	9.43- %
HOLYOKE	20945	23040	25705	10.00 %	11.56 %	22.72 %
IPSWICH	10680	8625	8035	19.24- %	6.84- %	24.75- %
LAWRENCE	90702	99004	109357	9.15 %	10.45 %	20.56 %
LEE	10035	9285	9920	7.47- %	6.83 %	1.14- %
LEOMINSTER	23000	32000	51200	39.13 %	60.00 %	122.60 %
LOWELL	29935	125691	92673	319.87 %	26.26- %	209.58 %
LYNN	69291	81787	65478	18.03 %	19.94- %	5.50- %
MALDEN	86950	91900	73291	5.69 %	20.24- %	15.70- %
MARLBOROUGH	58842	36187	30715	38.50- %	15.12- %	47.80- %
MILFORD	15069	34789	45489	130.86 %	30.75 %	201.87 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 24D COURT FEE IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
NANTUCKET	8055	8470	7510	5.15 %	11.33- %	6.76- %
NATICK	16705	15500	27340	7.21- %	76.38 %	63.66 %
NEW BEDFORD	48630	58601	58960	20.50 %	0.61 %	21.24 %
NEWBURYPORT	45105	45041	39010	0.14- %	13.39- %	13.51- %
NEWTON	29070	31335	29550	7.79 %	5.69- %	1.65 %
NORTH ADAMS	20880	15637	22450	25.11- %	43.56 %	7.51 %
NORTHAMPTON	83402	87260	85125	4.62 %	2.44- %	2.06 %
ORANGE	15600	11380	19830	27.05- %	74.25 %	27.11 %
ORLEANS	63450	81140	62231	27.88 %	23.30- %	1.92- %
PALMER	40470	48880	41270	20.78 %	15.56- %	1.97 %
PEABODY	50795	61587	68748	21.24 %	11.62 %	35.34 %
PITTSFIELD	30545	35139	41608	15.04 %	18.40 %	36.21 %
PLYMOUTH	49124	44823	44905	8.75- %	0.18 %	8.58- %
QUINCY	93319	97249	102819	4.21 %	5.72 %	10.18 %
ROXBURY	10452	42124	38738	303.02 %	8.03- %	270.62 %
SALEM	70310	78489	61410	11.63 %	21.75- %	12.65- %
SOMERVILLE	40395	55125	53315	36.46 %	3.28- %	31.98 %
SOUTH BOSTON	9590	13390	12723	39.62 %	4.98- %	32.66 %
SPENCER	38485	34116	34987	11.35- %	2.55 %	9.08- %
SPRINGFIELD	150878	127389	131997	15.56- %	3.61 %	12.51- %
STOUGHTON	43459	38007	53556	12.52- %	40.91 %	23.12 %
TAUNTON	63922	75115	73839	17.51 %	1.69- %	15.51 %
UXBRIDGE	29622	49009	73027	65.44 %	49.00 %	146.52 %
WALTHAM	131307	82303	77795	37.32- %	5.47- %	40.75- %
WARE	6495	17560	10575	170.36 %	39.77- %	62.81 %
WAREHAM	50303	45124	49925	10.29- %	10.63 %	0.75- %
WEST ROXBURY	13140	16000	15745	21.76 %	1.59- %	19.82 %
WESTBOROUGH	59175	67215	71705	13.58 %	6.68 %	21.17 %
WESTFIELD	28425	31350	43815	10.29 %	39.76 %	54.14 %
WINCHENDON	1450	1550	2700	6.89 %	74.19 %	86.20 %
WOBURN	210485	227778	272870	8.21 %	19.79 %	29.63 %
WORCESTER	113100	153450	115500	35.67 %	24.73- %	2.12 %
WRENTHAM	44716	63309	62955	41.58 %	0.55- %	40.78 %
** TOTALS **	3353822	3797754	3836566	13.23 %	1.02 %	14.39 %

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE DISTRICT/ BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1283	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-85
ADAMS	0	350	1,120	0.0 **	220.0 %	0.0 **
AMESBURY	0	2,575	2,085	0.0 **	19.0- %	0.0 **
ATTLEBORO	0	6,510	4,676	0.0 **	28.1- %	0.0 **
AYER	0	150	325	0.0 **	116.6 %	0.0 **
BARNSTABLE	0	24,278	20,303	0.0 **	16.3- %	0.0 **
BOSTON	0	27,752	18,976	0.0 **	31.6- %	0.0 **
BRIGHTON	0	10,040	7,860	0.0 **	21.7- %	0.0 **
BROCKTON	0	2,390	3,302	0.0 **	38.1 %	0.0 **
BROOKLINE	0	5,783	5,150	0.0 **	10.9- %	0.0 **
CAMBRIDGE	0	13,660	18,640	0.0 **	36.4 %	0.0 **
CHARLESTOWN	0	1,310	575	0.0 **	56.1- %	0.0 **
CHELSEA	0	1,690	4,195	0.0 **	148.2 %	0.0 **
CHICOPEE	0	4,005	3,430	0.0 **	14.3- %	0.0 **
CLINTON	0	635	185	0.0 **	70.8- %	0.0 **
CONCORD	0	6,050	9,070	0.0 **	49.9 %	0.0 **
DEDHAM	0	8,948	7,135	0.0 **	20.2- %	0.0 **
DORCHESTER	0	30,177	39,007	0.0 **	29.2 %	0.0 **
DUDLEY	0	5,614	7,651	0.0 **	36.2 %	0.0 **
EAST BOSTON	0	225	0	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 %
EDGARTOWN	0	900	1,420	0.0 **	57.7 %	0.0 **
FALL RIVER	0	1,673	1,894	0.0 **	13.2 %	0.0 **
FITCHBURG	0	1,325	7,466	0.0 **	463.4 %	0.0 **
FRAMINGHAM	0	10,050	11,085	0.0 **	10.2 %	0.0 **
GARDNER	0	410	200	0.0 **	51.2- %	0.0 **
GLOUCESTER	0	4,537	4,356	0.0 **	3.9- %	0.0 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	2,030	3,400	0.0 **	67.4 %	0.0 **
GREENFIELD	0	1,545	1,195	0.0 **	22.6- %	0.0 **
HAVERHILL	0	4,405	9,986	0.0 **	126.6 %	0.0 **
HINGHAM	0	5,558	7,495	0.0 **	34.8 %	0.0 **
HOLYOKE	0	21,858	16,175	0.0 **	25.9- %	0.0 **
IPSWICH	0	1,625	1,665	0.0 **	2.4 %	0.0 **
LAWRENCE	0	10,950	22,401	0.0 **	104.5 %	0.0 **
LEE	0	2,467	2,168	0.0 **	12.1- %	0.0 **
LEOMINSTER	0	100	1,900	0.0 **	1300.0 %	0.0 **
LOWELL	0	30,404	27,465	0.0 **	9.6- %	0.0 **
LYNN	0	7,215	6,395	0.0 **	11.3- %	0.0 **
MALDEN	0	10,831	7,451	0.0 **	31.2- %	0.0 **
MARLBOROUGH	0	8,130	9,020	0.0 **	10.9 %	0.0 **
MILFORD	0	700	950	0.0 **	35.7 %	0.0 **
NANTUCKET	0	450	235	0.0 **	47.7- %	0.0 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE DISTRICT/ BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1283	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1285	PERCENT CHANGE,83-84	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-85
NATICK	0	2,175	2,475	0.0 **	13.7 %	0.0 **
NEW BEDFORD	0	7,375	5,165	0.0 **	29.9- %	0.0 **
NEWBURYPORT	0	3,305	3,335	0.0 **	0.9 %	0.0 **
NEWTON	0	4,440	7,200	0.0 **	62.1 %	0.0 **
NORTH ADAMS	0	2,485	3,025	0.0 **	21.7 %	0.0 **
NORTHAMPTON	0	22,865	27,330	0.0 **	19.5 %	0.0 **
ORANGE	0	3,150	3,690	0.0 **	17.1 %	0.0 **
ORLEANS	0	9,430	8,480	0.0 **	10.0- %	0.0 **
PALMER	0	5,480	4,705	0.0 **	14.1- %	0.0 **
PEABODY	0	3,460	6,610	0.0 **	91.0 %	0.0 **
PITTSFIELD	0	6,185	7,880	0.0 **	27.4 %	0.0 **
PLYMOUTH	0	2,415	8,047	0.0 **	233.2 %	0.0 **
QUINCY	0	0	50	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
ROXBURY	0	5,553	5,973	0.0 **	7.5 %	0.0 **
SALEM	0	2,665	3,415	0.0 **	28.1 %	0.0 **
SOMERVILLE	0	7,700	12,575	0.0 **	63.3 %	0.0 **
SOUTH BOSTON	0	12,528	17,959	0.0 **	43.3 %	0.0 **
SPENCER	0	8,997	4,770	0.0 **	46.9- %	0.0 **
SPRINGFIELD	0	22,185	15,872	0.0 **	28.4- %	0.0 **
STOUGHTON	0	1,846	4,670	0.0 **	152.9 %	0.0 **
TAUNTON	0	11,015	16,710	0.0 **	51.7 %	0.0 **
UXBRIDGE	0	665	1,380	0.0 **	107.5 %	0.0 **
WALTHAM	0	9,415	7,140	0.0 **	24.1- %	0.0 **
WARE	0	3,495	5,005	0.0 **	43.2 %	0.0 **
WAREHAM	0	8,339	8,345	0.0 **	0.0 %	0.0 **
WEST ROXBURY	0	5,715	6,205	0.0 **	8.5 %	0.0 **
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
WESTFIELD	0	6,810	8,705	0.0 **	27.8 %	0.0 **
WINCHENDON	0	100	515	0.0 **	415.0 %	0.0 **
WOBURN	0	17,825	28,101	0.0 **	57.6 %	0.0 **
WORCESTER	0	100	885	0.0 **	785.0 %	0.0 **
WRENTHAM	0	5,375	5,225	0.0 **	2.7- %	0.0 **
ANNUAL TOTAL	0	482,398	539,449	0.0 **	11.8 %	0.0 **

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS
1985

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Adams	180	290	145	265	195	300	285	145	240	420	250	220	2935
Amesbury	660	690	820	705	825	900	770	755	590	990	445	510	8660
Attleboro	1540	1528	1923	1883	1985	2146	1834	2358	2360	2056	1605	2010	23228
Ayer	2602	2547	2418	3125	2545	2105	2800	2260	2368	2805	2308	2333	30216
Barnstable	2102	2449	3245	3561	3205	2375	3419	2665	3240	3534	2436	2845	35076
Boston	1035	1260	845	1166	1276	815	733	920	765	715	1050	1082	11662
Brighton	725	800	1191	1030	1369	1295	940	1140	955	[illegible]	1190	1040	13310
Brockton	3518	2170	3310	3673	3322	3200	3350	3140	2570	3170	2930	2345	36698
Brookline	857	575	543	660	860	680	755	385	468	743	705	660	7891
Cambridge	1998	2960	2910	3045	2605	2215	2710	2080	2945	2010	1605	2035	29118
Charlestown	680	645	935	990	895	1045	925	1430	635	585	411	595	9771
Chelsea	1330	1440	1720	2015	1390	1035	1870	1710	1510	2220	1606	1550	19396
Chicopee	640	785	690	1875	440	775	685	795	675	869	390	1020	9639
Clinton	1165	1135	1400	1435	1745	1825	1405	2072	1685	2163	2045	1392	19467
Concord	1185	1710	1380	1860	2215	1580	1320	1327	1470	1955	1345	1490	18837
Dedham	1000	1390	1220	1330	1648	1637	1590	1500	1620	1815	1165	1255	17170
Dorchester	979	*	*	*	4754	2016	1849	1845	2362	3388	2489	3125	22807
Dudley	1145	1175	1128	982	2010	1628	1637	1790	1407	1800	1585	2138	18425
East Boston	835	815	785	1035	998	932	1360	1395	1205	1250	1145	770	12525
Edgartown	230	85	235	205	450	420	355	525	255	310	270	80	3420
Fall River	2075	2265	2642	3420	3395	3240	2642	3066	3505	3142	2657	2870	34919
Fitchburg	915	850	1347	1285	1156	699	1601	865	759	965	1000	690	12132
Framingham	2685	3060	3240	3680	3890	3640	2845	2555	2315	3840	3080	2895	37725
Gardner	930	810	1093	1395	1260	1120	975	1270	1075	955	935	685	12503
Gloucester	1110	761	1117	1115	1200	1316	1398	1645	1276	1760	1130	1780	15608
Grt. Barrington	295	335	445	385	475	375	545	250	490	475	423	392	4885
Greenfield	655	525	1115	755	685	1050	605	750	805	575	695	1115	9330
Haverhill	490	615	735	540	625	625	730	780	815	485	663	635	7738
Hingham	2935	2525	2710	3125	2825	3294	3676	3273	3710	3495	3557	3381	38506
Holyoke	615	735	886	849	1059	975	620	580	1120	1360	982	860	10641
Ipswich	225	180	200	130	260	160	295	140	15	50	55	100	1810
Lawrence	2310	1510	2870	2510	3287	2360	2635	2564	2265	2823	2688	2032	29854
Lee	245	575	565	270	340	275	435	470	275	455	465	275	4645
Leominster	1290	1920	1715	1420	1605	1325	1115	1420	1175	990	805	510	15290
Lowell	4415	4095	5100	5244	4870	3966	4943	4760	3515	4430	3702	3802	52842
Lynn	2805	2835	2915	3069	3379	2630	3940	4735	3917	3845	3170	3325	40565
Malden	30	55	60	15	0	0	60	75	90	95	145	75	700
Marlboro	1155	990	900	1045	890	1270	1165	1510	1440	1240	1240	1130	13975
Milford	1085	1190	1075	1265	1015	1242	1370	1350	1109	1663	1740	1685	15789

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS
1985

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Nantucket	90	110	35	105	90	*	*	*	*	*	85	135	650
Natick	217	560	510	465	785	927	1595	880	985	1805	1732	1464	11925
New Bedford	2195	2050	2280	3090	2660	2470	2450	3365	3675	3900	3475	2885	34495
Newburyport	240	0	335	265	255	0	395	535	390	0	335	360	3110
Newton	395	290	590	690	715	593	440	650	750	1650	360	320	7443
North Adams	435	415	660	575	460	590	585	585	515	786	705	440	6751
Northampton	2167	2155	2477	2390	2645	1995	2533	2135	2698	2126	2517	2625	28463
Orange	650	420	748	832	590	625	890	790	1070	883	618	670	8786
Orleans	2570	1480	2085	2315	1850	2580	2975	3455	2740	2547	1900	2467	28964
Palmer	629	741	745	920	1425	730	1272	1510	930	1200	1045	825	11972
Peabody	1085	1030	985	1115	1326	1035	1035	1205	670	1435	1185	1100	13206
Pittsfield	985	1040	1415	928	1160	995	1055	1485	1480	1354	1371	1818	15086
Plymouth	1055	1260	1165	1458	1695	1382	2020	2130	1225	1520	1400	1065	17375
Quincy	2420	2916	3339	3852	4363	4435	3812	3403	3675	3215	3430	3720	42580
Roxbury	1505	1065	1364	1380	1525	1230	1040	1305	870	1305	1030	990	14609
Salem	1795	1265	1980	2125	1945	1690	8400	1090	1810	1750	1400	1865	27115
Somerville	1210	1550	1870	1640	2189	1840	2000	1885	2405	2505	3165	2758	25017
South Boston	390	340	345	260	405	740	640	825	575	495	710	545	6270
Spencer	585	781	995	485	1420	670	1045	499	1035	850	760	620	9745
Springfield	4914	4750	6270	5025	5435	4650	4654	5152	3679	5986	5664	5158	61337
Stoughton	700	805	870	1150	915	915	855	1025	900	945	840	765	10685
Taunton	1450	1850	2385	2170	2285	1835	2170	2175	1925	3050	2225	1578	25098
Uxbridge	930	1440	1165	1080	1223	1327	1355	1405	1535	1005	1000	520	13985
Waltham	1715	2200	2285	2485	2480	2210	2085	1685	1580	1852	2084	2113	24774
Ware	480	565	590	430	375	560	410	540	650	537	454	465	6056
Wareham	1310	1367	1709	1655	1376	1375	1600	1843	1363	2045	1380	1265	18288
Westborough	1325	1660	1473	1323	1807	1045	1500	1430	1215	1435	1455	1335	17003
Westfield	1265	1060	1315	1420	1410	1170	1485	1090	40	1220	1040	1081	13596
West Roxbury	1225	1235	1315	1309	1130	1660	2015	1655	1950	1766	2010	1995	19265
Winchendon	275	170	155	195	120	270	150	350	305	230	210	85	2515
Woburn	690	925	960	1080	1030	770	1210	1685	990	1235	948	925	12448
Worcester	3165	2545	3820	2823	2943	2502	2500	2376	1774	2799	2496	2974	32717
Wrentham	2191	2075	2575	2625	2755	2305	3120	1995	2960	2475	1435	1695	28206
Statewide Total	92924	92395	108383	112017	119735	105607	121478	114433	107360	122982	106571	105358	1309243

* NO DATA RECEIVED FOR THIS MONTH

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

	JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS			ADULTS ARRAIGNED IN JUVENILE SESSIONS			JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS		
COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-12,83	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	TOTALS 01-12,83	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-84	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-85
ADAMS	57	84	60	0	0	0	47.3 %	28.5- %	5.2 %
AMESBURY	111	133	100	0	0	0	19.8 %	24.8- %	9.9- %
ATTLEBORO	241	261	282	0	1	0	8.2 %	8.0 %	17.0 %
AYER	172	182	203	0	0	0	5.8 %	11.5 %	18.0 %
BARNSTABLE	463	533	490	0	0	0	15.1 %	8.0- %	5.8 %
BOSTON	922	1031	1113	38	40	71	11.8 %	7.9 %	20.7 %
BRIGHTON	85	60	93	0	0	0	29.4- %	55.0 %	9.4 %
BROCKTON	769	653	680	0	0	0	15.0- %	4.1 %	11.5- %
BROOKLINE	93	80	69	0	0	0	13.9- %	13.7- %	25.8- %
CAMBRIDGE	362	373	465	1	0	0	3.0 %	24.6 %	28.4 %
CHARLESTOWN	31	56	75	4	0	0	80.6 %	33.9 %	141.9 %
CHELSEA	313	342	249	0	0	0	9.2 %	27.1- %	20.4- %
CHICOPEE	192	193	222	4	2	3	0.5 %	15.0 %	15.6 %
CLINTON	106	85	165	0	0	0	19.8- %	94.1 %	55.6 %
CONCORD	235	222	260	0	0	0	5.5- %	17.1 %	10.6 %
DEDHAM	224	208	216	0	1	1	7.1- %	3.8 %	3.5- %
DORCHESTER	504	509	577	0	0	0	0.9 %	13.3 %	14.4 %
DUDLEY	253	275	243	0	0	0	8.6 %	11.6- %	3.9- %
EAST BOSTON	134	147	161	0	0	0	9.7 %	9.5 %	20.1 %
EDGARTOWN	7	23	52	0	0	0	228.5 %	126.0 %	642.8 %
FALL RIVER	553	685	728	3	1	0	23.8 %	6.2 %	31.6 %
FITCHBURG	180	185	195	0	0	0	2.7 %	5.4 %	8.3 %
FRAMINGHAM	272	361	364	0	1	0	32.7 %	0.8 %	33.8 %
GARDNER	50	36	71	0	0	0	28.0- %	97.2 %	42.0 %
GLOUCESTER	142	169	190	0	0	0	19.0 %	12.4 %	33.8 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	65	66	70	0	0	0	1.5 %	6.0 %	7.6 %
GREENFIELD	181	183	240	0	0	0	1.1 %	31.1 %	32.5 %
HAVERHILL	173	164	157	0	0	0	5.2- %	4.2- %	9.2- %
HINGHAM	421	309	388	9	0	0	26.6- %	25.5 %	7.8- %
HOLYOKE	261	347	276	0	0	0	32.9 %	20.4- %	5.7 %
IPSWICH	22	32	45	0	0	0	45.4 %	40.6 %	104.5 %
LAWRENCE	448	528	511	3	0	0	17.8 %	3.2- %	14.0 %
LEE	76	83	100	4	0	0	9.2 %	20.4 %	31.5 %
LEOMINSTER	166	164	140	0	0	0	1.2- %	14.6- %	15.6- %
LOWELL	567	587	602	0	0	1	3.5 %	2.5 %	6.1 %
LYNN	601	426	383	0	0	0	29.1- %	10.0- %	36.2- %
MALDEN	263	364	423	0	0	0	38.4 %	16.2 %	60.8 %
MARLBOROUGH	123	136	121	0	0	0	10.5 %	11.0- %	1.6- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS				ADULTS ARRAIGNED IN JUVENILE SESSIONS			JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS		
COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-12,83	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	TOTALS 01-12,83	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-84	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,83-85
MILFORD	160	143	188	0	0	0	10.6- %	31.4 %	17.5 %
NANTUCKET	5	2	1	0	0	0	60.0- %	50.0- %	80.0- %
NATICK	78	128	112	0	0	0	64.1 %	12.5- %	43.5 %
NEW BEDFORD	552	565	670	14	15	6	2.3 %	18.5 %	21.3 %
NEWBURYPORT	152	106	134	0	0	0	30.2- %	26.4 %	11.8- %
NEWTON	71	76	83	0	0	0	7.0 %	9.2 %	16.9 %
NORTH ADAMS	103	120	134	0	0	0	16.5 %	11.6 %	30.0 %
NORTHAMPTON	254	252	333	0	0	0	0.7- %	32.1 %	31.1 %
ORANGE	103	126	107	0	0	0	22.3 %	15.0- %	3.8 %
ORLEANS	180	211	194	5	0	0	17.2 %	8.0- %	7.7 %
PALMER	155	156	159	0	0	0	0.6 %	1.9 %	2.5 %
PEABODY	216	148	167	1	1	1	31.4- %	12.8 %	22.6- %
PITTSFIELD	337	325	451	0	0	0	3.5- %	38.7 %	33.8 %
PLYMOUTH	427	361	358	1	0	0	15.4- %	0.8- %	16.1- %
QUINCY	596	549	519	0	0	0	7.8- %	5.4- %	12.9- %
ROXBURY	285	285	366	0	0	0	0.0 %	28.4 %	28.4 %
SALEM	133	149	222	0	0	0	12.0 %	48.9 %	66.9 %
SOMERVILLE	272	276	246	0	0	0	1.4 %	10.8- %	9.5- %
SOUTH BOSTON	75	100	111	0	0	0	33.3 %	11.0 %	48.0 %
SPENCER	119	93	106	0	0	0	21.8- %	13.9 %	10.9- %
SPRINGFIELD	1136	1029	1053	0	3	2	9.4- %	2.3 %	7.3- %
STOUGHTON	86	113	99	0	0	0	31.3 %	12.3- %	15.1 %
TAUNTON	249	219	277	2	1	1	12.0- %	26.4 %	11.2 %
UXBRIDGE	171	144	124	0	0	0	15.7- %	13.8- %	27.4- %
WALTHAM	276	315	354	0	24	29	14.1 %	12.3 %	28.2 %
WARE	26	55	42	0	0	0	111.5 %	23.6- %	61.5 %
WAREHAM	373	352	325	0	0	0	5.6- %	7.6- %	12.8- %
WEST ROXBURY	380	306	492	0	0	0	19.4- %	60.7 %	29.4 %
WESTBOROUGH	94	133	199	0	0	0	41.4 %	49.6 %	111.7 %
WESTFIELD	135	135	115	0	0	0	0.0 %	14.8- %	14.8- %
WINCHENDON	21	36	53	5	0	0	71.4 %	47.2 %	152.3 %
WOBURN	282	310	340	0	0	0	9.9 %	9.6 %	20.5 %
WORCESTER	622	661	730	4	2	12	6.2 %	10.4 %	17.3 %
WRENTHAM	160	171	161	0	0	0	6.8 %	5.8- %	0.6 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	18122	18425	19804	98	92	127	99.0 %	7.4 %	9.2 %

JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS: JUVENILE

JURY-OF-SIX COURT NAME	JOS DEL 01-12 1983	JOS CHINS 01-12 1983	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1983	JOS DEL 01-12 1984	JOS CHINS 01-12 1984	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1984	JOS DEL 01-12 1985	JOS CHINS 01-12 1985	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1985	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 83-84	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 84-85	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 83-85
BARNSTABLE	15	0	15	10	0	10	12	0	12	33- %	20 %	20- %
BOSTON	195	0	195	117	0	117	129	0	129	40- %	10 %	33- %
CAMBRIDGE	11	0	11	25	2	27	67	0	67	145 %	148 %	509 %
DEDHAM	25	0	25	66	0	66	33	0	33	164 %	50- %	32 %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
FALL RIVER	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 **	0 %	0 **
FRAMINGHAM	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 **	0 %	0 **
GREENFIELD	2	0	2	5	0	5	3	0	3	150 %	40- %	50 %
HAVERHILL	16	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 **	0 %	0 **
HINGHAM	10	0	10	22	1	23	10	0	10	130 %	56- %	%
LOWELL	17	0	17	6	0	6	17	2	19	64- %	216 %	11 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
NORTHAMPTON	2	0	2	7	6	13	13	1	14	550 %	7 %	600 %
PITTSFIELD	17	1	18	8	0	8	8	0	8	55- %	0 %	55- %
SALEM	46	1	47	14	0	14	23	0	23	70- %	64 %	51- %
SPRINGFIELD	34	0	34	57	1	58	63	1	64	70 %	10 %	88 %
WAREHAM	48	0	48	36	1	37	51	0	51	22- %	37 %	6 %
WORCESTER	38	5	43	30	0	30	50	3	53	30- %	76 %	23 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	494	8	502	403	11	414	479	7	486	17- %	17 %	3- %


JUVENILE BINDOVERS
1978–1985
Number of Bindovers
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
42
47
43
36
28
27
14
12
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
Year

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILES: JUVENILE CASES UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION [AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1985]

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-84	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-85
ADAMS	17	23	37	14	14	27	10	19	29	90.0 %	52.6 %	190.0 %
AMESBURY	12	20	15	19	14	16	12	18	17	50.0 %	5.5-%	41.6 %
ATTLEBORO	112	72	123	89	92	78	70	47	88	32.8-%	87.2 %	25.7 %
AYER	74	66	85	88	67	86	40	39	38	2.5-%	2.5-%	5.0-%
BARNSTABLE	77	68	46	60	84	74	106	62	34	41.5-%	45.1-%	67.9-%
BOSTON	180	306	227	194	249	180	195	250	297	28.2 %	18.8 %	52.3 %
BRIGHTON	17	18	7	14	9	6	29	34	35	17.2 %	2.9 %	20.6 %
BROCKTON	211	166	245	228	163	195	122	125	175	2.4 %	40.0 %	43.4 %
BROOKLINE	23	22	14	38	24	11	16	14	17	12.5-%	21.4 %	6.2 %
CAMBRIDGE	111	71	54	91	83	61	112	78	71	30.3-%	8.9-%	36.6-%
CHARLESTOWN	12	13	6	23	5	11	18	13	8	27.7-%	38.4-%	55.5-%
CHELSEA	7	7	13	23	3	9	21	22	26	4.7 %	18.1 %	23.8 %
CHICOPEE	44	29	28	30	36	37	37	30	21	18.9-%	30.0-%	43.2-%
CLINTON	40	51	54	35	45	42	32	38	50	18.7 %	31.5 %	56.2 %
CONCORD	73	89	69	108	90	66	63	62	65	1.5-%	4.8 %	3.1 %
DEDHAM	49	70	50	67	62	52	56	64	62	14.2 %	3.1-%	10.7 %
DORCHESTER	220	169	161	252	192	168	171	131	124	23.3-%	5.3-%	27.4-%
DUDLEY	49	28	47	42	43	39	37	22	30	40.5-%	36.3 %	18.9-%
EAST BOSTON	55	64	55	46	52	67	46	58	46	26.0 %	20.6-%	0.0 %
EDGARTOWN	4	1	12	3	4	4	3	0	8	0.0 **	0.0 **	166.6 %
FALL RIVER	180	176	233	165	185	211	106	96	117	9.4-%	21.8 %	10.3 %
FITCHBURG	94	79	81	122	94	73	69	54	62	21.7-%	14.8 %	10.1-%
FRAMINGHAM	120	153	146	165	139	164	94	108	90	14.8 %	16.6-%	4.2-%
GARDNER	55	58	84	65	60	55	46	36	65	21.7-%	80.5 %	41.3 %
GLOUCESTER	33	28	33	30	27	33	29	26	23	10.3-%	11.5-%	20.6-%
GREAT BARRINGTON	17	18	16	25	14	13	6	10	13	66.6 %	30.0 %	116.6 %
GREENFIELD	50	20	44	82	43	24	46	24	44	47.8-%	83.3 %	4.3-%
HAVERHILL	35	25	26	41	22	28	23	26	24	13.0 %	7.6-%	4.3 %
HINGHAM	55	80	45	101	63	61	50	67	51	34.0 %	23.8-%	2.0 %
HOLYOKE	42	12	5	56	31	6	42	7	6	83.3-%	14.2-%	85.7-%
IPSWICH	1	12	11	7	1	9	0	11	13	0.0 **	18.1 %	0.0 **
LAWRENCE	102	115	180	137	67	180	117	160	160	36.7 %	0.0 %	36.7 %
LEE	23	23	22	16	29	20	20	14	16	30.0-%	14.2 %	20.0-%
LEOMINSTER	84	36	34	83	73	33	57	20	21	64.9-%	5.0 %	63.1-%
LOWELL	95	107	156	91	93	128	73	82	110	12.3 %	34.1 %	50.6 %
LYNN	133	77	104	96	82	88	123	85	101	30.8-%	18.8 %	17.8-%
MALDEN	90	73	80	83	95	71	81	58	67	28.3-%	15.5 %	17.2-%
MARLBOROUGH	49	53	63	63	51	69	32	34	28	6.2 %	17.6-%	12.5-%
MILFORD	32	24	18	24	36	13	28	16	21	42.8-%	31.2 %	25.0-%
NANTUCKET	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 %
NATICK	38	48	49	36	44	56	37	41	34	10.8 %	17.0-%	8.1-%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILES: JUVENILE CASES UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1985)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-84	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-85
NEW BEDFORD	182	161	192	186	162	155	114	96	129	15.7-%	34.3 %	13.1 %
NEWBURYPORT	9	18	33	18	11	22	5	12	23	140.0 %	91.6 %	360.0 %
NEWTON	1	7	4	19	12	4	14	9	9	35.7-%	0.0 %	35.7-%
NORTH ADAMS	21	29	29	22	30	32	19	18	18	5.2-%	0.0 %	5.2-%
NORTHAMPTON	25	44	64	23	27	17	116	49	96	57.7-%	95.9 %	17.2-%
ORANGE	8	4	10	10	3	3	2	3	10	50.0 %	233.3 %	400.0 %
ORLEANS	54	34	30	53	45	35	43	39	34	9.3-%	12.8-%	20.9-%
PALMER	62	41	33	88	40	41	48	28	20	41.6-%	28.5-%	58.3-%
PEABODY	25	18	21	40	29	15	21	10	16	52.3-%	60.0 %	23.8-%
PITTSFIELD	70	91	156	78	84	118	66	63	102	4.5-%	61.9 %	54.5 %
PLYMOUTH	196	118	113	219	156	98	137	99	114	27.7-%	15.1 %	16.7-%
QUINCY	130	109	120	148	125	118	116	100	102	13.7-%	2.0 %	12.0-%
ROXBURY	104	124	172	130	120	170	130	134	136	3.0 %	1.4 %	4.6 %
SALEM	71	54	58	64	80	46	75	49	61	34.6-%	24.4 %	18.6-%
SOMERVILLE	57	40	28	50	73	25	112	44	47	60.7-%	6.8 %	58.0-%
SOUTH BOSTON	7	46	37	13	24	27	24	40	50	66.6 %	25.0 %	108.3 %
SPENCER	27	18	39	30	34	21	25	9	27	64.0-%	200.0 %	8.0 %
SPRINGFIELD	317	383	362	328	361	378	194	216	200	11.3 %	7.4-%	3.0 %
STOUGHTON	23	18	31	35	19	20	31	21	31	32.2-%	47.6 %	0.0 %
TAUNTON	73	59	98	73	65	61	42	33	70	21.4-%	112.1 %	66.6 %
UXBRIDGE	21	28	38	22	28	29	20	20	29	0.0 %	45.0 %	45.0 %
WALTHAM	58	50	74	67	53	66	115	50	58	56.5-%	16.0 %	49.5-%
WARE	19	19	23	9	14	18	21	19	24	9.5-%	26.3 %	14.2 %
WAREHAM	153	68	55	110	129	64	110	49	40	55.4-%	18.3-%	63.6-%
WEST ROXBURY	6	24	3	8	9	10	23	17	10	26.0-%	41.1-%	56.5-%
WESTBOROUGH	41	22	33	46	41	23	37	18	28	51.3-%	55.5 %	24.3-%
WESTFIELD	4	19	25	6	5	23	6	15	18	150.0 %	20.0 %	200.0 %
WINCHENDON	9	17	12	15	14	15	11	14	11	27.2 %	21.4-%	0.0 %
WOBURN	59	69	67	86	77	51	69	61	77	11.5-%	26.2 %	11.5 %
WORCESTER	268	247	312	284	297	271	182	132	173	27.4-%	31.0 %	4.9-%
WRENTHAM	55	48	48	49	55	52	45	38	34	15.5-%	10.5-%	24.4-%
TOTALS	4370	4597	5099	5285	4811	4592	4248	3609	4104	15.0- %	13.7 %	3.3- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,85		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
ADAMS	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0
AMESBURY	7	58.3	5	41.6	12	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	4	50.0	4	50.0	8	100.0
ATTLEBORO	6	60.0	4	40.0	10	100.0	4	30.7	9	69.2	13	100.0	5	33.3	10	66.6	15	100.0
AYER	2	28.5	5	71.4	7	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	5	35.7	9	64.2	14	100.0
BARNSTABLE	3	8.1	34	91.8	37	100.0	6	15.0	34	85.0	40	100.0	4	13.3	26	86.6	30	100.0
BOSTON	54	19.9	217	80.0	271	100.0	20	15.3	110	84.6	130	100.0	34	40.0	51	60.0	85	100.0
BRIGHTON	10	76.9	3	23.0	13	100.0	7	63.6	4	36.3	11	100.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0
BROCKTON	37	69.8	16	30.1	53	100.0	40	52.6	36	47.3	76	100.0	38	33.3	76	66.6	114	100.0
BROOKLINE	6	13.9	37	86.0	43	100.0	7	15.2	39	84.7	46	100.0	6	26.0	17	73.9	23	100.0
CAMBRIDGE	52	67.5	25	32.4	77	100.0	62	55.8	49	44.1	111	100.0	65	48.8	68	51.1	133	100.0
CHARLESTOWN	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
CHELSEA	8	61.5	5	38.4	13	100.0	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
CHICOPEE	5	55.5	4	44.4	9	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	4	66.6	2	33.3	6	100.0
CLINTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0
CONCORD	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	5	100.0
DEDHAM	10	47.6	11	52.3	21	100.0	13	41.9	18	58.0	31	100.0	10	50.0	10	50.0	20	100.0
DORCHESTER	33	9.2	323	90.7	356	100.0	33	14.0	202	85.9	235	100.0	79	33.0	160	66.9	239	100.0
DUDLEY	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0
EAST BOSTON	13	39.3	20	60.6	33	100.0	4	25.0	12	75.0	16	100.0	9	50.0	9	50.0	18	100.0
EDGARTOWN	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0
FALL RIVER	8	61.5	5	38.4	13	100.0	18	40.0	27	60.0	45	100.0	27	61.3	17	38.6	44	100.0
FITCHBURG	0	0.0	15	100.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	11	100.0	11	100.0	2	22.2	7	77.7	9	100.0
FRAMINGHAM	10	28.5	25	71.4	35	100.0	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0	13	36.1	23	63.8	36	100.0
GARDNER	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0
GLOUCESTER	8	32.0	17	68.0	25	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
GREENFIELD	3	17.6	14	82.3	17	100.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	8	100.0
HAVERHILL	9	52.9	8	47.0	17	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	9	100.0
HINGHAM	13	29.5	31	70.4	44	100.0	3	16.6	15	83.3	18	100.0	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0
HOLYOKE	14	66.6	7	33.3	21	100.0	23	57.5	17	42.5	40	100.0	19	54.2	16	45.7	35	100.0
IPSWICH	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
LAWRENCE	25	39.0	39	60.9	64	100.0	20	41.6	28	58.3	48	100.0	32	71.1	13	28.8	45	100.0
LEE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,85		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
LEOMINSTER	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	10	100.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0
LOWELL	3	21.4	11	78.5	14	100.0	6	42.8	8	57.1	14	100.0	22	48.8	23	51.1	45	100.0
LYNN	94	63.0	55	36.9	149	100.0	36	61.0	23	38.9	59	100.0	40	60.6	26	39.3	66	100.0
MALDEN	7	16.2	36	83.7	43	100.0	4	7.8	47	92.1	51	100.0	18	24.3	56	75.6	74	100.0
MARLBOROUGH	8	30.7	18	69.2	26	100.0	9	26.4	25	73.5	34	100.0	1	4.7	20	95.2	21	100.0
MILFORD	6	54.5	5	45.4	11	100.0	5	71.4	2	28.5	7	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
NANTUCKET	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NATICK	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	6	37.5	10	62.5	16	100.0
NEW BEDFORD	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	35	94.5	2	5.4	37	100.0	43	72.8	16	27.1	59	100.0
NEWBURYPORT	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0
NEWTON	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0
NORTH ADAMS	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
NORTHAMPTON	0	0.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	3	23.0	10	76.9	13	100.0	7	25.9	20	74.0	27	100.0
ORANGE	5	45.4	6	54.5	11	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0
ORLEANS	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	3	33.3	6	66.6	9	100.0
PALMER	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PEABODY	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0
PITTSFIELD	8	66.6	4	33.3	12	100.0	11	84.6	2	15.3	13	100.0	16	94.1	1	5.8	17	100.0
PLYMOUTH	11	39.2	17	60.7	28	100.0	21	63.6	12	36.3	33	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0
QUINCY	6	4.5	127	95.4	133	100.0	12	9.9	109	90.0	121	100.0	8	6.7	110	93.2	118	100.0
ROXBURY	17	32.0	36	67.9	53	100.0	11	35.4	20	64.5	31	100.0	22	42.3	30	57.6	52	100.0
SALEM	0	0.0	20	100.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0
SOMERVILLE	14	28.5	35	71.4	49	100.0	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0	9	33.3	18	66.6	27	100.0
SOUTH BOSTON	10	71.4	4	28.5	14	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0
SPENCER	13	61.9	8	38.0	21	100.0	7	58.3	5	41.6	12	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
SPRINGFIELD	0	0.0	60	100.0	60	100.0	1	1.5	62	98.4	63	100.0	5	6.7	69	93.2	74	100.0
STOUGHTON	14	42.4	19	57.5	33	100.0	4	16.6	20	83.3	24	100.0	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0
TAUNTON	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	4	44.4	5	55.5	9	100.0	4	28.5	10	71.4	14	100.0
UXBRIDGE	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	9	75.0	3	25.0	12	100.0	8	66.6	4	33.3	12	100.0
WALTHAM	10	66.6	5	33.3	15	100.0	24	55.8	19	44.1	43	100.0	40	83.3	8	16.6	48	100.0
WARE	3	17.6	14	82.3	17	100.0	7	50.0	7	50.0	14	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0
WAREHAM	57	35.8	102	64.1	159	100.0	6	16.6	30	83.3	36	100.0	6	12.5	42	87.5	48	100.0
WEST ROXBURY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,85		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
WESTBOROUGH	22	56.4	17	43.5	39	100.0	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0	8	44.4	10	55.5	18	100.0
WESTFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.2	6	85.7	7	100.0
WINCHENDON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
WOBURN	24	43.6	31	56.3	55	100.0	18	43.9	23	56.0	41	100.0	23	39.6	35	60.3	58	100.0
WORCESTER	3	5.6	50	94.3	53	100.0	10	10.4	86	89.5	96	100.0	9	21.9	32	78.0	41	100.0
WRENTHAM	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0	4	33.3	8	66.6	12	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0
TOTALS	728	30.9	1626	69.0	2354	100.0	579	31.5	1258	68.4	1837	100.0	745	38.5	1186	61.4	1931	100.0
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	14	73.6	5	26.3	19	100.0	14	82.3	3	17.6	17	100.0	25	86.2	4	13.7	29	100.0
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0	61	58.6	43	41.3	104	100.0	79	59.8	53	40.1	132	100.0
ESSEX DISTRICT	26	42.6	35	57.3	61	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	10	31.2	22	68.7	32	100.0
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	24	26.9	65	73.0	89	100.0	25	34.7	47	65.2	72	100.0	25	28.7	62	71.2	87	100.0
NORTHERN WORCESTER	0	0.0	16	100.0	16	100.0	1	4.0	24	96.0	25	100.0	5	25.0	15	75.0	20	100.0
SOUTHERN WORCESTER	52	60.4	34	39.5	86	100.0	28	59.5	19	40.4	47	100.0	26	59.0	18	40.9	44	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	77	58	60	24.67- %	3.44 %	22.07- %
AMESBURY	2665	1395	2290	47.65- %	64.15 %	14.07- %
ATTLEBORO	2335	530	370	77.30- %	30.18- %	84.15- %
AYER	424	1459	185	244.10 %	87.32- %	56.36- %
BARNSTABLE	9740	6718	6407	31.02- %	4.62- %	34.21- %
BOSTON	179	310	135	73.18 %	56.45- %	24.58- %
BRIGHTON	455	435	1645	4.39- %	278.16 %	261.53 %
BROCKTON	1566	755	0	51.78- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BROOKLINE	319	375	90	17.55 %	76.00- %	71.78- %
CAMBRIDGE	1115	1378	2328	23.58 %	68.94 %	108.78 %
CHARLESTOWN	325	355	175	9.23 %	50.70- %	46.15- %
CHELSEA	1720	1010	892	41.27- %	11.68- %	48.13- %
CHICOPEE	1113	1070	755	3.86- %	29.43- %	32.16- %
CLINTON	568	935	1505	64.61 %	60.96 %	164.96 %
CONCORD	969	1525	850	57.37 %	44.26- %	12.28- %
DEDHAM	1952	1339	1435	31.40- %	7.16 %	26.48- %
DORCHESTER	0	502	909	0.00 **	81.07 %	0.00 **
DUDLEY	340	1250	2017	267.64 %	61.36 %	493.23 %
EAST BOSTON	430	458	677	6.51 %	47.81 %	57.44 %
EDGARTOWN	440	600	250	36.36 %	58.33- %	43.18- %
FALL RIVER	95	255	590	168.42 %	131.37 %	521.05 %
FITCHBURG	1233	680	1873	44.84- %	175.44 %	51.90 %
FRAMINGHAM	2390	1995	2850	16.52- %	42.85 %	19.24 %
GARDNER	226	25	190	88.93- %	660.00 %	15.92- %
GLOUCESTER	1152	689	1587	40.19- %	130.33 %	37.75 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	250	164	79	34.40- %	51.82- %	68.40- %
GREENFIELD	80	100	145	25.00 %	45.00 %	81.25 %
HAVERHILL	870	354	1455	59.31- %	311.01 %	67.24 %
HINGHAM	4065	2190	3540	46.12- %	61.64 %	12.91- %
HOLYOKE	160	395	548	146.87 %	38.73 %	242.50 %
IPSWICH	600	260	75	56.66- %	71.15- %	87.50- %
LAWRENCE	3367	2830	3440	15.94- %	21.55 %	2.16 %
LEE	285	499	115	75.08 %	76.95- %	59.64- %
LEOMINSTER	3244	1630	1914	49.75- %	17.42 %	40.99- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
LOWELL	2967	4098	3930	38.11	%	4.09-	%	32.45	%
LYNN	2948	1851	3120	37.21-	%	68.55	%	5.83	%
MALDEN	4120	2470	180	40.04-	%	92.71-	%	95.63-	%
MARLBOROUGH	175	745	25	325.71	%	96.64-	%	85.71-	%
MILFORD	1102	455	1085	58.71-	%	138.46	%	1.54-	%
NANTUCKET	70	25	0	64.28-	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
NATICK	210	1050	685	400.00	%	34.76-	%	226.19	%
NEW BEDFORD	3476	4037	3968	16.13	%	1.70-	%	14.15	%
NEWBURYPORT	374	763	1005	104.01	%	31.71	%	168.71	%
NEWTON	250	100	175	60.00-	%	75.00	%	30.00-	%
NORTH ADAMS	279	415	164	48.74	%	60.48-	%	41.21-	%
NORTHAMPTON	1673	2381	2664	42.31	%	11.88	%	59.23	%
ORANGE	250	250	830	0.00	%	232.00	%	232.00	%
ORLEANS	2768	3578	3102	29.26	%	13.30-	%	12.06	%
PALMER	390	210	540	46.15-	%	157.14	%	38.46	%
PEABODY	1902	555	463	70.82-	%	16.57-	%	75.65-	%
PITTSFIELD	1819	1813	3507	0.32-	%	93.43	%	92.79	%
PLYMOUTH	1487	574	1950	61.39-	%	239.72	%	31.13	%
QUINCY	905	1275	2410	40.88	%	89.01	%	166.29	%
ROXBURY	22	0	50	0.00	**	0.00	**	127.27	%
SALEM	575	125	151	78.26-	%	20.80	%	73.73-	%
SOMERVILLE	0	175	190	0.00	**	8.57	%	0.00	**
SOUTH BOSTON	437	650	150	48.74	%	76.92-	%	65.67-	%
SPENCER	680	485	730	28.67-	%	50.51	%	7.35	%
SPRINGFIELD	2828	2715	1700	3.99-	%	37.38-	%	39.88-	%
STOUGHTON	454	240	270	47.13-	%	12.50	%	40.52-	%
TAUNTON	2389	1626	2670	31.93-	%	64.20	%	11.76	%
UXBRIDGE	1260	550	1005	56.34-	%	82.72	%	20.23-	%
WALTHAM	511	965	855	88.84	%	11.39-	%	67.31	%
WARE	0	100	505	0.00	**	405.00	%	0.00	**
WAREHAM	1900	832	290	56.21-	%	65.14-	%	84.73-	%
WEST ROXBURY	2993	1192	560	60.17-	%	53.02-	%	81.28-	%
WESTBOROUGH	430	400	1050	6.97-	%	162.50	%	144.18	%
WESTFIELD	315	125	230	60.31-	%	84.00	%	26.98-	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
WINCHENDON	441	110	671	75.05- %	510.00 %	52.15 %
WOBURN	1466	1535	1780	4.70 %	15.96 %	21.41 %
WORCESTER	2198	3122	2957	42.03 %	5.28- %	34.53 %
WRENTHAM	1695	820	1275	51.62- %	55.48 %	24.77- %
** TOTALS **	92508	76935	88268	16.83- %	14.73 %	4.58- %
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	2710	2949	3925	8.81 %	33.09 %	44.83 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	8295	6448	7598	22.26- %	17.83 %	8.40- %
ESSEX DISTRICT	5661	3461	6412	38.86- %	85.26 %	13.26 %
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	3199	5249	3745	64.08 %	28.65- %	17.06 %
NORTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	5486	3355	5963	38.84- %	77.73 %	8.69 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	3812	3140	5887	17.62- %	87.48 %	54.43 %

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
AMESBURY	0	140	80	0.00	**	42.85-	%	0.00	**
ATTLEBORO	675	300	0	55.55-	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
AYER	0	100	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
BARNSTABLE	200	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
BOSTON	0	150	339	0.00	**	126.00	%	0.00	**
BRIGHTON	140	25	75	82.14-	%	200.00	%	46.42	%
BROCKTON	249	1020	2260	309.63	%	121.56	%	807.63	%
BROOKLINE	136	82	145	39.70-	%	76.82	%	6.61	%
CAMBRIDGE	200	5	285	97.50-	%	5600.00	%	42.50	%
CHARLESTOWN	0	35	160	0.00	**	357.14	%	0.00	**
CHELSEA	475	175	90	63.15-	%	48.57-	%	81.05-	%
CHICOPEE	100	115	580	15.00	%	404.34	%	480.00	%
CLINTON	60	35	250	41.66-	%	614.28	%	316.66	%
CONCORD	0	0	795	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
DEDHAM	50	50	100	0.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%
DORCHESTER	0	7	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
DUDLEY	550	120	0	78.18-	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
EAST BOSTON	0	150	175	0.00	**	16.66	%	0.00	**
EDGARTOWN	0	250	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
FALL RIVER	20	500	165	2400.00	%	67.00-	%	725.00	%
FITCHBURG	50	293	115	486.00	%	60.75-	%	130.00	%
FRAMINGHAM	0	200	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
GARDNER	867	275	105	68.28-	%	61.81-	%	87.88-	%
GLOUCESTER	0	50	10	0.00	**	80.00-	%	0.00	**
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
GREENFIELD	0	25	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
HAVERHILL	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
HINGHAM	650	933	620	43.53	%	33.54-	%	4.61-	%
HOLYOKE	25	650	475	2500.00	%	26.92-	%	1800.00	%
IPSWICH	0	15	101	0.00	**	573.33	%	0.00	**
LAWRENCE	1166	1320	1665	13.20	%	26.13	%	42.79	%
LEE	45	50	0	11.11	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
LEOMINSTER	51	0	325	0.00	**	0.00	**	537.25	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
LOWELL	1143	443	1272	61.24-	%	187.13	%	11.28	%
LYNN	80	265	1189	231.25	%	348.67	%	1386.25	%
MALDEN	1514	260	0	82.82-	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
MARLBOROUGH	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
MILFORD	470	20	705	95.74-	%	3425.00	%	50.00	%
NANTUCKET	80	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
NATICK	0	0	75	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
NEW BEDFORD	1877	1380	1295	26.47-	%	6.15-	%	31.00-	%
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NEWTON	150	387	200	158.00	%	48.32-	%	33.33	%
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NORTHAMPTON	139	550	300	295.68	%	45.45-	%	115.82	%
ORANGE	35	130	790	271.42	%	507.69	%	2157.14	%
ORLEANS	0	360	775	0.00	**	115.27	%	0.00	**
PALMER	670	190	70	71.64-	%	63.15-	%	89.55-	%
PEABODY	375	1035	726	176.00	%	29.85-	%	93.60	%
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
PLYMOUTH	347	765	685	120.46	%	10.45-	%	97.40	%
QUINCY	20	225	595	1025.00	%	164.44	%	2875.00	%
ROXBURY	0	15	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
SALEM	291	200	110	31.27-	%	45.00-	%	62.19-	%
SOMERVILLE	0	125	125	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
SOUTH BOSTON	0	960	50	0.00	**	94.79-	%	0.00	**
SPENCER	360	75	50	79.16-	%	33.33-	%	86.11-	%
SPRINGFIELD	250	555	1585	122.00	%	185.58	%	534.00	%
STOUGHTON	996	469	285	52.91-	%	39.23-	%	71.38-	%
TAUNTON	435	40	125	90.80-	%	212.50	%	71.26-	%
UXBRIDGE	635	370	145	41.73-	%	60.81-	%	77.16-	%
WALTHAM	1657	1116	1926	32.64-	%	72.58	%	16.23	%
WARE	230	155	735	32.60-	%	374.19	%	219.56	%
WAREHAM	1200	1244	1380	3.66	%	10.93	%	15.00	%
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	95	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
WESTBOROUGH	125	150	0	20.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
WESTFIELD	116	0	172	0.00	**	0.00	**	48.27	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
WINCHENDON	117	988	437	744.44 %	55.76- %	273.50 %
WOBURN	1350	1220	1365	9.52- %	11.88 %	1.11 %
WORCESTER	1266	969	650	23.45- %	32.92- %	48.65- %
WRENTHAM	460	275	640	40.21- %	132.72 %	39.13 %
** TOTALS **	22097	22006	27467	0.41- %	24.81 %	24.30 %
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	45	50	0	11.11 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	3007	2220	1585	26.17- %	28.60- %	47.28- %
ESSEX DISTRICT	0	205	191	0.00 **	6.82- %	0.00 **
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	0	300	75	0.00 **	75.00- %	0.00 **
NORTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	278	1316	1127	373.38 %	14.36- %	305.39 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	2140	735	900	65.65- %	22.44 %	57.94- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	571	713	1724	24.86 %	141.79 %	201.92 %
AMESBURY	2160	1763	455	18.37- %	74.19- %	78.93- %
ATTLEBORO	6338	7656	9529	20.79 %	24.46 %	50.34 %
AYER	3204	7497	9284	133.98 %	23.83 %	189.76 %
BARNSTABLE	14023	15654	12933	11.63 %	17.38- %	7.77- %
BOSTON	4554	6244	7564	37.11 %	21.14 %	66.09 %
BRIGHTON	877	378	2087	56.89- %	452.11 %	137.97 %
BROCKTON	10038	7841	8584	21.88- %	9.47 %	14.48- %
BROOKLINE	1025	1259	367	22.82 %	70.84- %	64.19- %
CAMBRIDGE	4553	2732	2836	39.99- %	3.80 %	37.71- %
CHARLESTOWN	52	1476	691	2738.46 %	53.18- %	1228.84 %
CHELSEA	3775	5316	4449	40.82 %	16.30- %	17.85 %
CHICOPEE	5818	8313	4206	42.88 %	49.40- %	27.70- %
CLINTON	3527	2253	2640	36.12- %	17.17 %	25.14- %
CONCORD	4184	5618	7275	34.27 %	29.49 %	73.87 %
DEDHAM	9182	12762	5452	38.98 %	57.27- %	40.62- %
DORCHESTER	0	1330	1135	0.00 **	14.66- %	0.00 **
DUDLEY	3999	5757	5707	43.96 %	0.86- %	42.71 %
EAST BOSTON	5036	3764	5420	25.25- %	43.99 %	7.62 %
EDGARTOWN	2548	1101	6401	56.78- %	481.38 %	151.21 %
FALL RIVER	6038	5513	4961	8.69- %	10.01- %	17.83- %
FITCHBURG	4905	3407	4818	30.54- %	41.41 %	1.77- %
FRAMINGHAM	18643	10179	6817	45.40- %	33.02- %	63.43- %
GARDNER	3877	913	3537	76.45- %	287.40 %	8.76- %
GLOUCESTER	4558	1862	5975	59.14- %	220.89 %	31.08 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	832	65	1956	92.18- %	2909.23 %	135.09 %
GREENFIELD	6232	3317	4062	46.77- %	22.46 %	34.82- %
HAVERHILL	6592	4958	6247	24.78- %	25.99 %	5.23- %
HINGHAM	6153	6590	5594	7.10 %	15.11- %	9.08- %
HOLYOKE	6549	4846	5010	26.00- %	3.38 %	23.49- %
IPSWICH	844	1433	1661	69.78 %	15.91 %	96.80 %
LAWRENCE	14109	11537	11954	18.22- %	3.61 %	15.27- %
LEE	112	1079	856	863.39 %	20.66- %	664.28 %
LEOMINSTER	1707	4163	4280	143.87 %	2.81 %	150.73 %
LOWELL	9185	10739	19218	16.91 %	78.95 %	109.23 %
LYNN	4911	4910	7614	0.02- %	55.07 %	55.03 %
MALDEN	11844	16267	12016	37.34 %	26.13- %	1.45 %
MARLBOROUGH	1031	5239	5980	408.14 %	14.14 %	480.01 %
MILFORD	6400	4763	2798	25.57- %	41.25- %	56.28- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
NANTUCKET	250	0	80	0.00 **	0.00 **	68.00- %
NATICK	1258	3691	2950	193.40 %	20.07- %	134.49 %
NEW BEDFORD	10922	11609	8741	6.29 %	24.70- %	19.96- %
NEWBURYPORT	1089	1750	3470	60.69 %	98.28 %	218.64 %
NEWTON	2738	3273	4051	19.53 %	23.77 %	47.95 %
NORTH ADAMS	2011	1675	1244	16.70- %	25.73- %	38.14- %
NORTHAMPTON	13400	8746	6402	34.73- %	26.80- %	52.22- %
ORANGE	1667	1174	2212	29.57- %	88.41 %	32.69 %
ORLEANS	9308	13232	7963	42.15 %	39.82- %	14.44- %
PALMER	5498	7011	7781	27.51 %	10.98 %	41.52 %
PEABODY	6304	6493	2864	2.99 %	55.89- %	54.56- %
PITTSFIELD	10513	5350	2908	49.11- %	45.64- %	72.33- %
PLYMOUTH	12376	9496	7447	23.27- %	21.57- %	39.82- %
QUINCY	13316	13724	14587	3.06 %	6.28 %	9.54 %
ROXBURY	3819	2475	5122	35.19- %	106.94 %	34.11 %
SALEM	3279	5368	4624	63.70 %	13.85- %	41.01 %
SOMERVILLE	1397	2825	1382	102.21 %	51.07- %	1.07- %
SOUTH BOSTON	3235	3236	1699	0.03 %	47.49- %	47.48- %
SPENCER	4598	2520	4440	45.19- %	76.19 %	3.43- %
SPRINGFIELD	13155	7709	12152	41.39- %	57.63 %	7.62- %
STOUGHTON	3823	2727	1601	28.66- %	41.29- %	58.12- %
TAUNTON	8568	3807	12386	55.56- %	225.34 %	44.56 %
UXBRIDGE	5455	3150	1886	42.25- %	40.12- %	65.42- %
WALTHAM	4890	3394	5627	30.59- %	65.79 %	15.07 %
WARE	1347	2425	1748	80.02 %	27.91- %	29.76 %
WAREHAM	10433	15926	13707	52.65 %	13.93- %	31.38 %
WEST ROXBURY	12043	16781	13136	39.34 %	21.72- %	9.07 %
WESTBOROUGH	2717	3707	1315	36.43 %	64.52- %	51.60- %
WESTFIELD	2918	2174	1805	25.49- %	16.97- %	38.14- %
WINCHENDON	778	1503	941	93.18 %	37.39- %	20.95 %
WOBURN	10064	12647	8502	25.66 %	32.77- %	15.52- %
WORCESTER	15133	17644	14088	16.59 %	20.15- %	6.90- %
WRENTHAM	8982	3639	7798	59.48- %	114.28 %	13.18- %
** TOTALS **	407270	402088	400752	1.27- %	0.33- %	1.60- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1283 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1284 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1285 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
ADAMS	3	0	3	5	0	5	1	0	1	66.66 %	66.66- %	80.00- %
AMESBURY	11	0	11	7	1	8	11	1	12	27.27- %	9.09 %	50.00 %
ATTLEBORO	8	0	8	9	2	11	11	1	12	37.50 %	50.00 %	9.09 %
AYER	10	3	13	11	1	12	7	2	9	7.69- %	30.76- %	25.00- %
BARNSTABLE	19	2	21	15	3	18	15	1	16	14.28- %	23.80- %	11.11- %
BOSTON	63	12	75	44	27	71	43	18	61	5.33- %	18.66- %	14.08- %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	34	2	36	34	10	44	33	6	39	22.22 %	8.33 %	11.36- %
BROOKLINE	4	3	7	7	2	9	12	1	13	28.57 %	85.71 %	44.44 %
CAMBRIDGE	29	0	29	27	1	28	36	0	36	3.44- %	24.13 %	28.57 %
CHARLESTOWN	7	0	7	6	1	7	7	1	8	0.00 %	14.28 %	14.28 %
CHELSEA	15	1	16	8	0	8	9	0	9	50.00- %	43.75- %	12.50 %
CHICOPEE	17	5	22	23	3	26	23	1	24	18.18 %	9.09 %	7.69- %
CLINTON	12	0	12	11	1	12	7	1	8	0.00 %	33.33- %	33.33- %
CONCORD	5	1	6	7	1	8	13	3	16	33.33 %	166.66 %	100.00 %
DEDHAM	7	0	7	8	0	8	6	0	6	14.28 %	14.28- %	25.00- %
DORCHESTER	46	2	48	37	0	37	43	9	52	22.91- %	8.33 %	40.54 %
DUDLEY	10	3	13	15	3	18	13	0	13	38.46 %	0.00 %	27.77- %
EAST BOSTON	17	1	18	16	0	16	11	1	12	11.11- %	33.33- %	25.00- %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
FALL RIVER	23	2	25	20	3	23	11	0	11	8.00- %	56.00- %	52.17- %
FITCHBURG	8	4	12	15	2	17	20	5	25	41.66 %	108.33 %	47.05 %
FRAMINGHAM	14	2	16	17	1	18	24	2	26	12.50 %	62.50 %	44.44 %
GARDNER	3	0	3	4	1	5	5	0	5	66.66 %	66.66 %	0.00 %
GLOUCESTER	7	1	8	2	0	2	2	0	2	75.00- %	75.00- %	0.00 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	5	0	5	3	0	3	1	0	1	40.00- %	80.00- %	66.66- %
GREENFIELD	18	0	18	9	0	9	17	0	17	50.00- %	5.55- %	88.88 %
HAVERHILL	19	2	21	13	2	15	23	1	24	28.57- %	14.28 %	60.00 %
HINGHAM	19	4	23	20	0	20	8	0	8	13.04- %	65.21- %	60.00- %
HOLYOKE	43	9	52	30	8	38	56	1	57	26.92- %	9.61 %	50.00 %
IPSWICH	1	0	1	2	0	2	4	0	4	100.00 %	300.00 %	100.00 %
LAWRENCE	37	3	40	53	4	57	54	7	61	42.50 %	52.50 %	7.01 %
LEE	3	0	3	1	0	1	3	0	3	66.66- %	0.00 %	200.00 %
LEOMINSTER	6	0	6	7	2	9	14	3	17	50.00 %	183.33 %	88.88 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1283 #COMMITMENTS			01-1284 #COMMITMENTS			01-1285 #COMMITMENTS			PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T			
LOWELL	37	3	40	39	7	46	67	6	73	15.00 %	82.50 %	58.69 %
LYNN	22	1	23	38	1	39	31	2	33	69.56 %	43.47 %	15.38- %
MALDEN	13	0	13	5	0	5	13	1	14	61.53- %	7.69 %	180.00 %
MARLBOROUGH	13	1	14	6	3	9	6	2	8	35.71- %	42.85- %	11.11- %
MILFORD	6	1	7	6	1	7	4	1	5	0.00 %	28.57- %	28.57- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NATICK	4	2	6	4	0	4	10	1	11	33.33- %	83.33 %	175.00 %
NEW BEDFORD	47	2	49	47	0	47	54	7	61	4.08- %	24.48 %	29.78 %
NEWBURYPORT	10	0	10	1	0	1	7	2	9	90.00- %	10.00- %	800.00 %
NEWTON	1	0	1	7	0	7	5	0	5	600.00 %	400.00 %	28.57- %
NORTH ADAMS	5	0	5	3	2	5	2	0	2	0.00 %	60.00- %	60.00- %
NORTHAMPTON	12	4	16	4	1	5	22	2	24	68.75- %	50.00 %	380.00 %
ORANGE	5	3	8	8	0	8	9	2	11	0.00 %	37.50 %	37.50 %
ORLEANS	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
PALMER	7	2	9	9	1	10	12	1	13	11.11 %	44.44 %	30.00 %
PEABODY	10	0	10	19	1	20	11	0	11	100.00 %	10.00 %	45.00- %
PITTSFIELD	11	2	13	10	0	10	6	0	6	23.07- %	53.84- %	40.00- %
PLYMOUTH	8	1	9	10	1	11	11	0	11	22.22 %	22.22 %	0.00 %
QUINCY	33	2	35	33	6	39	31	1	32	11.42 %	8.57- %	17.94- %
ROXBURY	14	1	15	13	2	15	31	2	33	0.00 %	120.00 %	120.00 %
SALEM	3	0	3	2	0	2	1	0	1	33.33- %	66.66- %	50.00- %
SOMERVILLE	11	4	15	8	3	11	8	1	9	26.66- %	40.00- %	18.18- %
SOUTH BOSTON	17	0	17	9	0	9	15	1	16	47.05- %	5.88- %	77.77 %
SPENCER	6	2	8	8	0	8	4	0	4	0.00 %	50.00- %	50.00- %
SPRINGFIELD	100	9	109	81	9	90	97	6	103	17.43- %	5.50- %	14.44 %
STOUGHTON	5	0	5	10	1	11	11	0	11	120.00 %	120.00 %	0.00 %
TAUNTON	12	0	12	8	1	9	11	0	11	25.00- %	8.33- %	22.22 %
UXBRIDGE	4	0	4	10	0	10	6	0	6	150.00 %	50.00 %	40.00- %
WALTHAM	9	2	11	11	2	13	28	1	29	18.18 %	163.63 %	123.07 %
WARE	7	1	8	7	0	7	7	1	8	12.50- %	0.00 %	14.28 %
WAREHAM	18	0	18	14	0	14	10	0	10	22.22- %	44.44- %	28.57- %
WEST ROXBURY	32	2	34	33	2	35	27	4	31	2.94 %	8.82- %	11.42- %
WESTBOROUGH	4	0	4	13	0	13	10	3	13	225.00 %	225.00 %	0.00 %
WESTFIELD	20	3	23	9	0	9	15	2	17	60.86- %	26.08- %	88.88 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1283 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1284 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1285 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
WINCHENDON	3	0	3	2	0	2	4	0	4	33.33- %	33.33 %	100.00 %
WOBURN	14	0	14	6	3	9	23	1	24	35.71- %	71.42 %	166.66 %
WORCESTER	62	2	64	71	17	88	69	17	86	37.50 %	34.37 %	2.27- %
WRENTHAM	8	0	8	10	1	11	2	0	2	37.50 %	75.00- %	81.81- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	1120	113	1233	1060	144	1204	1223	132	1355	2.35- %	9.89 %	12.54 %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	27	2	29	22	2	24	13	0	13	17.24- %	55.17- %	45.83- %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	90	4	94	84	6	90	87	8	95	4.25- %	1.06 %	5.55 %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	48	3	51	25	3	28	47	4	51	45.09- %	0.00 %	82.14 %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	41	8	49	38	5	43	47	7	54	12.24- %	10.20 %	25.58 %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	29	4	33	35	5	40	45	9	54	21.21 %	63.63 %	35.00 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	30	6	36	52	4	56	37	4	41	55.55 %	13.88 %	26.78- %

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
JUVENILE COURT
1985

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Adams	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	15	75
Amesbury	30	0	0	25	15	45	45	40	70	0	30	30	330
Attleboro	0	0	60	45	15	45	75	30	60	60	0	30	420
Ayer	140	30	35	30	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	295
Barnstable	70	30	75	105	180	60	135	105	150	90	120	75	1195
Boston	80	245	45	50	74	25	85	100	15	105	111	45	980
Brighton	0	45	5	30	0	15	75	30	90	75	75	70	510
Brockton	60	75	120	200	265	135	195	120	15	120	45	90	1440
Brookline	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	14	0	0	30	0	54
Cambridge	40	90	145	70	45	45	235	135	240	145	105	75	1370
Charlestown	0	25	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	40
Chelsea	15	15	75	15	0	0	25	45	0	15	0	15	220
Chicopee	50	25	180	59	110	50	82	75	158	15	15	75	894
Clinton	30	15	40	20	10	0	65	110	56	40	74	70	530
Concord	15	75	45	15	30	15	60	45	30	45	0	15	390
Dedham	15	45	45	45	60	105	90	30	75	100	65	75	750
Dorchester	0	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dudley	45	90	30	60	40	60	48	75	27	90	90	50	705
East Boston	105	15	255	60	65	0	75	90	50	40	60	45	860
Edgartown	0	0	0	0	0	30	15	15	0	25	0	0	85
Fall River	105	95	155	105	110	110	70	255	45	80	145	125	1400
Fitchburg	20	166	76	45	61	118	89	174	99	72	23	180	1123
Framingham	105	60	90	90	150	15	165	90	90	105	70	45	1075
Gardner	0	0	15	0	0	55	50	25	0	17	0	0	162
Gloucester	0	0	2	5	65	0	115	90	30	90	20	75	492
Grt. Barrington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greenfield	0	0	13	32	15	15	25	15	0	0	25	25	165
Haverhill	30	35	15	0	30	65	35	123	47	30	35	55	500
Hingham	115	60	45	30	150	45	180	120	30	0	35	15	825
Holyoke	30	15	15	0	25	0	0	30	0	15	75	55	260
Ipswich	0	0	0	55	0	0	25	0	0	0	20	5	105
Lawrence	270	75	135	135	105	165	113	120	92	150	60	85	1505
Lee	0	0	15	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	30
Leominster	10	18	14	70	26	15	30	0	15	0	0	15	213
Lowell	237	217	250	316	305	228	520	300	201	260	125	175	3134
Lynn	80	30	60	115	72	90	225	240	180	170	255	100	1617
Malden	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120
Marlboro	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	30	15	0	0	60
Milford	90	15	45	25	50	30	80	100	195	125	90	205	1050

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
JUVENILE COURT
1985

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Nantucket	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	*	0	0	0
Natick	0	30	0	15	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	100
New Bedford	115	135	190	60	115	135	55	206	265	164	155	60	1655
Newburyport	15	15	28	0	15	15	0	0	26	30	30	0	174
Newton	0	25	40	100	105	0	0	15	115	0	0	15	415
North Adams	0	45	0	15	0	0	0	30	15	0	35	0	140
Northampton	45	70	30	75	60	15	30	0	45	15	45	0	430
Orange	60	30	15	0	0	15	0	45	0	30	55	55	305
Orleans	60	90	195	180	120	105	205	190	90	30	30	45	1340
Palmer	0	15	40	0	25	25	65	60	15	75	15	40	375
Peabody	75	15	30	60	15	15	0	60	60	15	15	45	405
Pittsfield	0	30	0	0	15	15	0	45	30	45	60	0	240
Plymouth	60	40	30	150	60	165	105	130	45	150	45	75	1055
Quincy	17	43	75	30	90	90	75	75	90	75	75	205	940
Roxbury	45	0	30	0	0	30	30	75	90	60	0	100	460
Salem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
Somerville	0	0	75	45	0	0	60	65	30	65	90	60	490
South Boston	10	30	0	0	0	0	30	0	15	15	0	0	100
Spencer	0	30	0	15	0	45	15	15	15	15	15	60	225
Springfield	256	320	476	419	485	251	371	302	282	529	374	357	4422
Stoughton	0	0	5	0	20	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	55
Taunton	15	10	50	30	30	15	60	105	30	60	30	30	465
Uxbridge	30	15	45	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	105
Waltham	75	155	135	245	225	165	210	85	120	142	155	185	1897
Ware	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	30	0	50	105	265
Wareham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	30
Westboro	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	15	15	15	15	0	75
Westfield	0	30	0	45	45	15	60	60	40	0	15	30	340
West Roxbury	75	0	50	60	15	85	85	32	45	15	45	45	552
Winchendon	30	0	29	15	0	15	58	15	0	15	60	45	282
Woburn	60	75	195	205	60	150	360	165	415	340	280	195	2500
Worcester	182	290	311	334	126	157	265	328	174	301	153	238	2859
Wrentham	45	0	0	0	0	0	80	30	50	15	0	30	250
Statewide Total	3117	3204	4174	3965	3799	3119	5356	4989	4252	4310	3655	4000	47940

* NO DATA RECEIVED FOR THIS MONTH

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT	01-1283 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1285 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
ADAMS	5	13	10	160.00 %	100.00 %	23.07- %
AMESBURY	9	10	15	11.11 %	66.66 %	50.00 %
ATTLEBORO	27	11	31	59.25- %	14.81 %	181.81 %
AYER	10	5	6	50.00- %	40.00- %	20.00 %
BARNSTABLE	27	32	33	18.51 %	22.22 %	3.12 %
BOSTON	282	398	420	41.13 %	48.93 %	5.52 %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	69	47	47	31.88- %	31.88- %	0.00 %
BROOKLINE	2	3	11	50.00 %	450.00 %	266.66 %
CAMBRIDGE	26	39	35	50.00 %	34.61 %	10.25- %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHICOPEE	28	18	8	35.71- %	71.42- %	55.55- %
CLINTON	5	2	0	60.00- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CONCORD	7	23	17	228.57 %	142.85 %	26.08- %
DEDHAM	13	13	4	0.00 %	69.23- %	69.23- %
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUDLEY	3	36	16	1100.00 %	433.33 %	55.55- %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	2	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
FALL RIVER	54	51	55	5.55- %	1.85 %	7.84 %
FITCHBURG	10	19	9	90.00 %	10.00- %	52.63- %
FRAMINGHAM	24	17	27	29.16- %	12.50 %	58.82 %
GARDNER	23	9	12	60.86- %	47.82- %	33.33 %
GLOUCESTER	2	17	12	750.00 %	500.00 %	29.41- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	5	1	2	80.00- %	60.00- %	100.00 %
GREENFIELD	48	41	31	14.58- %	35.41- %	24.39- %
HAVERHILL	23	54	61	134.78 %	165.21 %	12.96 %
HINGHAM	7	20	15	185.71 %	114.28 %	25.00- %
HOLYOKE	14	69	54	392.85 %	285.71 %	21.73- %
IPSWICH	0	2	1	0.00 **	0.00 **	50.00- %
LAWRENCE	66	88	96	33.33 %	45.45 %	9.09 %
LEE	1	1	4	0.00 %	300.00 %	300.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT	01-1283 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1285 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
LEOMINSTER	9	3	8	66.66- %	11.11- %	166.66 %
LOWELL	60	50	54	16.66- %	10.00- %	8.00 %
LYNN	38	26	72	31.57- %	89.47 %	175.92 %
MALDEN	60	57	36	5.00- %	40.00- %	36.84- %
MARLBOROUGH	31	31	17	0.00 %	45.16- %	45.16- %
MILFORD	10	5	19	50.00- %	90.00 %	280.00 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NATICK	3	11	5	266.66 %	66.66 %	54.54- %
NEW BEDFORD	97	66	90	31.95- %	7.21- %	36.36 %
NEWBURYPORT	4	11	13	175.00 %	225.00 %	18.18 %
NEWTON	12	2	1	83.33- %	91.66- %	50.00- %
NORTH ADAMS	20	20	29	0.00 %	45.00 %	45.00 %
NORTHAMPTON	32	36	39	12.50 %	21.87 %	8.33 %
ORANGE	41	31	23	24.39- %	43.90- %	25.80- %
ORLEANS	9	4	12	55.55- %	33.33 %	200.00 %
PALMER	26	9	7	65.38- %	73.07- %	22.22- %
PEABODY	6	5	6	16.66- %	0.00 %	20.00 %
PITTSFIELD	47	44	35	6.38- %	25.53- %	20.45- %
PLYMOUTH	37	11	14	70.27- %	62.16- %	27.27 %
QUINCY	67	86	110	28.35 %	64.17 %	27.90 %
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SALEM	0	37	46	0.00 **	0.00 **	24.32 %
SOMERVILLE	15	19	23	26.66 %	53.33 %	21.05 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SPENCER	10	11	5	10.00 %	50.00- %	54.54- %
SPRINGFIELD	170	177	223	4.11 %	31.17 %	25.98 %
STOUGHTON	0	19	21	0.00 **	0.00 **	10.52 %
TAUNTON	56	27	29	51.78- %	48.21- %	7.40 %
UXBRIDGE	6	6	16	0.00 %	166.66 %	166.66 %
WALTHAM	19	18	10	5.26- %	47.36- %	44.44- %
WARE	4	5	3	25.00 %	25.00- %	40.00- %
WAREHAM	43	27	28	37.20- %	34.88- %	3.70 %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT	01-1283 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1285 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
WESTBOROUGH	2	5	2	150.00 %	0.00 %	60.00- %
WESTFIELD	19	18	23	5.26- %	21.05 %	27.77 %
WINCHENDON	11	1	8	90.90- %	27.27- %	700.00 %
WOBURN	14	28	19	100.00 %	35.71 %	32.14- %
WORCESTER	74	67	95	9.45- %	28.37 %	41.79 %
WRENTHAM	19	23	15	21.05 %	21.05- %	34.78- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	1861	2035	2190	9.34 %	17.67 %	7.61 %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	78	79	80	1.28 %	2.56 %	1.26 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	234	155	205	33.76- %	12.39- %	32.25 %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	38	94	102	147.36 %	168.42 %	8.51 %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	68	64	55	5.88- %	19.11- %	14.06- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	35	25	25	28.57- %	28.57- %	0.00 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	31	63	58	103.22 %	87.09 %	7.93- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD,83	NEW YTD,84	NEW YTD,85	TERM YTD,83	TERM YTD,84	TERM YTD,85	TOT AS OF 1283	TOT AS OF 1284	TOT AS OF 1285	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
ADAMS	25	24	27	25	30	17	15	10	20	33.3- %	33.3 %	100.0 %
AMESBURY	25	20	14	22	19	24	20	21	11	5.0 %	45.0- %	47.6- %
ATTLEBORO	104	55	82	78	60	40	49	44	86	10.2- %	75.5 %	95.4 %
AYER	26	21	32	25	20	31	7	8	9	14.2 %	28.5 %	12.5 %
BARNSTABLE	59	95	117	68	89	88	68	74	92	8.8 %	35.2 %	24.3 %
BOSTON	841	97	31	807	897	43	830	1030	1018	24.0 %	22.6 %	1.1- %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
BROCKTON	216	216	245	96	228	212	131	119	152	9.1- %	16.0 %	27.7 %
BROOKLINE	11	20	28	9	19	21	19	18	25	5.2- %	31.5 %	38.8 %
CAMBRIDGE	108	129	136	78	122	137	126	135	134	7.1 %	6.3 %	0.7- %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
CHICOPEE	16	24	39	5	23	13	29	30	56	3.4 %	93.1 %	86.6 %
CLINTON	52	33	43	58	31	38	10	12	17	20.0 %	70.0 %	41.5 %
CONCORD	17	14	13	18	19	9	15	8	12	46.6- %	20.0- %	50.0 %
DEDHAM	46	61	39	53	56	40	42	47	46	11.9 %	9.5 %	2.1- %
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
DUDLEY	93	74	48	99	59	62	39	49	35	25.6 %	10.2- %	28.5- %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
EDGARTOWN	0	1	6	0	4	1	3	4	9	33.3 %	200.0 %	125.0 %
FALL RIVER	130	110	132	151	136	118	66	40	54	39.3- %	18.1- %	35.0 %
FITCHBURG	118	83	95	129	90	74	33	26	47	21.2- %	42.4 %	80.7 %
FRAMINGHAM	61	67	63	54	51	83	51	53	33	3.9 %	35.2- %	37.7- %
GARDNER	17	40	33	18	37	35	20	22	20	10.0 %	0.0 %	9.0- %
GLOUCESTER	18	24	55	18	11	31	12	25	49	108.3 %	308.3 %	96.0 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	12	7	20	9	3	11	2	6	15	200.0 %	650.0 %	150.0 %
GREENFIELD	5	13	5	5	9	8	2	6	3	200.0 %	50.0 %	50.0- %
HAVERHILL	44	57	71	29	43	63	39	53	61	35.8 %	56.4 %	15.0 %
HINGHAM	30	26	21	22	29	24	19	16	13	15.7- %	31.5- %	18.7- %
HOLYOKE	133	266	267	185	124	221	61	203	249	232.7 %	308.1 %	22.6 %
IPSWICH	7	4	6	4	5	5	3	2	3	33.3- %	0.0 %	50.0 %
LAWRENCE	54	101	83	30	75	61	66	92	114	39.3 %	72.7 %	23.9 %
LEE	14	11	10	8	8	10	6	9	9	50.0 %	50.0 %	0.0 %
LEOMINSTER	49	42	56	57	43	55	12	11	12	8.3- %	0.0 %	9.0 %
LOWELL	183	200	208	152	155	168	282	327	367	15.9 %	30.1 %	12.2 %
LYNN	259	279	185	107	236	217	235	195	135	17.0- %	42.5- %	30.7- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD,83	NEW YTD,84	NEW YTD,85	TERM YTD,83	TERM YTD,84	TERM YTD,85	TOT AS OF 1283	TOT AS OF 1284	TOT AS OF 1285	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
MALDEN	130	99	124	97	70	99	140	114	139	18.5- %	0.7- %	21.9 %
MARLBOROUGH	56	35	70	53	27	58	13	21	33	61.5 %	153.8 %	57.1 %
MILFORD	45	38	44	46	32	50	30	39	33	30.0 %	10.0 %	15.3- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0.0 **	0.0 %	0.0 **
NATICK	23	33	14	21	15	30	14	36	20	157.1 %	42.8 %	44.4- %
NEW BEDFORD	220	301	324	196	248	319	79	132	137	67.0 %	73.4 %	3.7 %
NEWBURYPORT	13	25	23	9	23	24	14	16	15	14.2 %	7.1 %	6.2- %
NEWTON	15	24	11	12	16	25	17	25	11	47.0 %	35.2- %	56.0- %
NORTH ADAMS	45	31	46	43	37	44	31	26	28	16.1- %	9.6- %	7.6 %
NORTHAMPTON	52	81	75	65	60	79	12	33	29	175.0 %	141.6 %	12.1- %
ORANGE	15	18	20	11	11	23	5	13	10	160.0 %	100.0 %	23.0- %
ORLEANS	10	26	28	10	23	25	18	14	17	22.2- %	5.5- %	21.4 %
PALMER	32	24	26	36	24	18	19	19	27	0.0 %	42.1 %	42.1 %
PEABODY	28	30	26	42	36	31	24	18	13	25.0- %	45.8- %	27.7- %
PITTSFIELD	84	74	109	74	45	87	45	55	77	22.2 %	71.1 %	40.0 %
PLYMOUTH	211	98	81	72	57	62	187	75	94	59.8- %	49.7- %	25.3 %
QUINCY	88	76	147	75	48	96	109	137	188	25.6 %	72.4 %	37.2 %
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SALEM	49	86	23	58	49	16	23	29	60	26.0 %	160.8 %	106.8 %
SOMERVILLE	22	81	97	34	62	91	120	81	87	32.5- %	27.5- %	7.4 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SPENCER	25	37	50	31	24	46	23	38	36	65.2 %	56.5 %	5.2- %
SPRINGFIELD	210	219	174	213	221	181	56	54	48	3.5- %	14.2- %	11.1- %
STOUGHTON	18	37	39	37	18	40	29	38	37	31.0 %	27.5 %	2.6- %
TAUNTON	49	40	79	43	42	37	37	35	77	5.4- %	108.1 %	120.0 %
UXBRIDGE	45	41	31	48	28	43	20	36	24	80.0 %	20.0 %	33.3- %
WALTHAM	65	62	53	54	58	55	134	138	19	2.9 %	85.8- %	86.2- %
WARE	12	14	8	1	10	4	5	9	13	80.0 %	160.0 %	44.4 %
WAREHAM	45	45	31	49	42	30	12	15	16	25.0 %	33.3 %	6.6 %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
WESTBOROUGH	56	33	28	55	29	30	29	27	25	6.8- %	13.7- %	7.4- %
WESTFIELD	18	32	38	18	22	43	18	28	23	55.5 %	27.7 %	17.8- %
WINCHENDON	32	25	24	28	25	29	10	10	5	0.0 %	50.0- %	50.0- %
WOBURN	88	66	78	45	53	61	129	142	159	10.0 %	23.2 %	11.9 %
WORCESTER	263	326	303	239	256	307	129	195	194	54.2 %	50.3 %	2.5- %
WRENTHAM	51	52	49	50	47	47	27	32	34	18.5 %	25.9 %	6.2 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD,83	NEW YTD,84	NEW YTD,85	TERM YTD,83	TERM YTD,84	TERM YTD,85	TOT AS OF 1283	TOT AS OF 1284	TOT AS OF 1285	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
YTD. TOTAL	4888	5423	5484	4285	4489	5091	3870	4380	4634	13.1 %	19.7 %	5.7 %
BERKSHIRE JUV. DISTRICT	180	147	212	159	123	169	99	106	149	7.0 %	50.5 %	40.5 %
BRISTOL JUV. COURT	503	506	617	468	486	514	231	251	354	8.6 %	53.2 %	41.0 %
ESSEX JUV. DISTRICT	107	130	169	82	101	147	88	117	139	32.9 %	57.9 %	18.8 %
MIDDLESEX JUV. DISTRICT	166	156	179	153	113	202	85	118	95	38.8 %	11.7 %	19.4- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	251	183	218	272	189	196	65	59	81	9.2- %	24.6 %	37.2 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	208	190	173	224	143	201	112	162	128	44.6 %	14.2 %	20.9- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

TRANSFER HEARINGS - JUVENILES

COURT NAME	HEARINGS 01-1283	HEARINGS 01-1284	HEARINGS 01-1285	BINDOVERS 01-1283	BINDOVERS 01-1284	BINDOVERS 01-1285
ADAMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
AMESBURY	0	0	1	0	0	1
ATTLEBORO	2	0	0	0	0	0
AYER	0	1	0	0	0	0
BARNSTABLE	1	0	0	0	0	0
BOSTON	116	130	93	4	1	2
BRIGHTON	0	1	0	0	0	0
BROCKTON	27	11	2	2	0	0
BROOKLINE	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIDGE	1	1	4	1	0	1
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHELSEA	0	1	0	0	1	0
CHICOPEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONCORD	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEDHAM	0	0	1	0	0	0
DORCHESTER	0	4	10	1	0	1
DUDLEY	0	1	2	0	0	1
EAST BOSTON	0	0	1	0	0	0
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0
FALL RIVER	0	1	0	0	0	0
FITCHBURG	0	1	0	0	1	0
FRAMINGHAM	0	2	0	0	1	0
GARDNER	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLOUCESTER	1	1	1	0	0	0
GREAT BARRINGTON	1	1	0	0	0	0
GREENFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAVERHILL	1	0	1	0	0	0
HINGHAM	12	4	3	0	0	0
HOLYOKE	1	1	1	0	0	0
IPSWICH	0	0	1	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	6	4	6	4	0	1
LEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEOMINSTER	0	1	0	0	1	0
LOWELL	1	5	13	0	1	0
LYNN	1	13	3	0	2	0
MALDEN	2	0	0	0	0	0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

TRANSFER HEARINGS - JUVENILES

COURT NAME	HEARINGS 01-1283	HEARINGS 01-1284	HEARINGS 01-1285	BINDOVERS 01-1283	BINDOVERS 01-1284	BINDOVERS 01-1285
MARLBOROUGH	1	2	2	0	1	1
MILFORD	0	1	0	0	0	0
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0
NATICK	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW BEDFORD	3	3	1	2	1	0
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEWTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	2	2	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORLEANS	0	0	0	1	0	0
PALMER	2	1	0	0	1	0
PEABODY	0	0	0	0	0	0
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
PLYMOUTH	5	1	6	1	0	0
QUINCY	4	1	1	1	0	0
ROXBURY	5	4	2	0	0	0
SALEM	0	3	0	0	1	0
SOMERVILLE	9	1	2	1	0	0
SOUTH BOSTON	4	0	0	1	0	0
SPENCER	1	0	0	0	0	0
SPRINGFIELD	4	1	6	3	1	1
STOUGHTON	1	0	0	0	0	0
TAUNTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
UXBRIDGE	0	0	1	0	0	0
WALTHAM	6	0	1	0	0	0
WARE	0	0	0	0	0	0
WAREHAM	6	2	18	0	0	0
WEST ROXBURY	30	13	31	1	0	2
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
WINCHENDON	0	0	0	0	0	0
WOBURN	0	0	0	0	0	0
WORCESTER	4	5	9	4	1	1
WRENTHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANNUAL TOTAL:	261	224	223	27	14	12
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	1	1	0	0	0	0
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	5	4	1	2	1	0
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	2	1	4	0	0	1
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	1	5	2	0	2	1
NORTHERN JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	2	0	0	2	0
SOUTHERN JUVENILE DISTRICT	1	2	3	0	0	1

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY-------			---STUBBORN------			-----TRUANT------			-SCHOOL OFFENDER-			------TOTAL------		
	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985
ADAMS	4	8	12	16	8	4	9	8	11	0	0	0	29	24	27
AMESBURY	9	7	5	7	9	8	9	4	1	0	0	0	25	20	14
ATTLEBORO	33	16	38	31	20	14	40	19	30	0	0	0	104	55	82
AYER	20	15	9	21	10	12	7	6	10	4	0	1	52	31	32
BARNSTABLE	24	25	52	26	29	33	31	40	30	0	1	2	81	95	117
BOSTON	163	128	89	274	170	204	931	768	712	66	31	26	1434	1097	1031
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROCKTON	92	136	147	78	47	59	40	33	39	6	0	0	216	216	245
BROOKLINE	0	3	9	10	13	10	5	4	9	0	0	0	15	20	28
CAMBRIDGE	20	33	32	45	36	46	43	60	58	0	0	0	108	129	136
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHICOPEE	17	13	10	3	11	17	3	0	12	2	0	0	25	24	39
CLINTON	23	12	18	21	15	18	8	6	7	0	0	0	52	33	43
CONCORD	11	6	9	5	5	1	4	3	3	2	0	0	22	14	13
DEDHAM	18	19	14	26	23	8	17	18	17	0	1	0	61	61	39
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DUDLEY	43	28	30	34	35	13	14	11	5	0	0	0	91	74	48
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDGARTOWN	1	1	1	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	1	6
FALL RIVER	43	51	47	41	48	57	46	11	28	0	0	0	130	110	132
FITCHBURG	58	45	42	40	22	39	17	10	12	3	6	2	118	83	95
FRAMINGHAM	24	11	24	25	33	20	13	23	19	0	0	0	62	67	63
GARDNER	10	10	10	10	15	10	7	14	7	0	1	6	27	40	33
GLOUCESTER	7	9	19	4	8	12	7	5	24	0	1	0	18	23	55
GREAT BARRINGTON	8	0	7	12	7	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	20	7	20
GREENFIELD	28	2	3	44	5	2	9	5	0	7	1	0	88	13	5
HAVERHILL	21	12	16	10	26	17	13	19	38	0	0	0	44	57	71
HINGHAM	20	13	9	7	6	7	6	6	5	1	1	0	34	26	21
HOLYOKE	25	38	60	32	49	61	73	179	144	7	0	2	137	266	267
IPSWICH	1	3	0	1	1	4	5	0	2	0	0	0	7	4	6
LAWRENCE	56	62	23	36	27	43	18	12	16	0	0	1	110	101	83
LEE	3	3	5	4	2	1	6	6	4	0	0	0	13	11	10
LEOMINSTER	10	15	10	16	11	14	22	12	23	1	4	9	49	42	56
LOWELL	73	70	61	108	82	97	74	45	48	3	3	2	258	200	208
LYNN	152	71	62	60	50	49	154	149	54	6	6	20	372	276	185
MALDEN	34	36	27	53	30	64	41	33	33	3	0	0	131	99	124

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY-------			---STUBBORN------			-----TRUANT------			-SCHOOL OFFENDER-			------TOTAL------		
	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985
MARLBOROUGH	35	11	29	39	10	17	26	24	20	0	3	4	100	48	70
MILFORD	20	13	18	8	8	12	17	17	14	0	0	0	45	38	44
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
NATICK	6	8	4	9	11	5	10	13	5	0	1	0	25	33	14
NEW BEDFORD	106	97	80	38	94	106	92	103	134	3	7	4	239	301	324
NEWBURYPORT	3	8	7	6	9	7	4	7	7	0	1	2	13	25	23
NEWTON	2	1	7	6	10	1	4	9	2	0	4	1	12	24	11
NORTH ADAMS	18	11	19	16	11	17	14	9	10	0	0	0	48	31	46
NORTHAMPTON	36	11	10	70	40	48	24	30	17	0	0	0	130	81	75
ORANGE	8	10	3	6	4	1	2	3	16	4	1	0	20	18	20
ORLEANS	9	12	13	1	7	6	8	6	8	2	1	1	20	26	28
PALMER	27	8	9	19	11	15	4	4	2	0	1	0	50	24	26
PEABODY	9	7	16	7	10	4	11	10	5	0	3	1	27	30	26
PITTSFIELD	51	22	53	32	26	29	30	26	27	0	0	0	113	74	109
PLYMOUTH	109	45	48	22	24	20	22	21	12	9	8	1	162	98	81
QUINCY	28	31	56	30	23	39	34	22	51	0	0	1	92	76	147
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALEM	66	26	5	4	8	11	30	23	7	0	0	0	100	57	23
SOMERVILLE	24	25	6	35	29	34	48	27	57	1	0	0	108	81	97
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPENCER	16	10	29	5	17	12	4	10	9	0	0	0	25	37	50
SPRINGFIELD	85	42	31	262	152	119	34	25	24	0	0	0	381	219	174
STOUGHTON	61	12	10	71	13	18	62	7	8	42	5	6	236	37	42
TAUNTON	18	11	9	21	9	19	25	21	51	0	0	0	64	41	79
UXBRIDGE	11	11	12	26	12	14	8	18	5	0	0	0	45	41	31
WALTHAM	25	29	34	35	19	13	16	14	4	3	3	2	79	65	53
WARE	1	3	0	2	7	2	5	2	6	2	2	0	10	14	8
WAREHAM	18	18	18	15	11	10	10	16	3	1	0	0	44	45	31
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTBOROUGH	27	13	13	12	8	9	14	12	6	0	0	0	53	33	28
WESTFIELD	9	3	3	8	23	27	3	6	8	0	0	0	20	32	38
WINCHENDON	17	12	12	14	8	2	1	5	10	0	0	0	32	25	24
WOBURN	23	14	15	32	37	41	32	15	20	2	0	2	89	66	78
WORCESTER	130	138	122	76	110	103	55	77	74	2	1	4	263	326	303
WRENTHAM	46	36	26	13	10	14	7	6	9	0	0	0	66	52	49

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY------- 01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	---STUBBORN------ 01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	-----TRUANT------ 01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	-SCHOOL OFFENDER- 01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	------TOTAL------ 01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1985
ANNUAL TOTAL	2095	1599	1617	2046	1625	1734	2328	2097	2033	182	97	103	6651	5418	5487
BERKSHIRE JUV. DISTRICT	84	44	96	80	54	63	59	49	53	0	0	0	223	147	212
BRISTOL JUV. COURT	200	175	174	131	171	196	203	154	243	3	7	4	537	507	617
ESSEX JUV. DISTRICT	41	39	47	28	53	48	38	35	72	0	2	2	107	129	169
MIDDLESEX JUV. DISTRICT	85	45	66	94	64	54	56	66	54	4	4	5	239	179	179
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	108	84	82	91	56	73	48	33	52	4	10	11	251	183	218
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	90	62	89	73	72	51	43	56	33	0	0	0	206	190	173

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,83	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,84	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,85	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
AMESBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ATTLEBORO	0	500	30	0.00 **	94.00- %	0.00 **
AYER	0	0	50	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BARNSTABLE	0	60	910	0.00 **	1416.66 %	0.00 **
BOSTON	0	1910	2159	0.00 **	13.03 %	0.00 **
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	0	50	200	0.00 **	300.00 %	0.00 **
BROOKLINE	0	0	80	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CAMBRIDGE	0	1300	1595	0.00 **	22.69 %	0.00 **
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHICOPEE	0	25	175	0.00 **	600.00 %	0.00 **
CLINTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CONCORD	0	0	80	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DEDHAM	0	0	110	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUDLEY	0	290	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
EDGARTOWN	0	50	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
FALL RIVER	0	480	870	0.00 **	81.25 %	0.00 **
FITCHBURG	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
FRAMINGHAM	0	100	650	0.00 **	550.00 %	0.00 **
GARDNER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
GLOUCESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
GREENFIELD	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HAVERHILL	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HINGHAM	0	0	115	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HOLYOKE	0	1775	1450	0.00 **	18.30- %	0.00 **
IPSWICH	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
LAWRENCE	0	0	250	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LEE	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
LEOMINSTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
LOWELL	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,83	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,84	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,85	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
LYNN	0	70	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
MALDEN	0	1085	525	0.00	**	51.61-	%	0.00	**
MARLBOROUGH	0	205	430	0.00	**	109.75	%	0.00	**
MILFORD	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NATICK	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NEW BEDFORD	0	40	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NEWTON	0	50	85	0.00	**	70.00	%	0.00	**
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NORTHAMPTON	0	-0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
ORANGE	0	300	200	0.00	**	33.33-	%	0.00	**
ORLEANS	0	100	50	0.00	**	50.00-	%	0.00	**
PALMER	0	0	50	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
PEABODY	0	0	70	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
PLYMOUTH	0	370	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
QUINCY	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
SALEM	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
SOMERVILLE	0	0	50	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	250	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
SPENCER	0	100	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
SPRINGFIELD	0	450	800	0.00	**	77.77	%	0.00	**
STOUGHTON	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
TAUNTON	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
UXBRIDGE	0	0	100	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
WALTHAM	0	0	100	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
WARE	0	75	70	0.00	**	6.66-	%	0.00	**
WAREHAM	0	75	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
WEST ROXBURY	0	425	105	0.00	**	75.29-	%	0.00	**
WESTBOROUGH	0	50	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
WESTFIELD	0	15	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
WINCHENDON	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
WOBURN	0	250	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,83	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,84	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,85	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985	
WORCESTER	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
WRENTHAM	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
ANNUAL TOTAL	0	10200	11609	0.00	%	13.81	%	0.00	%
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	0	1020	900	0.00	**	11.76-	%	0.00	**
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	305	1130	0.00	**	270.49	%	0.00	**
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	440	100	0.00	**	77.27-	%	0.00	**

1985 RISK/NEED LEVELS OF SUPERVISION




TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS
1983-1985
Number of Arraignments (Thousands)
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
233,772
243,789
257,276
1983
1984
1985
Year

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT SUPERVISION CASES IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1983	NEW 01-12 1984	NEW 01-12 1985	-------TERMINATED------- 01-1283	01-1284	01-1285	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-83	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-84	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-85	----TOTAL SUPPORT CASES----- PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
BARNSTABLE	223	794	439	60	15	680	1362	2141	1900	39.5 %	57.1 %	11.2- %
BERKSHIRE	80	266	244	2	24	43	92	327	528	473.9 %	255.4 %	61.4 %
BRISTOL	821	669	693	337	311	242	929	1287	1738	87.0 %	38.5 %	35.0 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	548	517	545	109	405	314	2322	2385	2616	12.6 %	2.7 %	9.6 %
FRANKLIN	46	87	150	13	29	45	179	237	342	91.0 %	32.4 %	44.3 %
HAMPDEN	261	310	331	352	404	365	957	865	831	13.1- %	9.6- %	3.9- %
HAMPSHIRE	110	196	181	71	155	99	507	583	665	31.1 %	14.9 %	14.0 %
MIDDLESEX	827	1125	895	262	269	390	5725	6561	7032	22.8 %	14.6 %	7.1 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	436	446	524	268	536	251	.2387	2297	3656	53.1 %	3.7- %	59.1 %
PLYMOUTH	37	865	599	44	58	96	6	2733	3236	53833.3 %	45450.0 %	18.4 %
SUFFOLK	442	467	363	126	268	269	1651	1864	1958	18.5 %	12.9 %	5.0 %
WORCESTER	305	634	1096	470	271	529	2058	2421	2988	45.1 %	17.6 %	23.4 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	4236	6376	6060	2114	2745	3323	18175	23701	27490	51.2 %	30.4 %	15.9 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1983	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1985
BARNSTABLE	1998322	2347044	2563168	17.45 %	9.20 %	28.26 %
BERKSHIRE	54917	424529	922293	673.03 %	117.25 %	1579.43 %
BRISTOL	2322246	4169946	6107968	79.56 %	46.47 %	163.01 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX	4650211	5625045	6661370	20.96 %	18.42 %	43.24 %
FRANKLIN	214552	349273	694706	62.79 %	98.90 %	223.79 %
HAMPDEN	1695565	1976460	2172560	16.56 %	9.92 %	28.13 %
HAMPSHIRE	832551	1105069	1347143	32.73 %	21.90 %	61.80 %
MIDDLESEX	7400807	9167649	10906771	23.87 %	18.97 %	47.37 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK	5724642	7205021	8259779	25.85 %	14.63 %	44.28 %
PLYMOUTH	4723665	5603884	6825976	18.63 %	21.80 %	44.50 %
SUFFOLK	2924490	3829935	4655208	30.96 %	21.54 %	59.18 %
WORCESTER	4698297	5866381	7035781	24.86 %	20.00 %	49.83 %
** TOTALS **	37240265	47670236	58156723	28.00 %	21.99 %	56.15 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1983	NEW 01-12 1984	NEW 01-12 1985	--------COMPLETED------- 01-1283	01-1284	01-1285	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-83	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-84	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-85	----NEW CASES------------ PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
BARNSTABLE	19	14	25	7	14	32	10	10	3	31.5 %	26.3- %	78.5 %
BERKSHIRE	60	12	0	42	16	5	22	18	13	0.0 **	80.0- %	0.0 **
BRISTOL	92	86	61	107	64	76	17	39	24	33.6- %	6.5- %	29.0- %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	170	159	158	157	189	155	118	88	91	7.0- %	6.4- %	0.6- %
FRANKLIN	56	15	73	52	10	72	3	8	9	30.3 %	73.2- %	386.6 %
HAMPDEN	61	73	66	52	65	82	15	23	7	8.1 %	19.6 %	9.5- %
HAMPSHIRE	140	113	134	140	113	134	7	7	7	4.2- %	19.2- %	18.5 %
MIDDLESEX	266	279	220	267	300	237	79	55	41	17.2- %	4.8 %	21.1- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	192	161	207	174	162	190	49	49	66	7.8 %	16.1- %	28.5 %
PLYMOUTH	2571	226	159	2547	207	159	20	39	39	93.8- %	91.2- %	29.6- %
SUFFOLK	519	513	470	342	553	553	426	386	303	9.4- %	1.1- %	8.3- %
WORCESTER	183	157	146	196	142	153	36	51	44	20.2- %	14.2- %	7.0- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	4329	1808	1719	4083	1835	1848	802	773	647	60.2- %	58.2- %	4.9- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

MEDIATIONS IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1983	NEW 01-12 1984	NEW 01-12 1985	--------COMPLETED-------- 01-1283	01-1284	01-1285	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-83	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-84	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-85	----NEW CASES---- PERCENT CHANGE 83-85	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85
BARNSTABLE	77	190	730	79	190	730	0	0	0	848.0 %	146.7 %	284.2 %
BERKSHIRE	95	48	26	88	46	27	0	1	0	72.6- %	49.4- %	45.8- %
BRISTOL	1947	1916	1788	1947	1757	1788	0	0	0	8.1- %	1.5- %	6.6- %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	1974	2025	2197	1881	2025	2197	0	0	0	11.2 %	2.5 %	8.4 %
FRANKLIN	212	124	233	211	122	230	0	2	5	9.9 %	41.5- %	87.9 %
HAMPDEN	299	375	483	311	373	486	1	3	0	61.5 %	25.4 %	28.8 %
HAMPSHIRE	950	435	380	956	433	382	1	3	1	60.0- %	54.2- %	12.6- %
MIDDLESEX	953	1112	1246	910	1107	1260	16	17	3	30.7 %	16.6 %	12.0 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	3291	3209	3298	3341	3209	3298	0	0	0	0.2 %	2.4- %	2.7 %
PLYMOUTH	283	2469	1135	283	2468	1135	0	0	0	301.0 %	772.4 %	54.0- %
SUFFOLK	180	234	276	181	234	276	0	0	0	53.3 %	30.0 %	17.9 %
WORCESTER	2213	1342	935	2271	1331	935	0	0	0	57.7- %	39.3- %	30.3- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	12474	13479	12727	12459	13335	12744	18	26	9	2.0 %	8.0 %	5.5- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CONTEMPTS HEARD IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT [CIVIL AND CRIMINAL]

	1983						1984						1985					
COURT NAME	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	151	24	0	81	188	444	95	16	0	33	165	309	90	40	1	20	189	340
BERKSHIRE	1	0	0	3	3	7	6	0	0	8	6	20	8	1	0	17	7	33
BRISTOL	81	8	1	10	17	117	76	22	0	27	7	132	133	12	0	25	61	231
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ESSEX	57	16	2	47	114	236	35	37	2	62	119	255	48	42	0	59	140	289
FRANKLIN	64	11	3	13	32	123	35	6	1	20	29	91	55	14	0	18	64	151
HAMPDEN	412	120	7	99	214	852	106	58	5	197	321	687	114	56	5	156	332	663
HAMPSHIRE	293	71	3	68	374	809	301	95	5	115	371	887	322	97	16	89	381	905
MIDDLESEX	227	73	0	102	188	590	225	80	0	235	142	682	281	82	0	65	175	603
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORFOLK	110	0	0	108	373	591	121	15	0	295	156	587	153	0	0	256	170	579
PLYMOUTH	79	9	0	29	8	125	173	23	4	77	21	298	88	14	2	33	10	147
SUFFOLK	1184	49	0	80	437	1750	1248	51	2	140	504	1945	1176	79	0	147	579	1981
WORCESTER	107	27	6	167	89	396	193	128	0	352	82	755	454	346	1	553	329	1683
ANNUAL TOTAL	2766	408	22	807	2037	6040	2614	531	19	1561	1923	6648	2922	783	25	1438	2437	7605

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CONTEMPTS FILED IN THE PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT	CIVIL 01-12 1983	CIVIL 01-12 1984	CIVIL 01-12 1985	CRIMINAL 01-12 1983	CRIMINAL 01-12 1984	CRIMINAL 01-12 1985	TOTAL 01-12 1983	TOTAL 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-84	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-85	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-85
BARNSTABLE	293	349	286	0	1	2	293	350	288	19.45 %	1.70- %	17.71- %
BERKSHIRE	6	35	31	0	0	0	6	35	31	483.33 %	416.66 %	11.42- %
BRISTOL	123	146	329	0	0	0	123	146	329	18.69 %	167.47 %	125.34 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX	251	292	309	0	0	0	251	292	309	16.33 %	23.10 %	5.82 %
FRANKLIN	26	32	58	0	0	0	26	32	58	23.07 %	123.07 %	81.25 %
HAMPDEN	504	534	557	0	0	0	504	534	557	5.95 %	10.51 %	4.30 %
HAMPSHIRE	165	180	176	0	0	0	165	180	176	9.09 %	6.66 %	2.22- %
MIDDLESEX	459	414	334	0	0	0	459	414	334	9.80- %	27.23- %	19.32- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK	411	350	368	0	0	0	411	350	368	14.84- %	10.46- %	5.14 %
PLYMOUTH	116	136	180	0	0	0	116	136	180	17.24 %	55.17 %	32.35 %
SUFFOLK	219	254	296	0	0	0	219	254	296	15.98 %	35.15 %	16.53 %
WORCESTER	335	326	502	0	0	0	335	326	502	2.68- %	49.85 %	53.98 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	2908	3048	3426	0	1	2	2908	3049	3428	4.84 %	17.88 %	12.43 %

PROBATE SUPPORT COLLECTIONS

1983-1985




TOTAL COLLECTIONS

1983–1985

Office

# of the

Jury Commissioner

OFFICE OF JURY COMMISSIONER
for The Commonwealth
1985 In review

During calendar year 1985, the Office of Jury Commissioner successfully introduced the One Day/One Trial jury system into the counties of Hampden and Worcester. With these additions, five counties were completely operational in 1985 (Essex, Middlesex and Suffolk Counties were already part of the new jury system).

In addition to administering to the daily juror needs of the courts within the above-mentioned five counties in 1985, the Office of Jury Commissioner also began preparations to add the counties of Norfolk and Plymouth to the new jury-system format.

The following calendar outlines the series of important events which occurred during the year:

1. December 12, 1984: The Supreme Judicial Court designates Norfolk and Plymouth Counties as "participating" counties to begin operating under the new jury-system format on January 1, 1986.

2. January 1, 1985: With the designation of Norfolk and Plymouth Counties, the Office of Jury Commissioner gears up to collect census data from 229 cities and towns to be used in summoning jurors to begin their terms of service during 1986. The data processing unit of the office creates a new "Tape Edit" program in order to assure an accurate and more efficient manner of collecting data from the cities and towns.

3. January 1, 1985: Jurors appear at the Worcester Superior, Hampden Superior, and Fitchburg District courts for the first time under the One Day/One Trial format. In addition, grand jurors at the Worcester County and Hampden County Superior courts begin serving under the new terms as mandated by M.G.L., CH. 234A.

4. January 30, 1985: A training conference is conducted at the Holiday Inn, Dedham, Massachusetts, for all city and town clerks in the counties of Norfolk and Plymouth in order to familiarize them with their new duties and responsibilities under the new jury system. Edward F. Hennessey, Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, appeared as the guest speaker at the request of the Jury Commissioner.

5. January - March, 1985: Court personnel from the new counties of Hampden and Worcester receive ongoing training from OJC staff. Most training is of the "on the job" category in which the court personnel learn the system as it is being introduced.

6. April - May, 1985: The Office of Jury Commissioner completes its staffing to its full compliment of 21 persons. With the new additions, the office is now able to operate fully-staffed mail room and telephone answering operations. During the course of a week, the office sends out approximately 30,000 pieces of mail in addition to processing an average of 10,000 incoming summonses. the office also accepts an average of 1,000 juror inquiries per day via the statewide-800 telephone line.

7. June - October, 1985: Adhering to the mandates of M.G.L., CH. 234A, sections 9 - 16, the office prepares a Master Juror List for each of the seven counties who will be participating in the One Day/One Trial jury system on January 1, 1986. The following outline presents an overview of the process.

June 1	OJC Receives Numbered Resident List	**Section 10**
	OJC Receives Numbered Resident File	**Section 11**
July 1	OJC Determines Number of Prospective Jurors for each City and Town	**Section 12**
July 1	OJC Generates Random Numbers and Selects Prospective Jurors for each City & Town from their Numbered Resident Files	**Section 13**
September 1	OJC Prepares Prospective Juror List for each City & Town	**Section 15**
October 1	OJC Mails Two Copies of Prospective Juror List To each City & Town	**Section 15**
October 1	OJC Prepares Master Juror List for each Judicial district (County)	**Section 16**
October 1	OJC Summons Grand & Trial Jurors	**Section 16**

8. October 1, 1985: The Supreme Judicial Court authorizes the establishment of a Western Branch Office of the Office of Jury Commissioner. The office is staffed by a manager and is located at the Hampden County Hall of Justice. The Western Office is responsible for the operation of the jury system in the counties of Hampden and Worcester.

9. October 1, 1985: The Supreme Judicial Court designates the counties of Berkshire, Franklin and Hampshire as "participating" counties. Courts in the three counties will begin accepting jurors under the One Day/One Trial format in January, 1987.

10. December 4, 1985: A training conference is conducted at the Northampton Hilton Inn, Northampton, Massachusetts, for all city and town clerks in the counties of Berkshire, Franklin and Hampshire in order to familiarize them with their new duties and responsibilities under the new jury system. Edward F. Hennessey, Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, appeared as the guest speaker at the request of the Jury Commissioner.

In addition to the above-mentioned events described in calendar format, Tables 1 through 12 will present data supporting the following statements describing the activities of the Office of Jury Commissioner and the One Day/One Trial jury system as they occurred in 1985:

1. $3,096,902 was expended to operate the One Day/One Trial jury system in 1985. This figure represents all administrative costs of the Office of Jury Commissioner coupled with the fees paid to jurors for travel and daily compensation in the counties of Essex, Hampden, Middlesex, Suffolk and Worcester.

2. Were it not for the fact that the Office of Jury Commissioner existed in 1985, it would have taken approximately $5,017,958 to operate the jury system in the same five counties.

3. In keeping with the planned expansion of the One Day/One Trial jury system into all counties of the Commonwealth, the 1985 administrative costs of the Office of Jury Commissioner demonstrated an increase of 34% over the costs of the office in 1984.

   As anticipated, the rise in administrative costs were due largely to the filling of new positions, increases in postage costs, and the fact that the Office of Jury Commisioner assumed the costs of outfitting new courts with equipment so that they could become operational.

4. Hampden County juror fees of travel and daily compensation were $244,161 less in 1985 under the One Day/One Trial jury system than they were in 1984 under the traditional 30-day jury system.

   Worcester County juror fees of travel and daily compensation were $235,617 less in 1985 under the One Day/One Trial jury system than they were in 1984 under the traditional 30-day jury system.

5. Increases in juror fees in 1985 are reported for Middlesex County and Suffolk County. A decrease in juror fees in 1985 is reported for Essex County.

6. Suffolk County was the only county to report an increase in the actual number of jurors serving in 1985 as compared to the number serving in 1984.

TABLE 1
COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF JURY COMMISSIONER

Category	1982*		1983*	1984*	1985*
	State	Federal	State	State	State
1. Personnel	188,345	5,499	238,066	322,205	448,817
2. Consultant	6,810	3,968	23,703	16,891	19,199
3. Travel (Central Account)	351	1,602	98	509	5,377
4. Advertising, Printing & Forms (Central Account)	4,279	5,895	51,455	134,042	201,228
5. Maintenance	2,307	0	3,596	4,536	3,971
6. Special Supplies and Expenses	1,280	0	2,851	0	0
7. Office and Administration	7,513	3,159	9,476	28,455	29,869
8. Postage	92,267	0	155,155	317,741	360,360
9. Equipment (Central Account)	0	73,037	5,594	16,806	36,608
10. Rental	7,953	0	7,593	13,799	37,002
11. Other	0	1,095	0	0	0
12. TOTALS	311,105	94,255	497,587	854,984	1,142,431
GRAND TOTALS	405,360		497,587	854,984	1,142,431

* Entries in TABLE are in Dollars for Calendar Years.

TABLE 2

OFFICE OF JURY COMMISSIONER
COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION
CALENDAR YEAR, 1985

	Item	Account Subsidiary	Amount	Percentage	(+) (-) 1984
1.	Personnel	(01)	448,817	39%	(+) 1.5%
2.	Postage	(14)	360,360	32%	(-) 5%
3.	Advertising, Printing & Forms **(Central)**	(11)	201,228	18%	(+) 2%
4.	Office & Administration	(14)	29,869	3%	No Change
5.	Equipment **(Central)**	(15)	36,608	3%	(+) 1%
6.	Consultant	(03)	19,199	2%	No Change
7.	Rental	(12)	37,002	3%	(+) 1%
8.	Maintenance	(16)	3,971	.3%	(-) .2%
9.	Travel **(Central)**	(10)	5,377	.5%	(+) .5%
		TOTAL	**1,142,431**	**100%**	

TABLE 3

1985 POSTAGE EXPENDITURES

SUMMONSES

	TOTAL		AMOUNT
January, 1985:	40,153	=	6,854.58
February, 1985:	34,750	=	8,298.33
March, 1985:	28,156	=	7,567.99
April, 1985:	27,343	=	7,674.24
May, 1985	22,468	=	6,050.38
June, 1985:	50,731	=	14,237.48
July, 1985:	21,952	=	6,167.52
August, 1985:	51,552	=	14,476.96
September, 1985:	24,992	=	7,016.56
October, 1985:	52,337	=	14,646.04
November, 1985:	51,881	=	14,578.20
December, 1985:	49,917	=	14,022.04
SUB TOTAL:	456,232		121,590.32
(No Business Reply)	50,511 (Datamailers)		
(Business Reply)	405,721 X $.195	=	**79,115.60**
TOTAL:			**200,705.92**

HANDBOOKS

	TOTAL		AMOUNT
January, 1985:	15,626	=	3,457.48
February, 1985:	17,528	=	3,953.70
March, 1985:	24,889	=	5,631.52
April, 1985:	27,135	=	6,409.10
May, 1985:	17,908	=	4,122.40
June, 1985:	17,535	=	3,937.76
July, 1985:	14,947	=	3,421.92
August, 1985:	13,182	=	3,057.99
September, 1985:	17,687	=	4,033.51
October, 1985:	20,815	=	4,782.89
November, 1985:	13,931	=	3,702.55
December, 1985:	12,537	=	2,892.73
TOTAL:	**213,720**	=	**49,403.55**

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

CERTIFICATES

	TOTAL		AMOUNT
January, 1985:	8.308	=	1,411.17
February, 1985:	16,556	=	2,895.22
March, 1985:	10,727	=	1,946.30
April, 1985:	13,091	=	2,370.22
May, 1985:	15,043	=	2,745.94
June, 1985:	14,529	=	2,632.10
July, 1985:	11,412	=	2,072.20
August, 1985:	14,076	=	2,550.80
September, 1985:	16,246	=	2,936.04
October, 1985:	17,317	=	3,134.76
November, 1985:	14,015	=	2,540.78
December, 1985:	12,711	=	2,297.54
TOTAL:	**164,031**	**=**	**30,533.07**

Datamailers and Business Mailings
via use of FRIDEN Machine = 79,717.46

TOTAL POSTAGE = 360,360.00

TABLE 4

COST PER JUROR DAY
M.G.L., CH. 234
(Counties Not Operating Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System)

Compensation/Juror Day	$ 14.00
Estimated Travel Allowance/Juror Day	$ 5.00
Estimated Administrative Cost/Juror Day	$ 3.00
Total Estimated Cost/Juror Day	**$ 22.00**

COST PER JUROR DAY
M.G.L., CH. 234A
(All Counties Operating Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System)

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	TRIAL	GRAND		TOTAL
1985	Juror Compensation	1,416,977	537,494		$ 1,954,471
1985	Juror Days	214,964	13,125	= 228,089	
1985	Compensation/Juror Day				$ 8.57
1985	Administrative Costs				$ 1,142,431
1985	Adm. Cost/Juror Day				$ 5.01
1985	Actual Cost/Juror Day				$ 13.58
	Estimated Cost/Juror Day Traditional System				$ 22.00
	Estimated Savings/Juror Day One Day/One Trial Jury System v. Traditional System				**$ 8.42**

In the 5 counties of Essex, Hampden, Middlesex, Suffolk and Worcester, the estimated savings in dollars for calendar year 1985 using the One Day/One Trial Jury System vs. the traditional 30-day jury system was $ 1,920.509. This figure was arrived at by multiplying the estimated savings of $8.42 per juror day times the actual number of 228,089 juror days served.

TABLE 5

COST PER JUROR DAY PER COUNTY

ESSEX COUNTY

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	TRIAL	GRAND	TOTAL
1985	Juror Compensation	160,819	42,754	$ 203,573
	Juror Days	32,785	1,313	34,098
	Compensation/Juror Day			$ 5.97
	Administrative Cost (1/5th of OJC Total) =			$ 228,486
	Adm Cost/Juror Day			$ 6.70
	Actual Cost/Juror Day =			$ 12.67
	Estimated Savings per Juror Day Essex County: =			**9.33**

MIDDLESEX COUNTY

1985	Juror Compensation	453,452	151,498	$ 604,950
	Juror Days	61,422	3,835	65,257
	Compensation/Juror Day			$ 9.27
	Administrative Costs (1/5th of OJC Total) =			$ 228,486
	Adm. Cost/Juror Day			$ 3.50
	Actual Cost/Juror Day =			$ 12.77
	Estimated Savings per Juror Day Middlesex County: =			**9.23**

SUFFOLK COUNTY

1985	Juror Compensation	580,439	148,027	$ 728,466
	Juror Days	63,625	2,909	66,534
	Compensation/Juror Day			$ 10.95
	Administrative Costs (1/5th of OJC Total) =			$ 228,486
	Adm. Cost/Juror Day			$ 3.43
	Actual Cost/Juror Day =			$ 14.38
	Estimated Savings per Juror Day Suffolk County: =			**7.62**

TABLE 6

COST PER JUROR DAY PER COUNTY

HAMPDEN COUNTY

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	TRIAL	GRAND	TOTAL
1985	Juror Compensation	111,493	119,726	$ 231,219
	Juror Days	27,388	2,985	30,373
	Compensation/Juror Day			$ 7.61
	Administrative Cost (1/5th of OJC Total) =			$ 228,486
	Adm Cost/Juror Day			$ 7.52
	Actual Cost/Juror Day =			$ 15.13
	Estimated Savings per Juror Day Essex County: =			**6.87**

WORCESTER COUNTY

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	TRIAL	GRAND	TOTAL
1985	Juror Compensation	110,774	75,489	$ 186,263
	Juror Days	29,744	2,083	31,827
	Compensation/Juror Day			$ 5.85
	Administrative Costs (1/5th of OJC Total) =			$ 228,486
	Adm. Cost/Juror Day			$ 7.18
	Actual Cost/Juror Day =			$ 13.03
	Estimated Savings per Juror Day Middlesex County: =			**8.97**

TABLE 7

JUROR COMPENSATION (DOLLARS)

MIDDLESEX COUNTY

YEAR	CAMBRIDGE (Grand Jurors)	CAMBRIDGE (Trial Jurors)	LOWELL (Superior)	LOWELL (District)	FRAMINGHAM (District)	TOTALS
* 1978	42,848	610,256	171,470	0	17,451	842,025
** 1979	72,800	213,164	16,264	1,882	2,210	306,320
1980	83,047	241,378	33,874	3,984	2,034	364,317
1981	95,200	319,082	55,987	814	2,077	473,160
1982	175,740	334,674	47,491	1,616	3,885	563,406
1983	103,112	458,525	60,537	4,917	3,111	630,202
1984	123,342	383,411	43,394	1,587	1,088	552,822
1985	151,498	361,646	88,572	1,722	1,512	604,950

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A.

TABLE 8

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

ESSEX COUNTY

	YEAR	SALEM (Grand Jurors)	SALEM (Trial Jurors)	LAWRENCE (Superior)	NEWBURYPORT (Superior)	PEABODY (Superior)	HAVERHILL (District)	TOTALS
*	1983	19,060	546,530					565,590
**	1984	45,853	95,714	46,435	64,744	31,905	7,778	292,429
	1985	42,754	68,809	30,555	34,280	24,743	2,432	203,573

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A.

TABLE 9

JUROR COMPENSATION (DOLLARS)

SUFFOLK COUNTY

	YEAR	SUFFOLK COUNTY @ BOSTON (Grand Jurors)	SUFFOLK COUNTY @ BOSTON (Trial Jurors)	TOTALS
*	1983	62,765	956,083	1,018,848
**	1984	145,770	522,198	667,968
	1985	148,027	580,439	728,466

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 10

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

HAMPDEN COUNTY

	YEAR	HAMPDEN COUNTY @ SPRINGFIELD (Grand Jurors)	HAMPDEN COUNTY @ SPRINGFIELD (Trial Jurors)	TOTALS
*	1984	38,407	436,973	475,380
**	1985	119,726	111,493	231,219

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 11

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

WORCESTER COUNTY

	YEAR	WORCESTER (Grand Jurors)	WORCESTER (Trial Jurors)	FITCHBURG (District)	TOTALS
*	1984	27,281	394,599		421,880
**	1985	75,489	105,076	5,698	186,263

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 12

NUMBER OF TRIAL JURORS SERVING PER COUNTY & COURT

COUNTY	COURT	# OF JURORS 1985	# OF JURORS 1984
Essex	Haverhill District	4,429	5,588
	Lawrence Superior	2,203	3,648
	Newburyport Superior	4,216	4,467
	Salem Superior	12,393	11,756
	Peabody District	2,627	4,157
	TOTAL:	**25,868**	**29,616**
Middlesex	Framingham District	4,942	5,461
	Lowell District	4,053	4,183
	Cambridge Superior	25,883	26,953
	Lowell Superior	7,654	8,129
	TOTAL:	**42,532**	**44,726**
Suffolk	Suffolk Superior	39,919	38,411
	TOTAL:	**39,919**	**38,411**
Hampden	Hampden Superior	21,334	1,200*
	TOTAL:	**21,334**	
Worcester	Worcester Superior	23,660	1,200*
	TOTAL:	**23,660**	
	GRAND TOTAL:	**153,313**	**115,153**

---

* Hampden and Worcester counties did not participate in the One Day/One Trial jury system in 1984. The number of jurors serving in each county during 1984 is an approximation based on the fact that 100 jurors appeared each month for a 12 month period.

Supreme Judicial Court Chief Justice Edward F. Hennessey

Administrative Assistant for the Supreme Judicial Court John Burke and Jury Commissioner Paul Carr

Jury Manager Brian McNally

Court Folk

Cynthia Cardin, Taunton District Court	*George Woods, Wrentham District Court*	*Probate Judges Ernest I. Rotenberg and Robert M. Ford*	*Regional Coordinator James Klein, District Court*
Barbara Higgins, Quincy District Court	*Elizabeth Tavares, Taunton District Court*	*Millie Cribben, Robert Moran, and Gertrude Beaucaire, Plymouth Probate and Family Court*	*Mario Bruno, Milford District Court*
Judge Roderick Ireland, Juvenile Court	*Thomas Thompson, New Bedford District Court*	*James Conway and John Scolponetti, Charlestown District Court*	*Patricia Bishop, Wrentham District Court*